	-		
	Page 1		Page 3
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1	APPEARANCES (Continued):
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	2	
3	AT SEATTLE	3	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES:
4		4	Richard E. Spoonemore, Esq.
5	Kristin Bain,	5	SIRIANNI YOUTZ MEIER & SPOONEMORE
6	Plaintiff,	6	1100 Millennium Tower
7	vs. Case No. 09-CV-00149-JCC	7	719 Second Avenue
8	Metropolitan Mortgage Group,	8	Seattle, Washington 98104
9	Inc.; IndyMac Bank, FSB; Mortgage	9	206.223.0303
10	Electronic Registration Systems;	10	rspoonemore@sylaw.com
11	Regional Trustee Service;	11	and
12	Lenders Processing Service, Inc.,	12	Ross Gloudeman, Esq.
13	inclusive,	13	LENDER PROCESSING SERVICES
14	Defendants.	14	Suite 200
15		15	1270 Northland Drive
16	DEPOSITION OF CHRISTIAN S. HYMER	16	Mendota Heights, Minnesota 55120
17	30(b)(6)	17	651.234.3662
18	Taken January 13, 2010	18	ross.gloudeman@lpsdefaultsolutions.com
19		19	
20		20	
21		21	
22		22	
23	CINDY L. SCHULTZ, RMR, CRR, CLR	23	NOTE: The original transcript will be filed
24	PARADIGM REPORTING & CAPTIONING INC.	24	with the firm of Law Offices of Melissa A. Huelsman
25	612.339.0545 * 1.800.545.9668 * Fax 612.337.5575	25	pursuant to the applicable Rules of Civil Procedure.
	Page 2		Page 4
1	The deposition of CHRISTIAN S. HYMER,	1	INDEX
1			
2	30(b)(6), taken on January 13, 2010, commencing at 9:18	2	
3	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue,	2 3	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER
3 4	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz,	2 3 4	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER
3	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,	2 3 4 5	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE
3 4 5 6	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and	2 3 4 5 6	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER
3 4 5 6 7	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK (Wood v.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK (Wood v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, et al.):	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK (Wood v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, et al.): Josh Rataezky, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK (Wood v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, et al.): Josh Rataezky, Esq. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK (Wood v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, et al.): Josh Rataezky, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK (Wood v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, et al.): Josh Rataezky, Esq. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP Suite 2200 1201 Third Avenue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a.m., taken at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota, before Cindy L. Schultz, Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter, Certified LiveNote Reporter, and Notary Public of and for the State of Minnesota. A P P E A R A N C E S ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF KRISTIN BAIN: Melissa A. Huelsman, Esq. LAW OFFICES OF MELISSA A. HUELSMAN, P.S. Suite 1050 705 Second Avenue Seattle, Washington 98104 206.447.0103 TELEPHONICALLY ON BEHALF OF DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AND JPMORGAN CHASE BANK (Wood v. Deutsche Bank National Trust Company, et al.): Josh Rataezky, Esq. DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP Suite 2200	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 WITNESS: CHRISTIAN S. HYMER EXAMINATION BY PAGE Ms. Huelsman

612-339-0545 * Paradigm Reporting & Captioning Inc. * 800-545-9668

Christian S. Hymer 30(b)(6), 1/13/2010

	Page 5		Page 7
1	-	1	_
1	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE	1	CHRISTIAN S. HYMER,
2			being first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
3	No. 6: 8/3/07 Outlook e-mail, Roberson to	3	EXAMINATION
4	BK WamuEscrow@fnfs.net; Subject: 156/0697071314	5	BY MS. HUELSMAN:
5	FMIN	6	
6	No. 7: Correspondent Purchase Statement118		Q. Can you please state your name for the
7	LPS WOOD 0549	7	record?
8	No. 8: Assignment of Deed of Trust, Lost	8	A. Sure. My name is Christian Scott Hymer.
9	Assignment, Affidavit by Beneficiary, Lost		 Q. Can you spell your last name, please. A. H-Y-M-E-R.
10 11	Assignment Affidavit, Affidavit in Support of Motion for Relief From Stay	10 11	Q. And can you give me your business address,
12	No. 9: 6/28/07 letter between Northwest Trustee	12	please.
13	Services and First American re Northwest Trustee	13	A. Business address is 1270 Northland, one
14	Services and First American re Northwest Trustee	14	word Northland Drive, Suite 200, Mendota Heights,
15	of Trust	15	Minnesota, 55120.
16	LPS WOOD 0161	16	Q. Okay. Have you ever had your deposition
17	No. 10: 5/23/03 Appointment of Successor	17	taken before?
18	Trustee	18	A. No.
19	No. 11: 7/25/07 Lost Assignment Affidavit By	19	Q. Okay. So I'm assuming Mr. Spoonemore has
20	Beneficiary.	20	gone over all the rules with you, but I'm just going to
21	No. 12: Affidavit in Support of Motion for Relief	21	review a few things this morning, and that is that we
22	From Stay by Deutsche Bank National Trust	22	both need to wait until the other finishes speaking,
23	Company	23	because this nice lady has to take down every word that
24	No. 13: Amended Proof of Claim, signed by	24	we say. And it will, in fact, occur that we talk over
25	Moss Codilis.		each other, because that's the way people talk, but
	Page 6		Page 8
1	-	1	-
1	EXHIBITS (Continued):	1	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize
2	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE	2	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if
2 3	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127	2 3	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be
2 3 4	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128	2 3 4	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that.
2 3 4 5	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202	2 3	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible
2 3 4 5 6	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer	2 3 4 5 6	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those
2 3 4 5 6 7	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for	2 3 4 5 6 7	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy	2 3 4 5 6 7	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the credibility of your answer. So if you don't know,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the credibility of your answer. So if you don't know, saying "I don't know" is a perfectly acceptable answer.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the credibility of your answer. So if you don't know, saying "I don't know" is a perfectly acceptable answer. But, again, if you can make an estimate or something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the credibility of your answer. So if you don't know, saying "I don't know" is a perfectly acceptable answer. But, again, if you can make an estimate or something
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the credibility of your answer. So if you don't know, saying "I don't know" is a perfectly acceptable answer. But, again, if you can make an estimate or something like that, I can ask you to make your best estimate, which is different than a guess. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the credibility of your answer. So if you don't know, saying "I don't know" is a perfectly acceptable answer. But, again, if you can make an estimate or something like that, I can ask you to make your best estimate, which is different than a guess. Okay?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	EXHIBITS (Continued): PAGE No. 14: Proof of Claim, signed by Moss Codilis127 No. 15: First American Title Insurance Policy128 LPS WOOD 0162 - 0202 No. 16: 5/23/06 Limited Authority Officer Designations by Chief Executive Officer for Fidelity National Foreclosure and Bankruptcy Solutions	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 we're going to do our best not to do that or minimize it, and probably other attorneys will give us clues if we're doing that too frequently. So just try and be very careful with that. The second thing is you have to give audible answers. That means no um-hmm or un-unh, because those are vague and ambiguous and difficult to discern later when you're looking at a deposition transcript what it is you meant. So be sure and speak clearly and say yes or no. I do not want you to guess. I can, however, ask you to give me your best estimate of something. So in the event that you do not know the answer to a question, I don't want you to guess, because what you're saying here today is under oath, and if you change that testimony, later I can use it to go to the credibility of your answer. So if you don't know, saying "I don't know" is a perfectly acceptable answer. But, again, if you can make an estimate or something like that, I can ask you to make your best estimate, which is different than a guess. Okay? A. I understand. Q. Okay. Now, you understand you're here today

612-339-0545 * Paradigm Reporting & Captioning Inc. * 800-545-9668

	Page 9		Page 11
1		1	-
1	Q. Okay. So what that means is when I am asking	1	Q. When did you get your degrees, your
2	a question and I say "you," most of the time I'm going	2	bachelor's and your associate's?
3	to mean LPS, because that's who you're giving me	3	A. I Associate's degree would have been 19
4	testimony on behalf of. However, right when we get	4	excuse me, 1989. I believe it's '88 or '89; I can't
5	started, I am going to ask questions about you	5	recall. And my bachelor's degree would have been 1994.
6	personally to get some background information about you	6	I switched majors.
7	to ascertain how it is that you're able to provide me	7	Q. But ultimately the degree was in aeronautics,
8	with the answers that you have and to just to get some	8	you said?
9	background information on you.	9	A. It was in aeronautical science.
10	So right now I'm going to be asking you	10	Q. Okay.
11	questions about you personally, and so when I use	11	(Attorney Rataezyk joins telephonically.)
12	"you," I mean you personally. When we switch to	12	(A discussion was had off the record.)
13	questions about LPS, if I say "you," it means LPS.	13	BY MS. HUELSMAN:
14	Okay?	14	Q. So can you give me your employment history,
15	A. I understand.	15	starting with where you were employed after you got
16	Q. If at any time any of my questions are	16	
17	confusing or you don't understand, just let me know. I	17	A. After my bachelor's degree, I worked for an
18	will rephrase it. We want to get your best testimony	18	
19	here today, and my questions are not designed to trick	19	the name of Fairchild/Dornier Aerospace. I was a
20	you, they're designed to elicit testimony, and that's	20	professional pilot. I then worked for a national
21	all I really want. Okay?	21	airline called Vanguard Airlines out of Kansas City,
22	A. I understand.	22	Missouri. And I then worked for Northwest Airlines as
23	Q. Okay. Why don't you give me your educational	23	a pilot starting in 2001.
24	background.	24	My I was furloughed after 9/11, along with
25	A. My educational background is a	25	• • •
	Page 10		Page 12
1	Q. Starting from high school, I should clarify.	1	Jacksonville, Florida, where I taught comprehensive
2	A. Okay.	2	science to middle school kids, eighth grade; genetics,
3	Q. I don't need to know your middle school.	3	chemistry, physics, astronomy, et cetera. That was
4	A. I went to high school at Palm Beach Gardens	4	kind of my public service gig after 9/11.
5	High School in South Florida, got my associate of arts	5	I started employment with, at the time,
6	degree from the University of Florida.	6	Fidelity National Foreclosure Solutions in 2003, I
7	Q. Just so you know, you should look at me	7	believe it was October. When they moved Fidelity
8	because you're talking to me. She's going to take down	8	
9	what you say.	9	offered a position to join the company at that point in
10	A. Okay.	10	time.
11	MR. SPOONEMORE: That's okay for him to	11	Q. Okay. So that was in 2003?
12	speak to the court reporter.	12	A. 2003, correct.
13	MS. HUELSMAN: I know, but it's hard for	13	Q. Okay. And what was your position when you
14	me to get a read on him when he's looking at the court	14	started with Fidelity?
15	reporter.	15	A. I was an assistant vice president for
16	Q. So do whatever you want. You don't have to	16	Fidelity National Foreclosure Solutions for customer
17	look at her.	17	relations.
18	A. Okay. I just want to make sure I'm clear	18	Q. And Fidelity National Foreclosure Solutions,
19	when she's typing, so	19	is that a separate corporation?
20	My associate of art degree was from the	20	A. It is a separate company. It's under the
21	University of Florida in Gainesville, Florida. I	21	at the time it was under the Fidelity National
22	received a bachelor of science degree from the	22	
23	Embry-Riddle Aeronautical University, where I majored	23	···· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
24	in aeronautical science, with a minor in aviation	24	
25	business administration. That's it.	25	technology side essentially into a company called

	Christian S. Hymei	r 30	D(b)(6), 1/13/2010 Page: 4
	Page 13		Page 15
1	Fidelity Information Systems, and I don't know the	1	refer to them, so I want to make sure we're
2		2	communicating effectively.
3		3	And what is it that Fidelity did for its
4		4	customers when you were in this position in 2003?
5	which is now a separate company from Fidelity National	5	A. We did the same thing in 2003 we do today.
6		6	Q. Perfect. Describe it to me, please.
7	for LPS.	7	A. LPS, formerly known as Fidelity National
8		8	Foreclosure Solutions, today it's LPS Default
9	how did you describe LPS when it was spun off?	9	Solutions, we we serve we monitor a technology
10	A. It's a technology company.	10	system called LPS Desktop and monitor the keystone, or
11		11	milestone actions in a legal process and report the
12		12	information back to the servicer. We also service a
		13	liaison and facilitate communication between the law
13			
14		14	firm selected by the servicer to manage their legal action. We service the liaison between them as far as
15		15	
16		16	communication and assisting with resolution of certain
17	Q. Customer relations.	17	problems of a non-servicing nature that come up during
18		18	the course of the legal action.
19		19	Q. Okay. So let me make sure I understand you.
20		20	What you're saying is LPS's role is to be the middleman
21	didn't actually have a customer relations department.	21	between the servicer and the attorneys?
22	5	22	A. That's a a good description. We are not a
23		23	servicer and we're not a law firm. We don't practice
24		24	servicing. We don't do servicing activities involving
25		25	collecting payments from debtors. We have no
	Page 14		Page 16
1		1	interaction with debtors at all. We also do not
2	developed the structure for that, and approximately two	2	practice law. We merely serve as a liaison, as you
3	years later I was asked to move to Minnesota and take	3	said, between the law firm who was selected to receive
4	on a different role with the business unit itself.	4	that legal action and the servicer to ensure that the
5	Q. Okay. So who were Fidelity's customers at	5	milestone steps are updated appropriately and the
6	the time that you were observing and developing	6	servicer understands the status of their legal action.
7	protocols for?	7	Q. Okay. And so the attorneys that you're
8	A. Our customers are typically twofold. They	8	referring to, the tasks that they're typically
9	are banks, in other words, mortgage companies or	9	performing are foreclosures and/or Motions for Relief
10	lenders, and then they are attorneys.	10	from Stay and the like in bankruptcy court; is that
11	Q. And is it also mortgage servicing companies?	11	correct?
12	A. You can refer to it that way. I would glom	12	A. Typically foreclosures, bankruptcies, Motions
13	them all together as banks and mortgage servicing	13	for Relief, agreed orders, Proofs of Claim, and
14	companies, servicers, lenders.	14	occasionally evictions, some some firms do that
15		15	some servicers refer work for that as well.
16		16	Q. Okay. And the attorneys with whom LPS
17	separate. So, in other words, banks are banks, a	17	communicates, they are members, essentially, of LPS; is
18		18	that correct?
19	servicing company, a lender is a lender that doesn't	19	A. I don't know if I would characterize them as
20		20	members of LPS. They are a part of our LPS Default
21	A. Fair enough.	21	Solutions attorney network, which is essentially an
22	_	22	open network that any law firm selected by a servicer
23	sure we're clear.	23	is entitled to join, and as part of that network they
24		24	would be eligible to receive referrals directed to them
25		25	by the servicer.
Ľ		125	

	Page 17		Page 19
1	They basically sign a what we call a	1	know what, I'm going to return to that. Sorry.
2	network agreement with LPS Default Solutions, which	2	A. That's okay.
3	contains, you know, information about what their	3	Q. I'm going to stay focused on the attorneys
4	expectations are. They would agree to the client's	4	for a moment. Okay.
5	specific procedures and fee structure, and they would	5	And do you know if the network agreement that
6	sign a technology agreement with the LPS Desktop, which	6	with the parties in the Bain case has been provided
7	is a separate agreement, again, which is privacy	7	to me?
8	protection, et cetera.	8	A. I don't know the answer to that.
9	Q. Okay. So I think I understand you, I just	9	Q. And that actually begs a question that I
10	want to make it clear. So attorneys don't just a	10	forgot to ask you when we got started when I was going
11	law firm wouldn't just randomly call up LPS and say,	11	through the rules, so let me go off track for one
12	"Hi, I want to be part of your attorney network"?	12	second. Did you read any documents to prepare for
13	A. It happens every day.	13	
14	Q. Okay. What happens when they do that?	14	A. I read, I guess you'd call it, the Complaint
15	A. We refer them to our legal department. They	15	
16	send a contract to them. They are welcome to join the	16	Q. Okay.
17	network. Whether or not they get work depends on the	17	A. I read it yesterday, the first opportunity to
18	servicer, if the servicer chooses to send them work.	18	to see that. And outside of that, that's all I've
19	Q. Okay.	19	really read.
20	A. So they would typically market to the	20	Q. Okay. And just for a point of clarity, you
21	servicer to try to get that legal work	21	are here today in the Bain case, and I'm taking your
22	Q. Okay.	22	deposition testimony about that. But I'm getting
23	A and the servicer would select them, put	23	general information about LPS that's going to be used
24	them on a matrix and send them work through us.	24	in three cases that we have ongoing. So so allow me
25	Q. Okay. I'm sorry, I thought you had said that	25	to ask you a lot of very just general questions, and
	Page 18		Page 20
1	the servicer sent you the attorneys. So I'm glad you	1	then we'll turn specifically to the Bain case. Okay?
2	clarified.	2	A. I'd be happy to answer those. There's a lot
3	A. It works both ways.	3	of misconceptions out there, and hopefully we can clear
4	Q. Okay.	4	some of those up.
5	A. We bring on a new client, they have firms	5	Q. And how is LPS paid by the attorneys?
6	that haven't worked with us before, the servicer tells	6	A. You may need to rephrase that question. Are
7	us which firms they'd like us to extend contracts to,	7	you asking how they're invoiced, is that the question
8	and we would do so. And in other cases we have law	8	you're asking?
9	firms that approach us and ask if they can join the	9	Q. Sure. In other words, what services does LPS
10	network.	10	provide to the attorneys
11	Q. Great. Okay.	11	A. Okay
12	And when these attorneys sign the network	12	Q for which they are paid?
13	agreement, who are the parties to those network	13	A that's an easy question for me to answer.
14	agreements?	14	LPS provides ancillary support services to the law
15	A. I believe the parties would be LPS Default	15	firm, services related to assisting them to resolve
16	Solutions and the attorney itself attorney firm.	16	problems that happen during the legal action that are
17	Q. Okay. So the agreement is between LPS and	17	non-legal in nature.
18	the attorney firm, correct?	18	Q. What does that mean?
19	A. Correct.	19	A. Perhaps an example would help.
20	Q. Okay. And the technology agreement, who are	20	Q. Sure.
21	the parties to that agreement?	21	A. The law firm in the course of a legal action
22	A. LPS Desktop and the law firm.	22	is required to to draft a Complaint, a document of
23	Q. Okay. And so is LPS part of any excuse	23	some sort. They would draft the document. They would
24	me.	24	submit it through our technology, and we would provide
25	Describe to me the contracts actually, you	25	a support service to print that document, review the
			•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••

Page 211document to ensure that it was within the bounds of our signing authority.1Q. Okay. So, essentially, for referral of business, correct?3If within the bounds of our signing authority, we would be permitted to execute that document. If any verbiage within it or content or the document itself is a type that we were not permitted to sign, we would forward that document to the client or, in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we would use our technology and steps within the process1Q. Okay. So, essentially, for referral of business, correct?1document to ensure that it was within the process3A. We're paid for our supp at the time of referral. By that, we do that is because we reg that legal action, we're going to support services. We have no example, that a foreclosure we sale. In fact, that would probate	oort services up front , it The reason why gardless of the life of to continue to provide o interest in seeing, for
2signing authority.2referral of business, correct?3If within the bounds of our signing3A. We're paid for our supp4authority, we would be permitted to execute that4at the time of referral. By that,5document. If any verbiage within it or content or the5we do that is because we reg6document itself is a type that we were not permitted to6that legal action, we're going to7sign, we would forward that document to the client or,7support services. We have no8in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we8example, that a foreclosure we	oort services up front , it The reason why gardless of the life of to continue to provide o interest in seeing, for
3If within the bounds of our signing3A. We're paid for our supp4authority, we would be permitted to execute that4at the time of referral. By that,5document. If any verbiage within it or content or the5we do that is because we reg6document itself is a type that we were not permitted to67sign, we would forward that document to the client or,78in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we8	, it The reason why gardless of the life of to continue to provide o interest in seeing, for
4authority, we would be permitted to execute that4at the time of referral. By that,5document. If any verbiage within it or content or the5we do that is because we reg6document itself is a type that we were not permitted to6that legal action, we're going to7sign, we would forward that document to the client or,7support services. We have no8in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we8example, that a foreclosure we	, it The reason why gardless of the life of to continue to provide o interest in seeing, for
5document. If any verbiage within it or content or the document itself is a type that we were not permitted to sign, we would forward that document to the client or, in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we5we do that is because we reg that legal action, we're going to support services. We have no example, that a foreclosure we	gardless of the life of to continue to provide o interest in seeing, for
6document itself is a type that we were not permitted to6that legal action, we're going to7sign, we would forward that document to the client or,7support services. We have no8in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we8example, that a foreclosure we	o continue to provide interest in seeing, for
7sign, we would forward that document to the client or,7support services. We have no8in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we8example, that a foreclosure we	interest in seeing, for
8 in some cases, to the investor for signature, and we 8 example, that a foreclosure we	•
	ere to go to foreclosure
9would use our technology and steps within the process9sale. In fact, that would probable	
	bly argue it's it's
10 to track that document throughout the life cycle from 10 counter to that, that, actually, v	we would prefer that
11 the time it was uploaded until the time it was 11 it reaches successful resolution	on with the borrower
12 returned. 12 prior to sale, because we have	e to manage it for less
13We have extensive reporting that helps us13time.	
14 understand turn time, know where documents are. We do 14 Q. But don't you get paid mo	ore for managing it
15that across the board in the variety of support15for longer periods of time?	
16services that we offer.16A. No.	
17 Q. Okay. And how is LPS paid? Are they paid by 17 Q. Okay. You have a flat fee	e?
18the attorney? Are they paid by the servicer who's18A. Flat fee right up front.	
19asked the attorney to perform that service?19Q. Sorry. I thought you were	e going to say
20A. It depends on the service. For many20something else. Sorry. All right.	
21 services, they are the model that we operate under 21 Why don't you tell me wha	at the agreements are
22 is a vendor supportive model, "vendor" meaning the 22 between servicers, banks and let	nders in that on that
23 attorney office would pay for that service. There are 23 side of the with LPS. What are	e those agreements
24some support services that the servicer pays for24called?	
25directly.25A. The agreements betwee	en LPS and our servicing
Page 22	Page 24
1 Q. So in your scenario that you just gave me a 1 customers are typically called a	a default servicing
2 few minutes ago, a law firm drafts a document, and it's 2 agreement, and they consist of	f basically a legal
3 sent on to LPS to see whether or not it's appropriate 3 contract for that includes the	contractual
4 for LPS to sign the document. The law firm then pays 4 relationship between the service	cer and LPS. Typically
5 LPS for that service? That's considered a support 5 it includes a schedule of servic	es and provisions for
6 service? 6 confidentiality, identification for	or error, and the
7 A. That's that's part of the Yeah. 7 typical contract pieces.	
8 Correct. That would be one of the support services it 8 Q. And, I'm sorry, I forgot to a	ask you one thing
9 would provide, and part of what the fee they would pay 9 about attorneys. Back on the atto	orney relationships
10 would include that activity. 10 solely. Does LPS keep track of the solely.	he time in which an
11 Q. Okay. And are there also payments made for 11 attorney or law firms perform the statements	services?
12using the software and the platform?12A. The time that we perform	n services?
13A. There are payments made. That's part of the13Q. The attorneys perform the	e service.
14technology agreement. There is a technology fee14A. Okay. We The technol	logy system itself is
15 assessed for each, we call it a referral, but it, 15 a data aggregator, it collects da	ata. We utilize that
16 essentially, would be a legal action of some sort or an 16 data to create a variety of repor	rts on the loans that
17action. It's not always a legal action. But for every17we monitor for our customers,	and our customers being
18referral type there is a fee, and that fee ranges18either, again, the servicer or the	e law firm.
19between \$5 and \$75, depending on the activity to be19We can use that data on we can use the data on we can use that data on we can use the data on we can use that data on we can	what we consider,
20 performed and the technology in play or processes in 20 let's say, a stage in the process	s to determine a
21 play to track it. 21 timeline for completion of that	process, whether it
22 Q. Okay. So, for example, is LPS paid when a 22 be for example, a fee and cos	st request, if a loss
23servicer makes a referral to a law firm within the23mitigator at a servicer is on the	e phone with a debtor
24network to do perform some service?24and trying to get a payoff or rei	instatement quote, we
25A. Yes. LPS is paid upon referral.25 that activity takes place in out	ur technology system

1 to ask all attorneys who may have an action pending or a naction that they just completed for a fee and cost quote and return that information back. There are some, for example, GSEs, invest that say, We expect the foreclosure to last this i Obviously, the regulatory and legal environment changes, and therefore our model and our scort changes with that. It's simply measuring what's possible at this point in time. 2 as the attorneys' understanding of what their beers are doing and what their network firms are doing. That as the attorneys' understanding of what their beers are doing and what their network firms are doing. That the last point in time. C. So to be clear, your testimony is LPS sets in changes with that. It's simply measuring what's possible at this point in time. 1 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 1 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. A. You are correct, we do not rake teadallines. 2 Ocesmit LPS also rank the law firms on their timeliness? So with them easurements. It just says from the time that this stage started to the time this stage there's no subjective measurements. It just says from the time that this stage started to the time this stage as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. Co. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank and lenders, I should say – 2 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. Co. To when the task is supposed to be setablished by the servicer, not by for source on hold, those as being, essentially, above saverage, average, or blog workes are also things ike foreclosure? Sowe wouldn't rank them, but we would file f				(b)(0); 1/15/2010 1 age: 1
2 an action that they just completed for a fee and cost a quote and return that information back. a that say, we expect the foreclosury ola legal environment 3 quote and return that information back. a that say, we expect the foreclosury and legal environment 5 was requested to the time it gets back, and we use that b objoolsus, the regulatory and legal environment 7 as the attorneys' understanding of what their peers are changes, and therefore our model and our scorn 8 doing and what their network firms are doing. That b heips them from a coaching aspect and a consulting 10 aspecif. Chan exciting use of that. cas the distory is LPS sets in deadlines. 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. cas the distory is a stay that sets is a stage. 12 GD. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their cas well some help we can be a contact as the stage. 12 A. We don't ank them. What we do is well cas weg as com help for you from the client on something? Are you missing something? That 13 display wher thy which has stage satistic to the time that stage. cas a timelines? Servicers, bank 2 as a the duoting rank them. What we do is would the fore dispes during that period of a stay. 2 as a the duoting rank them, but we would dis display where they which we they stay.		Page 25		Page 27
3 quote and return that information back. 2 that say, We expect the foreclosure to last this 1 4 We track the time from the time that quote 5 5 information to help provide both the servicers, as well 6 6 information to help provide both the servicers, as well 6 7 as the attorney's understanding of what their peers as doing and what their network firms are doing. That 7 8 doing and what their network firms are doing. That 7 9 helps them from a coaching aspect and a consulting 0 10 aspecif. 0 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 11 12 We've seen that turn time go from form tonus to under the time thing of the peone trying to got a 10 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to got a 10 work, if they have exceeded the time fit timelines? 15 work don't rank them. What we do is we assigning 10 the the that this stage started to the time this stage 16 ocan actowed example, we might display their timeline for completion of what we call as stap. 2 17 that say, We expect the fore colosure of this. 10 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we a	1	to ask all attorneys who may have an action pending or	1	the time frame.
4 Obviously, the regulatory and legal environment 5 was requested to the time if gets back, and we use that 6 doing and what their network firms are envicors, as well 7 as the attorneys' understanding of what their peers are 8 doing and what their network firms are endoing. That 10 aspect. 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 12 We've seen that turn time go from four hours to under 13 fis morkout, or a deal done. 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to got a 15 workout, or a deal done. 16 Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 17 firmeliness? 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 19 ocarangle, we might display their timeline for completion 10 ocaranis tages. And what we're doing is saying 21 ot certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 21 ot certain settries this stage 22 Q. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 23 and anders, I should say - 24 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. <	2	an action that they just completed for a fee and cost	2	There are some, for example, GSEs, investors
s was requested to the time it gets back, and we use that s changes, and therefore our model and our scord ding and what their network firms are doing. That set and therefore our model and our scord a stope that their network firms are doing. That 0 C. So to be clear, your restimony is LPS sets no is a back their network firms are doing. 0 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 10 12 We've seen that turn time go form four hours to under 10 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a 10 15 wintow a deal done. 10 14 to loss mitigators on four reporting, for 10 15 work out is stages. And what we're doing is saying 11 16 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 12 17 the time that this stage started to the time this stage 10 18 the time that this stage started to the time this stage 10 19 display where they - where they sit. We would the 11 10 display where they - where they sit. We would the 12 11 foreclosures on hold, those are also things we measure. 12	3	quote and return that information back.	3	that say, We expect the foreclosure to last this long.
6 information to help provide both the servicers, as well 6 changes with that. It's simply measuring what's possible at this point in time. 7 as the attorneys' understanding of what their preers are doing. That 9 helps them from a coaching aspect and a consulting 9 helps them from a coaching aspect and a consulting 0. So to be clear, your testimony is LPS sets n 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 10 specific time periods? 12 We've seen that turn time go from four hours to under 11 3. A. You are correct, we do not set deadlines. 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a workout, or a deal done. 11 3. Workout, or a deal done. 11 15 workout, or a deal done. 11 3. We don't rank them. What we do is well 11 3. A. We don't rank them. What we do is well 12 southing you need? Is there some holp we ca 16 of carling is tages. And what we're doing is saying 12 can we get some help for you from the client on something? Y match? 13 17 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 14 14 14 14 16 performance. 14 14 14 14 14 14	4	We track the time from the time that quote	4	Obviously, the regulatory and legal environment
7 as the attorneys' understanding of what their peers are a the attorneys' understanding of what their peers are doing and what their network firms are doing. That helps them from a coaching aspect and a consulting a spect. So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 9 G. So to be clear, your testimony is LPS sets in peerforming certain functions in - in specific time periods? 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 10 specific time periods? 12 They we seen that turn time go from four hours to under to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a workout, or a deal done. 10 12 O. Desen't LPS also rank the law firms on their time. 11 They have exceeded the time fir time that this stage started to the time this stage ther is no subjective measurements. It just says from time, allowing carve-outs for certain activities susp. ther also neary they — where they sit. We would ther also have recearcities, like 1 had mentioned, ther law firms. The law firms. The law firms. The law firms, mo ary propective a a ta intelline performance. 10 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go ahead. 10 foreclosures? 10 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go ahead. 11 display where they — where they sit. We would the sta time inportanto. 10	5	was requested to the time it gets back, and we use that	5	changes, and therefore our model and our scorecard
a doing and what their network firms are doing. That a b helps them from a coaching aspect and a consulting aspect. 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 10 12 We've seen that turn time go from four hours to under 11 13 16 minutes nationwide, which has real benefit, I think, 14 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a 14 15 workout, or a deal done. 15 16 O. Desen't LPS also rank the law firms on their 16 17 timeliness? 17 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 18 19 operatin tasgas. And what we're doing is saying 17 11 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 18 12 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 12 13 the ine that this stage started to the time this stage 14 14 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 26 2 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 14 A. Tron taware - 13 a sa bankruptcy filing or other activi	6	information to help provide both the servicers, as well	6	changes with that. It's simply measuring what's
9 helps hel	7	as the attorneys' understanding of what their peers are	7	possible at this point in time.
10 aspect. 10 specific time periods? 11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 11 A. You are correct, we do not set deadlines. 12 We've seen that turn time go from four hours to under 11 11 13 The interes in the phone trying to get a 12 monitor how - when the attorneys are performing 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a 12 work, if they have exceeded, let's say, the state 16 Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 11 it meiness? 12 would typically, as part of our role, be a contact 16 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 18 somthing you need? Is there some help we can act 16 17 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 12 C. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 18 dended, how much time elapsed during that period of 12 an lenders, I should say 2 A. I'm not aware 2 2 2 2 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 16 and lenders, I should say 18 as a tamkine, performance as far as a tamkine, stop/hold turn time, how 3 A. I'm not aware 2	8	doing and what their network firms are doing. That	8	Q. So to be clear, your testimony is LPS sets no
11 So it's kind of an exciting use of that. 11 A. You are correct, we do not set deadlines. 12 We've seen that turn time go from four hours to under 12 monitor how - when the attorneys are performinants. 14 More the attorneys are performing. 12 monitor how - when the attorneys are performinants. 15 workout, or a deal done. 12 monitor how - when the attorneys are performinants. 15 workout, or a deal done. 13 work if they have exceeded, the say, the state average for completion of what we call a stage, in work, if they have exceeded the time fine its sage at the law firms on their 16 Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 16 would typically, as part of our role, be a contact 17 That's typically being done for this. Is there 18 something you need? I share some help we ca 12 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying Can we get some help for you from the client on something? That's typically being done for this. Is there 12 there is no subjective measurements. It just says from and lenders, I should say 2 14 the is no subjective measurements. It just says from and lenders, I should say 2 15 sa a timeline performance. 2 16 sa a bankruptey filing or other acti	9	helps them from a coaching aspect and a consulting	9	deadlines for performing certain functions in in
12 We've seen that turn time go from four hours to under 12 monitor how when the attorneys are performined 13 15 minutes nationwide, which has real benefit, I think, 13 work, if they have exceeded, let's say, the state 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a 13 work, if they have exceeded, let's say, the state 15 workout, or a deal done. 15 workout, or a deal done. 16 16 Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 16 ast them, "It looks like you exceeded the time firm 17 timeses? 17 that's typically being done for this. Is there 19 display - In one version of our reporting, for 20 something Yare you missing something?" That's typically being done for this. Is there 10 or certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 11 role. 21 Q. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 21 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 21 0 A. I'm not aware - 22 it me, allowing carve-outs for certain activities such 21 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 23 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 2 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 2<	10	aspect.	10	specific time periods?
13 15 minutes nationwide, which has real benefit, I think, 13 work, if they have exceeded, let's say, the state 14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a 14 average for completion of what we call a stage, 15 Workout, or a deal done. 15 would typically, as part of our role, be a contact 16 Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 16 ask them, "It looks like you exceeded the time fn 17 timeliness? 17 that's typically being done for this. Is there 10 display – In one version of our reporting, for 18 something you need? Is there some help we ca 10 of certain stages. And what we're doing is say if 17 that's typically being done for this. Is there 21 the time that this stage started to the time this stage ended, how much time elapsed during that period 20 O. bervicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 23 as a bankruptor filing or other activity. 2 A. I'm not aware - 2 Q for when the task is supposed to be 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go ahead. 3 A. I'm not privy to any internal 3 timelines performance. 4 Imelines the absting system. Those templates 4	11	So it's kind of an exciting use of that.	11	A. You are correct, we do not set deadlines. We
14 to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a 14 average for completion of what we call a stage, 15 workout, or a deal done. 15 16 Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 16 17 timeliness? 17 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 18 19 display – In one version of our reporting, for 19 10 ocar we get some help for you from the client on 11 or cartain stages. And what we're doing is saying 21 12 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 22 12 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 23 14 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 20 0. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 13 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 20 0 for when the task is supposed to be 1 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 20 0 for when the task is supposed to be 2 as a inmeline performance. 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 3 as a timeline performance. 1 1 4 timelines they might set or expectations t	12	We've seen that turn time go from four hours to under	12	monitor how when the attorneys are performing the
15 workout, or a deal done. 15 workout, or a deal done. 16 Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 16 16 16 17 timeliness? 16 as them, "It looks like you exceeded the time firmed in their stage started to the time for completion 17 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 18 something You need? Is there some help we ca 19 displayin one version of our reporting, for 18 something? Are you missing something?" That's typically being done for this. Is there 20 example, we might display their timeline for completion 20 something? Are you missing something?" That's typically being done for this. Is there 21 or certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 11 role. 21 22 the time that this stage started to the time this stage 22 0. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 23 and lenders, I should say 2. 0 for when the task is supposed to be 24 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 20 No werage, average, or below average performance as far 3 as a timeline performance. 7 A. Sure. I'm not privy to any internal 3 fore closure on hold, those are also thing	13	15 minutes nationwide, which has real benefit, I think,	13	work, if they have exceeded, let's say, the state
16 Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their 16 ask them, "It looks like you exceeded the time fn 17 Timeliness? 17 that's typically being done for this. Is there 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 16 ask them, "It looks like you exceeded the time fn 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 16 can we get some help for you from the client on 19 can we get some help for you from the client on 20 something? Are you missing something?" That 21 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 20 C. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 22 the time that this stage started to the time this stage and lenders, I should say Q for when the task is supposed to be 24 A. I'm not aware Q for when the task is supposed to be 20 2 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 4 4 11 4 group them by color code as being, essentially, above 3 A. Sure. I'm not aware 3 as timeline performance. 1 4 1 4 as to anknowledge or you respont to are question. 1 1 1 5 tas ta sa costs turn tim	14	to loss mitigators on the phone trying to get a	14	average for completion of what we call a stage, we
17 timeliness? 17 that's typically being done for this. Is there 18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 18 something you need? Is there some help we ca 19 display In one version of our reporting, for 18 something you need? Is there some help we ca 10 display In one version of our reporting, for 19 Can we get some help for you from the client on 11 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 10 can we get some help for you from the client on 12 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 11 role. 0. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 12 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 21 or of when the task is supposed to be 12 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 10 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 2 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 3 as a timeline performance. 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 3 as a timeline performance. 1 the data we gather, that information is in 14 file fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how fas to suc an acknowle	15	workout, or a deal done.	15	would typically, as part of our role, be a contact and
18 A. We don't rank them. What we do is we 18 something you need? Is there some help we ca 19 display In one version of our reporting, for 19 Can we get some help for you from the client on 20 carample, we might display their timeline for completion 20 something? Are you missing something? Tha 21 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 10 can we get some help for you from the client on 22 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 11 role. Q. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, bank 23 the time that this stage started to the time this stage ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 22 24 A. I'm not aware Q for when the task is supposed to be 25 sa a bankruptcy filling or other activity. 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 3 as a bankruptcy filling or other activity. 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 3 as a timeline performance. 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 3 as a timeline performance. 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 4 timeline performance. 1 1	16	Q. Doesn't LPS also rank the law firms on their	16	ask them, "It looks like you exceeded the time frame
19 display In one version of our reporting, for 19 Can we get some help for you from the client on 20 example, we might display their timeline for completion 20 21 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 21 21 the time that this stage started to the time this stage 20 22 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 21 23 the time that this stage started to the time this stage 22 24 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 22 25 time, allowing carve-outs for certain activities such 25 26 so a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 20 27 A serve mouth't rank them, but we would 21 3 display where they where they sit. We would then 22 3 group them by color code as being, essentially, above 3 4 file fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how 4 7 And service or to stop a foreclosure, put a 6 10 for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a 10 11 foreclosure on hold, those are also things like 11 11 filing Motions fo	17	timeliness?	17	that's typically being done for this. Is there
20 example, we might display their timeline for completion 20 21 of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying 21 22 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 22 22 thet time that this stage started to the time this stage 22 24 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 22 25 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 24 26 mot as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 25 27 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 20 3 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 20 3 so we wouldn't rank them, but we would 21 4 group them by color code as being, essentially, above 3 as a timeline performance. 7 7 And service activities, like I had mentioned, 11 11 fore closure on hold, those are also things we measure. 7 12 fore closure on hold, those are also things we measure. 11 13 foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure. 11 14 G. Aren't there also deadlines. for things like 11 15 filim	18	A. We don't rank them. What we do is we	18	something you need? Is there some help we can offer?
21of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying2122of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying2122there's no subjective measurements. It just says from2223the time that this stage started to the time this stage2224ended, how much time elapsed during that period of2325ended, how much time elapsed during that period of24261as a bankruptcy filing or other activity.2427So we wouldn't rank them, but we would23display where they where they sit. We would then24group them by color code as being, essentially, above25average, average, or below average performance as far37And service activities, like I had mentioned,18like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how29for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a116foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.17They're important to understand responsiveness of the18wendors selected by the servicer.19Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like111foreclosures?12A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All19we do is measure what's possible in the legal10more astablish a time frame where you12We don't establish a time frame where you13have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We<	19	display In one version of our reporting, for	19	Can we get some help for you from the client on
22 there's no subjective measurements. It just says from 22 23 the time that this stage started to the time this stage 22 24 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 23 25 time, allowing carve-outs for certain activities such 24 26 mot aware 22 27 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 25 28 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 26 29 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 20 30 display where they where they sit. We would then 3 41 group them by color code as being, essentially, above 3 42 as a timeline performance. 4 43 Ithe files w firms, from our perspective and the data we gather, that information is in 44 the data we gather, that information is in 1 45 the file aw firms, from our perspective and the data we gather, that information is in 46 tas a sumeline performance. 7 47 toreclosure or hold, those are also things we measure. 11 48 foreclosures? 11 40 A. There are no dea	20	example, we might display their timeline for completion	20	something? Are you missing something?" That's our
23 the time that this stage started to the time this stage 23 and lenders, I should say 24 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 24 25 time, allowing carve-outs for certain activities such 25 26 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 25 27 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 26 3 display where they where they sit. We would then 27 4 group them by color code as being, essentially, above 28 26 as a timeline performance. 29 7 And service activities, like I had mentioned, 10 8 like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how 20 9 fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request 11 10 for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a 11 11 foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure. 11 12 They're important to understand responsiveness of the 12 14 Q. Aren't there also deadlines. We don't institute 13 16 foreclosures? A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute 17 A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute	21	of certain stages. And what we're doing is saying	21	role.
24 ended, how much time elapsed during that period of 24 A. I'm not aware 25 time, allowing carve-outs for certain activities such 25 Q for when the task is supposed to be 1 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 2 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 1 performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go 3 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 2 ahead. 4 group them by color code as being, essentially, above 4 timelines they might set or expectations they have 5 average, average, or below average performance as far as a timeline performed?. 7 7 And service activities, like I had mentioned, 11 timelines they might set or expectations they have 6 as a timeline performance. 7 their law firms. The law firms. Those templates 7 And service activities, like I had mentioned, 11 timelines they bestroer. And the due date fort the 11 forclosure on hold, those are also things we measure. 12 They're important to understand responsiveness of the 12 they reason why they couldn't complete a step of the perforeclosures? 11	22	there's no subjective measurements. It just says from	22	Q. Do servicers set timelines? Servicers, banks
25 time, allowing carve-outs for certain activities such 25 Q for when the task is supposed to be 1 as a bankruptcy filing or other activity. 1 2 So we wouldn't rank them, but we would 1 3 display where they where they sit. We would then 2 4 group them by color code as being, essentially, above 3 5 average, average, or below average performance as far 6 6 as a timeline performance. 6 7 And service activities, like I had mentioned, 7 16 fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how 9 9 fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request 9 11 fore closure on hold, those are also things we measure. 11 12 They're important to understand responsiveness of the 12 13 vendors selected by the servicer. 13 14 Q. Aren't there also deadlines. We don't institute 14 15 filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting 15 16 foreclosures? 16 17 A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute 18 18	23	the time that this stage started to the time this stage	23	and lenders, I should say
Page 261as a bankruptcy filing or other activity.2So we wouldn't rank them, but we would3display where they where they sit. We would then4group them by color code as being, essentially, above5average, average, or below average performance.7And service activities, like I had mentioned,8like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how9fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request10for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a11for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a12They're important to understand responsiveness of the13vendors selected by the servicer.14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like15filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting16foreclosures?17A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All19we do is measure what's possible in the legal10environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for12you.13have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We14merely measure the time from when it was referred until14and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct15filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting16foreclosures?17A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All19we do is measure what's possible in the legal </th <th>24</th> <th>ended, how much time elapsed during that period of</th> <th>24</th> <th>A. I'm not aware</th>	24	ended, how much time elapsed during that period of	24	A. I'm not aware
1as a bankruptcy filing or other activity.12So we wouldn't rank them, but we would13display where they where they sit. We would then34group them by color code as being, essentially, above3aaverage, average, or below average performance as far3aas a timeline performance.57And service activities, like I had mentioned,78like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how69fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request710for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a1011foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.1112If the attorney cannot complete a step of the14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like1415filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting1616foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute1718any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All1819we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920We don't establish a time frame where you2121We don't establish a time frame where you2222We don't establish a time frame where you2324merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	25	time, allowing carve-outs for certain activities such	25	Q for when the task is supposed to be
2So we wouldn't rank them, but we would3display where they where they sit. We would then4group them by color code as being, essentially, above5average, average, or below average performance as far6as a timeline performance.7And service activities, like I had mentioned,8like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how9fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request10for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a11foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.12They're important to understand responsiveness of the13vendors selected by the servicer.14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like15filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting16foreclosure?17A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All19we do is measure what's possible in the legal20We don't establish a time frame where you21We don't establish a time frame where you22We don't establish a time frame where you23have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We24merely measure the time from when it was referred until24merely measure the time from when it was referred until25relationship and direct connection with the service26and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct		Page 26		Page 28
3display where they where they sit. We would then3A. Sure. I'm not privy to any internal4group them by color code as being, essentially, above3A. Sure. I'm not privy to any internal5average, average, or below average performance as far4timelines they might set or expectations they have6as a timeline performance.57And service activities, like I had mentioned,78like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how89fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request1010for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a1011foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.1112They're important to understand responsiveness of the1213re-projection or an extension for that step and expl14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like1415filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting1516foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute1719we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920We don't establish a time frame where you2021We don't establish a time frame where you2122We don't establish a time frame where you2224merely measure the time from when it was referred until2424relationship and direct connection with the service	1	as a bankruptcy filing or other activity.	1	performed? I'd like to ask a better question, but go
4group them by color code as being, essentially, above average, average, or below average performance as far as a timeline performance.4timelines they might set or expectations they have their law firms. The law firms, from our perspective and the data we gather, that information is in templates in the Desktop system. Those templates established by the servicer. And the due date for the - those steps is determined by the servicer, not by LPS.10for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a torelosure on hold, those are also things we measure. They're important to understand responsiveness of the filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting for as my deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All we do is measure what's possible in the legal environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for you.18timelines they might set or expectations they have their law firms. The law firms from our perspective and the data we gather, that information is in templates in the Desktop system. Those templates established by the servicer. And the due date for the process, a milestone step, they would request a re-projection or an extension for that step and expli- the reason why they couldn't complete the step at ta time. We would have responsibility to review that a either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just remains due in the system.19We don't establish a time frame where you you.20Na the original filed. We and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct relationship and direct connection with the servicer.	2	So we wouldn't rank them, but we would	2	ahead.
5average, average, or below average performance as far as a timeline performance.5their law firms. The law firms, from our perspective and the data we gather, that information is in templates in the Desktop system. Those templates established by the servicer. And the due date for the - those steps is determined by the servicer, not by LPS.10for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a 107If the attorney cannot complete a step of the process, a milestone step, they would request a re-projection or an extension for that step and expli- the reason why they couldn't complete the step at the image of the step ins fore closure?14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like for acen't there also deadlines. We don't institute any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All we do is measure what's possible in the legal environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for you.1612We don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We are lationship and direct connection with the servicer.1721We don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We are merely measure the time from when it was referred until2122We don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We are to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We are to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct relationship and direct connection with the servicer	3	display where they where they sit. We would then	3	A. Sure. I'm not privy to any internal
6as a timeline performance.67And service activities, like I had mentioned,71like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how89fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request710for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a1011foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.1112They're important to understand responsiveness of the1213vendors selected by the servicer.1314Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like1415filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting1516foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute1718any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All1819we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920environment today. And maybe l'II clarify that for2021We don't establish a time frame where you2122We don't establish a time frame where you2223have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We2424merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	4	group them by color code as being, essentially, above	4	timelines they might set or expectations they have of
7And service activities, like I had mentioned, like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.7templates in the Desktop system. Those templates established by the servicer. And the due date for th those steps is determined by the servicer, not by LPS.11foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.11If the attorney cannot complete a step of the process, a milestone step, they would request a re-projection or an extension for that step and expl14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting foreclosures?15If the reason why they couldn't complete the step at t time. We would have responsibility to review that a either approve it, deny it, in which case the step jus remains due in the system.18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All we do is measure what's possible in the legal environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for you.20Ne don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct relationship and direct connection with the servicer	5	average, average, or below average performance as far	5	their law firms. The law firms, from our perspective,
8like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.8established by the servicer. And the due date for th those steps is determined by the servicer, not by LPS.12They're important to understand responsiveness of the vendors selected by the servicer.11If the attorney cannot complete a step of the process, a milestone step, they would request a re-projection or an extension for that step and expli- the reason why they couldn't complete the step at the time. We would have responsibility to review that a either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just remains due in the system.18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All we do is measure what's possible in the legal environment today. And maybe l'Il clarify that for you.18Q. Okay. And so is LPS the only facilitator of communications between the attorneys and the service In other words, do they communicate directly as well?22We don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We merely measure the time from when it was referred until2424merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	6	as a timeline performance.	6	and the data we gather, that information is in
9fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.9 those steps is determined by the servicer, not by LPS.11foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.11If the attorney cannot complete a step of the process, a milestone step, they would request a re-projection or an extension for that step and expl the reason why they couldn't complete the step at the time. We would have responsibility to review that at either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just remains due in the system.18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All we do is measure what's possible in the legal environment today. And maybe I'll clarify that for you.1021We don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We merely measure the time from when it was referred until2424merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	7	And service activities, like I had mentioned,	7	templates in the Desktop system. Those templates are
10for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a10LPS.11foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.11If the attorney cannot complete a step of the12They're important to understand responsiveness of the1213vendors selected by the servicer.1314Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like1415filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting1516foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute1718any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All1819we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920we don't establish a time frame where you2121We don't establish a time frame where you2223have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We2324merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	8	like fees and costs turn time, stop/hold turn time, how	8	established by the servicer. And the due date for the
11foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.11If the attorney cannot complete a step of the12They're important to understand responsiveness of the12process, a milestone step, they would request a13vendors selected by the servicer.13re-projection or an extension for that step and expl14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like14the reason why they couldn't complete the step at the reason why they could have responsibility to review that at either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just of environment today. And maybe l'II clarify that for19you.20A. That's a good question. We are absolutely n	9	fast you can acknowledge or you respond to a request	9	those steps is determined by the servicer, not by
12They're important to understand responsiveness of the vendors selected by the servicer.12process, a milestone step, they would request a re-projection or an extension for that step and explait the reason why they couldn't complete the step at the time. We would have responsibility to review that a either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just remains due in the system.16foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All we do is measure what's possible in the legal environment today. And maybe l'II clarify that for you.1820We don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We arely measure the time from when it was referred until2123merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	10	for a service or to stop a foreclosure, put a	10	LPS.
13vendors selected by the servicer.13re-projection or an extension for that step and explain14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like14the reason why they couldn't complete the step at the service the any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All1819we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for2021you.2122We don't establish a time frame where you2123have to get, for example, y	11	foreclosure on hold, those are also things we measure.	11	
14Q. Aren't there also deadlines for things like14the reason why they couldn't complete the step at the time. We would have responsibility to review that a time. We would have responsibility to review that a either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just remains due in the system.16foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute1718any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All1819we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for2021you.2122We don't establish a time frame where you2123have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We2324merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	12		12	
15filing Motions for Relief from Stay or starting15time. We would have responsibility to review that a either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just16foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute1718any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All1819we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920environment today. And maybe I'll clarify that for2021you.2122We don't establish a time frame where you2123have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We2324merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	13	-	13	re-projection or an extension for that step and explain
16foreclosures?1617A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute1718any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All1819we do is measure what's possible in the legal1920environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for2021you.2122We don't establish a time frame where you2123have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We2324merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	14	•	14	the reason why they couldn't complete the step at that
17A. There are no deadlines. We don't institute17remains due in the system.18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All18Q. Okay. And so is LPS the only facilitator of19we do is measure what's possible in the legal19communications between the attorneys and the service20environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for20In other words, do they communicate directly as well?21you.21A. That's a good question. We are absolutely22We don't establish a time frame where you22A. the only communication link between the law fiand the servicer. The law firm always has a direct23merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	15		15	time. We would have responsibility to review that and
18any deadlines, any timelines upon the law firms. All18Q. Okay. And so is LPS the only facilitator of19we do is measure what's possible in the legal19communications between the attorneys and the service20environment today. And maybe l'll clarify that for20In other words, do they communicate directly as well?21you.2122We don't establish a time frame where you2223have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We2324merely measure the time from when it was referred until24			16	either approve it, deny it, in which case the step just
19we do is measure what's possible in the legal19communications between the attorneys and the service20environment today. And maybe I'll clarify that for20In other words, do they communicate directly as well?21you.2122We don't establish a time frame where you2123have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We2324merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	17		17	-
20environment today. And maybe I'll clarify that for you.20In other words, do they communicate directly as well?21you.2122We don't establish a time frame where you have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We erely measure the time from when it was referred until20In other words, do they communicate directly as well?23merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	18		18	
21you.21A. That's a good question. We are absolutely22We don't establish a time frame where you22not the only communication link between the law fit23have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We23and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct24merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	19		19	communications between the attorneys and the servicers?
22We don't establish a time frame where you22not the only communication link between the law fi23have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We23and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct24merely measure the time from when it was referred until24	20	environment today. And maybe I'll clarify that for		
23 24have to get, for example, your Complaint filed. We merely measure the time from when it was referred until23 24and the servicer. The law firm always has a direct 2423 24relationship and direct connection with the servicer				
24 merely measure the time from when it was referred until 24 relationship and direct connection with the service	22	-		not the only communication link between the law firm
				-
1251 the actual Complaint was filed. So we don't establish 1251 They are both encouraged and provided with conta	24	-		-
	25	the actual Complaint was filed. So we don't establish	25	They are both encouraged and provided with contacts by

	Christian 5. Hymei		rage: 0
	Page 29		Page 31
1	LPS and by the servicer for service or contacts. But	1	the larger law firm entity, correct?
2	there should be an understanding, there are certain	2	A. Correct. If the if they have
3	non-servicing functions, like I described earlier, that	3	subcontracted legal work in some case to another law
4	the servicer has asked LPS to assist with on their	4	firm that's not part of our network, we would have no
5	behalf.	5	interaction, nor would that subcontracted firm be
6	So typically the law firms would go to us	6	authorized to access our technology systems and input
7	first before they would contact a servicer, if those	7	information.
8	are things we're participating in, to see if we can	8	Q. Right. So they have to have a direct
9	help them first.	9	relationship with you in order to get into your
10	Q. Okay. And so to understand, the source of	10	technology and platform, correct?
11	your information, do you know for certain that lenders	11	A. It's a secure system
12	and servicers excuse me, attorneys always speak to	12	Q. Right.
13	lenders, servicers, banks, or are you just that's	13	A so they have to have passwords and a
14	your general understanding, that they also	14	contract with us. And it's it's a violation of our
15	communicate	15	technology agreement, I believe, for them to provide
16	A. I know for certain	16	access to that technology to employees that are not
17	Q separately from LPS?	17	employees of their firm.
18	A. Sorry for talking over you.	18	Q. Okay. All right.
19	Q. That's okay.	19	So I'm sorry to go back and forth a little
20	A. I know for certain we I am completely	20	bit. I sometimes skim over my own notes.
21	familiar with our practice of sending contacts out for	21	All right, so when we were talking about the
22	servicers. I have personally had involvement in	22	lenders, servicers and banks, those are the entities
23	iterating to law firms and reminding them that they	23	that have the default servicing agreement with LPS,
24	always have that direct line of communication. And I	24	correct?
25	know in our network agreement it also clearly states	25	A. You are correct.
	Page 30		Page 32
1	that they have a direct relationship in communication,	1	Q. Anybody else that I'm missing?
2	an attorney-client privilege, with the servicer	2	A. No.
3	themselves.	3	Q. Okay. So what services does LPS provide
4	Q. And that's true even when you have the large	4	under the default servicing agreement? You said that
5	law firm relationships where you have, you know, like	5	there's a schedule of services that's included. What
6	the Shapiro law firms, who have then other they hire	6	are those services?
7	other local attorneys? So are you speaking only about	7	A. The the services vary customer by
8	law firms with which you have a direct contract	8	customer, so it would be hard for me to give you a
9	relationship with, or are you also speaking about those	9	laundry list across the board, but I can give you some
10	kind of the larger networks, the Reimer McCulloughs,	10	examples of the typical services we would perform on
11	you know, the big law firms that then farm out business	11	behalf of a servicer. One service would be to monitor
12	to other small entities at local courts?	12	bankruptcy filings on loans within a customer's
13	A. I do understand what you're asking. I am not	13	portfolio. We use technology systems such as Acer or
14	privy to the back office relationships that any law	14	reporting from the client through technology systems
15	firm has with another party. Our network agreement is	15	like Banco to give notification of a new bankruptcy
16	between us and the law firm who receives the referral	16	filing.
17	work. If they outsource that work that they were	17	We would then validate that bankruptcy by
18	assigned to do to another firm or to another vendor of	18	accessing the court docket and ensuring that it
19	some sort for a portion of that, they are still	19	affected the servicer's loan and it is their debtor.
20	responsible, per our network agreement, as far as we're	20	And then we would typically suspend the foreclosure
21	concerned, for communicating directly to the client, so	21	workstation in the client's servicing system and
22	and to us. We would not be going to their back	22	activate the bankruptcy workstation per the client-
23	office support; we would be going to personnel that	23	directed procedure. That would be an example of a
24	worked for that law office.	24	support service that we provide to a servicer.
25	Q. Okay. So your communication would be with	25	Q. Anything else? I mean, I can't imagine that

	Christian S. Hymer		D(D)(6), 1/13/2010 Page: 9
	Page 33		Page 35
1	they all differ that much. I mean, each sender	1	transmitted to the client's system. So it serves In
2	servicer, lender or bank is generally doing the same	2	that way, it's kind of a uni-directional flow of
3	thing	3	information from the law firm so their employees can
4	A. You'd be surprised.	4	work in their own system and not have to access
5	Q in a foreclosure bankruptcy setting?	5	multiple systems to report back-status of the legal
6	A. You'd be surprised how much they differ, and	6	action.
7	the reason reasons are varied.	7	Q. So, in a way, it's kind of just another step
8	LPS Desktop is a technology system. You	8	or another piece of the role as being the middleman
9	could call it an overlay, but it's it's not truly an	9	LPS's role as a middleman to facilitate those software
10	overlay over their system of record. The servicer	10	and electronic communications
11	maintains a system of record. There are several large	11	A. That's our role. Our job is, you know, the
12	systems of record out there that are in use.	12	technology company that helps figure out how to bring
13	Q. And and can you clarify for me what you	13	all the parties together and make sure the information
14	mean by "system of record" before you continue with	14	is transmitted.
15	that answer?	15	Q. Okay. So when you described to me typical
16	A. I'd be happy to. It's the system in which	16	services, you were focusing on bankruptcies. What are
17	they keep all of the information on the loans that they	17	other typical services that LPS would perform for
18	are servicing.	18	the
19	Q. So it's separate software or	19	A. Servicers.
20	A. It is	20	Q servicers/lenders category under the
21	Q a separate platform?	21	default servicing agreement?
22	A separate software to LPS Desktop.	22	A. I'll give you an example related to a
23	Q. But does it link with LPS Desktop?	23	referral, since that's a topic we are discussing.
24	A. We do map information from LPS Desktop to the	24	Q. Okay.
25		25	A. LPS doesn't initiate a referral for a
	Page 34		Page 36
1	to transfer data and information about the status of a	1	foreclosure or a bankruptcy. We don't we don't have
	of an individual process or loan between the	2	any decision-making power as to or knowledge whether
	systems. However, the actual system of record, the	3	or not or how a servicer decides to foreclose on a
4		4	loan. They trigger the referral from their system
5		5	directly to us, and then that data, that that
6	Q. But LPS's software and platform can talk to	6	referral, or that the data associated with the loan,
7	you through the systems?	7	along with images of documents that they store in their
8	A. That's a good way to look at it. They do	8	system pertaining to the loan, would then transmit
9	talk to each other. There are essentially several	9	electronically to us. We consider that an initiation
10	ways, I think, from a technology standpoint, and I'm	10	of a referral.
11	not a technology expert, but	11	Q. So it's a servicer saying, "Hi. Here's this
12	Q. And I'm not, either. I just trying That's	12	loan, and we want to foreclosure on it"?
13	why I'm using a layperson	13	A. They wouldn't they probably wouldn't say
14	A. They do talk to each other, and the the	14	"hi" when they do
15	attorneys typically use case management software of	15	Q. Well
16	their own to monitor the legal cases they've been	16	A it, but, yes, they would flag their system
17	referred. And there's a variety of case management	17	typically by inserting some code or something else that
18	softwares out there that are in use today. There are	18	we would then pick up in a data file that comes to us.
19	some homegrown ones, and there's some that are, you	19	Q. And that's what puts you on notice that an
20	know, marketed out to law firms.	20	action needs to be taken as regards to this particular
21	We built the LPS Desktop to serve as a portal	21	loan?
22	in order for that law firm to be able to electronically	22	A. Yes, that's what puts us on notice. And a
23	transfer information directly from their case	23	support source we would provide would be if for some
24	management system into the LPS Desktop, and then	24	reason that referral failed to load in our system,
25	certain types of steps and completions are then	25	typically it's because there's a missing piece of data,
			······

	Christian S. Hymei	r 3 0	P(D)(6), 1/13/2010 Page: 10
	Page 37		Page 39
1	for example, an investor code was not available in the	1	allocated is in the system, but you don't know what
2	client's system in the data file, that loan would not	2	that is; am I understanding you?
3	be able to actually refer to their vendor.	3	A. Well, let me explain it a different way
4	Our job would be to review the loans where a	4	Q. Okay.
5	piece of missing critical data was missing, and we	5	A and I'll use an attorney matrix. For a
6	would then try to either contact the client or look in	6	given client they would have a list of attorneys by
7	their servicing system to determine what's missing and	7	every state
8	fix that so that the referral could then go to counsel.	8	Q. Okay.
9	Q. Okay. So when a servicer is initiating this	9	A and they would designate based upon, in
10	process, are they at the same time choosing the vendor	10	some cases it might be a check digit split, certain
11	that they want to use or the law firm that they want to	11	loan numbers ending in a certain digit. It might be
12	use in that communication?	12	based upon a certain investor. For example, maybe all
13	A. It's a good question, and it actually happens	13	Fannie Mae loans go to one specific firm in the state,
14	two different ways.	14	or they might have other business rules in play related
15	Q. Okay.	15	to other investors in their portfolio or something
16	A. In some cases the clients designate the	16	else. Maybe an investor wants their work only to go to
17	vendor in their system. We consider that a vendor	17	this firm in the state.
18	model of what we call vendor vendor selection. So	18	All that information is loaded, like I said,
19	they actually put a code in their system that says,	19	into a table. Our system would accept the data from
20	this is the firm that this referral should go to.	20	the client in the model where we would use New Refs as
21	In other cases they've told us ahead of time,	21	determining the vendor selection. It would consult
22	here are all the firms I want my work referred to and	22	that table to determine where it was supposed to go and
23	the business rules and process rules by which each gets	23	apply the business rules.
24	work, and they trigger the referral. And we have a	24	And there are some other When I say
25	system called New Refs which reviews that, what we call	25	business rules, there are rules for, for example,
	Page 38		Page 40
1	attorney matrix and the business rules attached	1	connectivity. If an action is started with one firm
2	therein, and determines which firm it should go to.	2	and a subsequent action is later referred years later,
3	Q. So, in other words, the business rules are	3	many clients would want that work to go to the same
4	the criteria for each firm to get the business?	4	firm because they already have a file, and it would
5	A. They are Correct. They are preset, in	5	keep things moving a little bit smoother. So rules
6	some cases, where it's loaded into New Refs into, like,	6	like that are built in to maintain connectivity, for
7	a table. In other cases we just read directly off the	7	example.
8	data file and the vendor code the client puts in, and	8	Q. Okay.
9	they make that determination. How they make their	9	And so what I was asking you, and I got off
10	determination who to send it to I am not privy to.	10	track a littleI wanted to talk about that, but I
11	They will share with us their network or who they want	11	wanted those questions answeredyou were explaining to
12	to refer files to. And the reason we keep that is to	12	me when a servicer would what kind of typical
13	make sure that any communications they need to send to	13	services would be performed where there's a
14	their chosen network are sent to those firms.	14	foreclosure?
15	So we have to have kind of a general idea of	15	A. So you'd like some more services a few
16	who they're sending work to. But I'm not privy as to	16	more to be described?
17	why, in those cases of a a vendor of a client who	17	Q. I don't know if that was the totality of it
18	chooses who sets the vendor themselves in their	18	or not
19	system, I don't know why they choose which firm.	19	A. Oh, no.
20	That's that's their	20	Q I just wanted to make sure I had a
21	Q. Okay. But just when you were talking,	21	complete answer, because you we started talking
22	though, a minute ago, the criteria	22	about a little more detailed about the process.
23	A. Yeah.	23	A. Sure. There's there's a I'll give you
24	Q when they have a list of firms, what	24	a few more as an example. But, literally, there are
25		25	dozens and dozens
		1	

	Page 41		Page 43
1	Q. Okay.	1	document's missing and we need help from them to to
2	A and we would be here for all day going	2	retrieve that.
3	through them.	3	Q. Okay. Does LPS, when it's, I guess, acting
4	Q. Okay.	4	in its role as between these parties, ever verify or
5	A. A couple of other typical ones would be a	5	take any action to verify whether or not the servicer
6	missing document. A referral package is triggered by	6	has the legal authority to make the request for legal
7	the servicer and sent to their vendor, their attorney,	7	action that it's requesting?
8	or trustee in some cases, in a given state, depending	8	A. No. It's not our We wouldn't be privy to
9	on the requirements of that state for the action. And	9	the contracts or authorizations they have with their
10	if a required document, for example, a note or a	10	investors.
11	mortgage or something else that's necessary for the	11	Q. Okay. And you've repeatedly referenced a
12	action, an assignment is missing, the attorney would	12	coding section for the investors. Does that mean that
13	raise what we call an issue or launch a process, or	13	whenever LPS is performing its role, it has a specific
14	basically flag us in some way, flag our LPS Desktop	14	field requirement in its platform that requires that
15	system to alert us that they needed help. So that's a	15	the investor who actually owns the loop be identified?
16	support service that we're kind of providing for both	16	A. Yes, within the loan information screen, and
17	entities.	17	typically, also, sometimes within process information
18	We're going on behalf of that law firm and	18	screens, those are kind of back screens to the
19	typically accessing the client's servicing system,	19	processor loan in question, the investor associated
20	typically their imaging systems, if we have access to	20	with the loan, the name of that investor, the English
21	that, looking for that document. It's possible the	21	language name, is included on that screen. The code
22	document exists and it was imaged, but it was indexed	22	would not be included on that general screen.
23	incorrectly. So we're looking to see, can we find this	23	Should the investor changeas you know,
24	document that they need? If we can't find it, we're	24	these, you know, loans are bought and soldan investor
25	typically raising a task for the client in that case to	25	change process would be launched and would thereby
	Page 42		Page 44
1	notify them to ask their collateral department, for	1	update that information in the LPS Desktop. But we are
1	notiny them to ask their conateral department, for	1	upuale that mormation in the LFS Desktop. But we are
2	example, to try to retrieve that document that's	2	relying upon the client to notify us that there's been
2 3	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it,		relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise.
	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back.	2	relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there
3	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said,	2 3	relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank
3 4	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep	2 3 4	relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct?
3 4 5	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently.	2 3 4 5	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible
3 4 5 6	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to	2 3 4 5 6	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank.
3 4 5 6 7	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and	2 3 4 5 6 7	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. 1 I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. We would typically have access to systems 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"? A. I would consider it that way.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. We would typically have access to systems that would store general documents that associated 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"? A. I would consider it that way. Q. Okay. So LPS would know the investor and
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. We would typically have access to systems that would store general documents that associated with the action that they that they're trying to 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"? A. I would consider it that way. Q. Okay. So LPS would know the investor and then the servicer and/or whoever's communicating with
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. We would typically have access to systems that would store general documents that associated with the action that they that they're trying to refer. But it's not an absolute that we would always 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"? A. I would consider it that way. Q. Okay. So LPS would know the investor and then the servicer and/or whoever's communicating with it to tell it to take action, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. We would typically have access to systems that would store general documents that associated with the action that they that they're trying to refer. But it's not an absolute that we would always have access.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"? A. I would consider it that way. Q. Okay. So LPS would know the investor and then the servicer and/or whoever's communicating with it to tell it to take action, correct?
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. We would typically have access to systems that would store general documents that associated with the action that they that they're trying to refer. But it's not an absolute that we would always have access. In the event we don't have access, then our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"? A. I would consider it that way. Q. Okay. So LPS would know the investor and then the servicer and/or whoever's communicating with it to tell it to take action, correct? A. Correct. Q. Which is generally not the investor, but some
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	example, to try to retrieve that document that's necessary. And we track that process of asking for it, following up on it, and getting the document back. So it's all kind of, again, as you had said, middleman to try to facilitate the process and keep things running efficiently. Q. Okay. So do you does LPS have access to all of the imaging systems for the servicers and lenders with which it works? A. No, we don't have access to all of the imaging systems. We have access to many of our clients' imaging systems, and typically not all their imaging service. Servicers typically have more than one imaging platform, some have several, and it depends on their business model and what they store on each platform. We would typically have access to systems that would store general documents that associated with the action that they that they're trying to refer. But it's not an absolute that we would always have access. In the event we don't have access, then our	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 relying upon the client to notify us that there's been an investor change. We would not know that otherwise. Q. But that information In other words, there always has to be some information in that that blank on that screen, correct? A. Correct. I I would assume it's possible that it would be blank. Q. But that's what's something that's expected to be there? A. I would expect to see something on that screen in those cases, yes. Q. Okay. So that LPS should have the information about who the investor is, which would be the you're using that as the owner of the loan; is that correct? Is that what you're referring to when you use "the investor"? A. I would consider it that way. Q. Okay. So LPS would know the investor and then the servicer and/or whoever's communicating with it to tell it to take action, correct?

Page 451investor whether or not to foreclose; they come from1a. You are correct.233454. You are correct.599101111121314151617161718191910101011111213141515 </th <th>Page 47</th>	Page 47
2 the servicer. 2 based upon the client procedure and the cl 3 Q. Okay. And that was on the loan information 3 fagging their system to ask us to refer that 4 Screen, you said? 2 based upon the client procedure and the cl 6 Q. Okay. 6 for Relief from Stay. 2 7 MS. HUELSMAN: Josh? Josh? 9 Okay. If it's a scenario where the born? 8 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 9 plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankr 10 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 bankruptcy. It would never see a performing 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 bankruptcy. It would never see a performing 12 WS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to 12 We would, nor some clares, like a support see 13 take, yeah, one second. We're going to – He's going 14 looking to make sure there's no bankruptcy 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 14 Iooking to make sure there's no bankruptcy 15 water. 15 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 16 Iooking to make sure there's no bankruptcy 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. <t< th=""><th>inama Chave</th></t<>	inama Chave
3 Q. Okay. And that was on the loan information 3 flagging their system to ask us to refer that for Relief from Stay. 4 4 for Relief from Stay. Q. Okay. 7 A. You are correct. Q. Okay. O. Okay. If is a scenario where the borror of is simply going to do a Chapter 13 plan and, y make their payment with arrears over the life of plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankrupt work as well? 8 MR. RATAEZYK: I'm here. 9 plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankrupt work as well? 10 Sure everything was coming through okay for you. 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to get a glass of 14 No. We would never see a performing to get a glass of 14 take, yeah, one second. We're going to - He's going 13 portfolio, like I said, through a technology I 15 water. 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 13 portfolio, like I said, through a technology I 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 14 looking to make sure there's no bankruptcy. 14 17 received from the bankruptcy court to see I 16 mail item was received that pertained to on 18 mainte. 18 mail interwas received that pertained to on <t< td=""><td>-</td></t<>	-
4 screen, you said? 4 for Relief from Stay. 5 A. You are correct. Q. Okay. Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. Q. Okay. Q. Okay. 7 MS. HUELSMAN: Josh? Josh? si simply going to do a Chapter 13 plan and, yor 8 MR. RATAEZYK: I'm here. barny plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankr 9 MS. HUELSMAN: Oh. Just wanted to make 9 10 sure everything was coming through okay for you. 10 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 12 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to gt a glass of 12 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 13 14 minute. 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 16 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 18 minute. 18 19 mail item was received that pertained to on 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 10 10 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 10 11 maint item was received that pertained to on 10 11 main	-
5 A. You are correct. 5 Q. Okay. 6 Q. Okay. MS. HUELSMAN: Josh? Josh? 5 Q. Okay. 7 MS. HUELSMAN: Josh? Josh? 7 make their paignent with arrears over the life of is simply going to do a Chapter 13 plan and, yr make their paignent with arrears over the life of a plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankruptor. 10 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 10 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 12 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to get a glass of 14 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 14 15 water. 15 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great. 16 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 18 minute. 16 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 10 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 11 MS. HUELSMAN: 20 12 Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with 17 12 Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with 18 12 foreclosure. We have templates within the technology. 25 14<	it Motion
6 Q. Okay. 6 is simply going to do a Chapter 13 plan and, yor 7 MS. HUELSMAN: Josh? Josh? make their payment with arrears over the life of plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankr 9 MS. HUELSMAN: Oh. I just wanted to make plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankr 10 sure everything was coming through okay for you. 10 A. No. We would never see a performing 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 bankruptcy. It would it would not hit our 12 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to get a glass of 14 looking to make sure there's no bankruptcy. 13 take, yeah, one second. We're going to get a glass of 14 looking to make sure there's no bankruptcy to the mail 14 minute. 15 And in some cases, like a support set 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 16 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 18 minute. 18 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 10 No. HUELSMAN: 20 20 Ko dese LPS have any specific policies with 22 21 BY MS. HUELSMAN: 21 22 Ko the clien	
7 MS. HUELSMAN: Josh? Josh? 7 make their payment with arrears over the life of plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankr 9 MR. RATAEZYK: I'm here. 9 work as well? 10 sure everything was coming through okay for you. 10 A. No. We would never see a performing bankruptcy. It would it would not hit our 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 bankruptcy. It would it would not hit our 12 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to 12 Make their payment with arrears over the life of the bankruptcy. 13 take, yeah, one second. We're going to He's going 14 Iooking to make sure there's no bankruptcy. 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 14 Iooking to make sure there's no bankruptcy. 15 water. 15 And in some cases, like a support see it minute. 16 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 17 regard to foreclosures for each individual state? 18 21 BY MS. HUELSMAN: 20 14 Iooans, whether it he performing loan or a loans, whether it he aperforming loan or a loans, whether it he a bankruptcy tort to see to mail item was received that pertained to on loans, whether it he aperforming loan or a loans, whether it he aperforming loan or a loans, whether a clansta someone takes actil	
8 MR. RATAEZYK: I'm here. 8 plan, is LPS involved in that piece of the bankr 9 MS. HUELSMAN: Oh. I just wanted to make 9 work as well? 10 sure everything was coming through okay for you. 10 A. No. We would never see a performing 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 bankruptcy. It would it would not hit our 12 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to 13 portfolio, like I said, through a technology I 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 14 looking to make sure there's no bankruptcy 15 water. 15 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great. 16 mould hanle it, we would monitor the mail 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 received from the bankruptcy court to see t 18 minute. 18 mail item was received that pertained to on 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 loasn, whether it be a performing loan or a 11 received from the bankruptcy that sea that point it would probably be a 10 18 mail item was received that pertained to on loasn, whether it be a performing loan or a 12 Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with 21	
9MS. HUELSMAN: Oh. 1 just wanted to make9work as well?10sure everything was coming through okay for you.10A. No. We would never see a performing11MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine.11bankruptzy. It would it would not hit our12MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to12We would, for some clients, monitor their bid13take, yeah, one second. We're going to He's going13bortfolio, like I said, through a technology I14to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of14looking to make sure there's no bankruptzy15MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great.15And in some cases, like a support set16MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.16mail item was received that pertained to on17MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.19looking to make sure there's no bankruptzy our to see t18minute.18mail item was received that pertained to on19MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.19loans, whether it be a performing loan or a20(A break was taken.)20loans, whether it be a performing loan or a21BY MS. HUELSMAN:21Q. So when you have a bankruptzy that's22A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for2323foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,2524A. I would want to track in the legal action, and they2525would have subprocesses to track other relevant126they would want to track in the legal action. Those127by state ba	
10 sure everything was coming through okay for you. 10 A. No. We would never see a performing bankruptcy. It would it would not hit our 11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 12 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to 12 13 take, yeah, one second. We're going to He's going 13 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 14 15 water. 15 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great. 16 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 18 minute. 18 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 10 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 11 MS. HUELSMAN: 20 20 A. Break was taken.) 20 21 MS. HUELSMAN: 21 22 Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with 22 23 Foreclosure. We have templates within the technology, 25 24 A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for 24 25 foreclosure. We have templates within the technology, 25 3 would have subprocesses to track oth	cruptcy
11 MR. RATAEZYK: Yep, everything is fine. 11 12 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to 12 13 take, yeah, one second. We're going to He's going 13 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 14 15 water. 15 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great. 16 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 18 minute. 18 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 10 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 11 booking to make sure there's no bankruptcy 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 10 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 18 minute. 18 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 20 (A break was taken.) 20 21 BY MS. HUELSMAN: 21 22 Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with 22 23 A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for 24 24 A. I would't wat to track in the legal action, and they 23 25 so it's not being	
12MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Good. I'm going to12We would, for some clients, monitor their b13take, yeah, one second. We're going to He's going1314to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of1415water.1516MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great.1617MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one1718minute.1619MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.1920(A break was taken.)2021BY MS. HUELSMAN:2022C. So does LPS have any specific policies with2123Foreclosures for each individual state?2324A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for2425foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,2526foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,2527out have subprocesses to track other relevant128would have subprocesses to track other relevant129vould want to track in the legal action, and they230would have subprocesses to track other relevant14processes necessary to support the legal action. Those45would be in the system. And they would vary typically56by state based upon whether you have a state where you57have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the78regulatory environment today maybe you have to track89orthings like that and the court might have to be10 <td>•</td>	•
13 take, yeah, one second. We're going to He's going 13 portfolio, like I said, through a technology I 14 to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of 14 looking to make sure there's no bankruptcy 15 Water. 15 And in some cases, like a support set 16 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great. 16 would handle it, we would monitor the mail 17 MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one 17 received from the bankruptcy court to see f 18 minute. 18 mail item was received that pertained to on 19 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 19 loas, whether it be a performing loan or a 20 (A break was taken.) 20 that's at that point it would probably be a 21 BY MS. HUELSMAN: 21 where a motion might have been filed, w'r 22 Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with 22 that for the clients that someone takes acti 23 regard to foreclosures for each individual state? 23 o. So when you have a bankruptcy that's 24 A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for 24 and somebody's putting together a Chapter 13 25 foreclosure. We have templates within the technology, 2	
14to get some coffee, and I'm going to get a glass of14looking to make sure there's no bankruptcy15MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great.15And in some cases, like a support set16MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great.16would handle it, we would monitor the mail17MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one17received from the bankruptcy court to see f18minute.18mail item was received that pertained to on19MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.19loans, whether it be a performing loan or a20(A break was taken.)20that's at that point it would probably be a21BY MS. HUELSMAN:20that's at that point it would probably be a22Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with2223regard to foreclosures for each individual state?2324A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for2425foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,2525foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,2526would have subprocesses to track other relevant127they would want to track in the legal action, and they23would have subprocesses to track other relevant34processes necessary to support the legal action. Those45would be in the system. And they would vary typically56by state based upon whether you have a state where you47Q. Okay.78regulatory environment today maybe you have to track<	
15water.15And in some cases, like a support set16MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great.16would handle it, we would monitor the mail17MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one17received from the bankruptcy court to see t18minute.18mail item was received that pertained to on19MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.19loans, whether it be a performing loan or a20(A break was taken.)20that's at that point it would probably be a21BY MS. HUELSMAN:21where a motion might have been filed, we'r.22Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with22where a motion might have been filed, we'r.23regard to foreclosures for each individual state?23Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 1325foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,25so it's not being handled by LPS because som28would want to track in the legal action, and they1together a plan, right, and then the person's m3would have subprocesses to track other relevant1together a plan, right, and then the person's m4processes necessary to support the legal action. Those4A. Maybe I should clarify the the proc5would be in the system. And they would vary typically5with the bankruptcy to help you.6by state based upon whether you have a state where you7A. In the event that borrower files bank	
16MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Great.16would handle it, we would monitor the mail17MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one17received from the bankruptcy court to see the mail item was received that pertained to on19MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.19loans, whether it be a performing loan or a20(A break was taken.)20that's at that point it would probably be a21BY MS. HUELSMAN:21where a motion might have been filed, we're22Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with22that for the clients that someone takes active23regard to foreclosures for each individual state?23Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's:24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 1325foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,25so it's not being handled by LPS because som24Page 461together a plan, right, and then the person's m25would have subprocesses to track other relevant14processes necessary to support the legal action, and they33would be in the system. And they would vary typically56by state based upon whether you have a state where you47have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the78regulatory environment today maybe you have to track89certain other notices or other activity like mediation910or things like that and the court might have to be1010or t	
17MS. HUELSMAN: So just literally one17received from the bankruptcy court to see to mail item was received that pertained to on18minute.18mail item was received that pertained to on19MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.19loans, whether it be a performing loan or a20(A break was taken.)20that's at that point it would probably be a21BY MS. HUELSMAN:21where a motion might have been filed, we'n22Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with22where a motion might have been filed, we'n23regard to foreclosures for each individual state?23Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 1325foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,25so it's not being handled by LPS because som2Page 461together a plan, right, and then the person's m2processes necessary to support the legal action. Those4A. Maybe I should clarify the the proce3would be in the system. And they would vary typically5in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass6by state based upon whether you have a state where you6A. In the event that borrower files bank7have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the7Q. Okay.8regulatory environment today maybe you have to track8A. In the event that borrower files bank9or things like that and the court might have to be10involved in th	
18minute.18mail item was received that pertained to on loans, whether it be a performing loan or a that's at that point it would probably be a that's at that point it would probably be a that's at that point it would probably be a where a motion might have been filed, we're that for the clients that someone takes active Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with regard to foreclosures for each individual state?18 that's at that point it would probably be a where a motion might have been filed, we're that for the clients that someone takes active Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's and somebody's putting together a Chapter 13 so it's not being handled by LPS because some Page 461determined by the client, of what milestone steps that they would want to track in the legal action, and they would have subprocesses to track other relevant would be in the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track certain other notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be18 have to track mail item was received that pertained to on loans, whether it be a performing loan or a that's at that point it would probably be a where a motion might have to be10or things like that and the court might have to be1010or things like that and the court might have to be10	-
19MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.19Ioans, whether it be a performing loan or a that's at that point it would probably be a that for the clients that someone takes action Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 2121 20 20 21 22 21 22 22 23 24 24 23 24 24 24 25 25 26 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 22 22 23 24 23 24 24 23 24 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21	-
20(A break was taken.)20that's at that point it would probably be a21BY MS. HUELSMAN:21where a motion might have been filed, we'r22Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with22that's at that point it would probably be a23regard to foreclosures for each individual state?23where a motion might have been filed, we'r24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 1325foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,25so it's not being handled by LPS because som24determined by the client, of what milestone steps that1together a plan, right, and then the person's m2payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimesthat, but not always, correct?44processes necessary to support the legal action. Those5with the bankruptcy to help you.7have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the7Q. Okay.8regulatory environment today maybe you have to track8A. In the event that borrower files bank9or things like that and the court might have to be10involved in that typically, where either the state they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r	
21BY MS. HUELSMAN:21where a motion might have been filed, we'r22Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with22where a motion might have been filed, we'r23regard to foreclosures for each individual state?23Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 1325foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,25so it's not being handled by LPS because som24A etermined by the client, of what milestone steps that1together a plan, right, and then the person's m2they would want to track in the legal action, and they2so it's not always, correct?3would have subprocesses to track other relevant3together a plan, right, and then the person's m4processes necessary to support the legal action. Those4Maybe I should clarify the the proc5would be in the system. And they would vary typically5in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass6by state based upon whether you have a state where you7A. In the event that borrower files bank7kave a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the7Q. Okay.8regulatory environment today maybe you have to track8A. In the event that borrower files bank9or things like that and the court might have to be10involved in that typically, where either the start is a plan r	
22Q. So does LPS have any specific policies with22that for the clients that someone takes active23regard to foreclosures for each individual state?23Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 1325foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,25so it's not being handled by LPS because som24determined by the client, of what milestone steps that1together a plan, right, and then the person's m2would have subprocesses to track other relevant1together a plan, right, and then the person's m3would have subprocesses to track other relevant3that, but not always, correct?4processes necessary to support the legal action. Those4A. Maybe I should clarify the the proc5would be in the system. And they would vary typically5in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass6by state based upon whether you have a state where you7A. In the event that borrower files bank7na server that and the court might have to be10involved in that typically, where either the server	
23regard to foreclosures for each individual state?23Q. So when you have a bankruptcy that's24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 1325foreclosure. We have templates within the technology,25so it's not being handled by LPS because som26determined by the client, of what milestone steps that1together a plan, right, and then the person's m2yould want to track in the legal action, and they2payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes3would have subprocesses to track other relevant3that, but not always, correct?4processes necessary to support the legal action. Those4A. Maybe I should clarify the the proc5would be in the system. And they would vary typically5in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass6by state based upon whether you have a state where you7A. In the event that borrower files bank7Regulatory environment today maybe you have to track8A. In the event that borrower files bank9certain other notices or other activity like mediation9they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r10or things like that and the court might have to be10involved in that typically, where either the sector	
24A. I wouldn't characterize them as policies for foreclosure. We have templates within the technology, foreclosure. We have templates within the technology, Page 4624and somebody's putting together a Chapter 13 so it's not being handled by LPS because som Page 461determined by the client, of what milestone steps that they would want to track in the legal action, and they would have subprocesses to track other relevant processes necessary to support the legal action. Those would be in the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track certain other notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 13 so it's not being handled by LPS because som page 461determined by the client, of what milestone steps that they would want to track in the legal action, and they would have subprocesses to track other relevant processes necessary to support the legal action. Those would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track generation ther notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be24and somebody's putting together a Chapter 13 so it's not being handled by LPS because som together a plan, right, and then the person's m payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?2A. Maybe I should clarify the the proce in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass with the bankruptcy to help you. Q. Okay.3	-
25foreclosure. We have templates within the technology, Page 4625so it's not being handled by LPS because som Page 461determined by the client, of what milestone steps that they would want to track in the legal action, and they would have subprocesses to track other relevant processes necessary to support the legal action. Those would be in the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track gertain other notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be25so it's not being handled by LPS because som Page 461determined by the client, of what milestone steps that they would want to track in the legal action, and they would have subprocesses to track other relevant by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track gertain other notices or other activity like mediation 101together a plan, right, and then the person's m payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?2A. Maybe I should clarify the the proce in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass with the bankruptcy to help you. Q. Okay.3Q. Okay.4N. In the event that borrower files bank they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r involved in that typically, where either the set they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r involved in that typically, where either the set	
Page 461determined by the client, of what milestone steps that1together a plan, right, and then the person's m2they would want to track in the legal action, and they2payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes3would have subprocesses to track other relevant3that, but not always, correct?4processes necessary to support the legal action. Those4A. Maybe I should clarify the the proce5would be in the system. And they would vary typically5in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass6by state based upon whether you have a state where you6with the bankruptcy to help you.7have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the7Q. Okay.8regulatory environment today maybe you have to track8A. In the event that borrower files bank9certain other notices or other activity like mediation91010or things like that and the court might have to be10in volved in that typically, where either the start	
1determined by the client, of what milestone steps that they would want to track in the legal action, and they would have subprocesses to track other relevant1together a plan, right, and then the person's m payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?4processes necessary to support the legal action. Those would be in the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track gertain other notices or other activity like mediation 101together a plan, right, and then the person's m payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?8Regulatory environment today maybe you have to track gertain other notices or other activity like mediation 101together a plan, right, and then the person's m payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?9would be in the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track so they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r involved in that typically, where either the so	
2they would want to track in the legal action, and they would have subprocesses to track other relevant processes necessary to support the legal action. Those would be in the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track certain other notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be2payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?2payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?3the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track the reduction2payments, so I guess you said LPS sometimes that, but not always, correct?4A. Maybe I should clarify the the process in the Desktop that might be tracked in ass with the bankruptcy to help you. Q. Okay.7Q. Okay.8certain other notices or other activity like mediation 1010or things like that and the court might have to be10In the typically, where either the state of	Page 48
 would have subprocesses to track other relevant processes necessary to support the legal action. Those would be in the system. And they would vary typically by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track certain other notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be that, but not always, correct? A. Maybe I should clarify the the process in the Desktop that might be tracked in asses with the bankruptcy to help you. Q. Okay. A. In the event that borrower files bank they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r involved in that typically, where either the set 	-
4processes necessary to support the legal action. Those4A. Maybe I should clarify the the processes5would be in the system. And they would vary typically5in the Desktop that might be tracked in asses6by state based upon whether you have a state where you6with the bankruptcy to help you.7have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the7Q. Okay.8regulatory environment today maybe you have to track8A. In the event that borrower files bank9certain other notices or other activity like mediation9they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r10or things like that and the court might have to be10involved in that typically, where either the state of	es monitors
 ⁵ would be in the system. And they would vary typically ⁶ by state based upon whether you have a state where you ⁷ have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the ⁷ regulatory environment today maybe you have to track ⁹ certain other notices or other activity like mediation ¹⁰ or things like that and the court might have to be ⁵ in the Desktop that might be tracked in asseminate where you ⁶ with the bankruptcy to help you. ⁷ Q. Okay. ⁸ A. In the event that borrower files bank they're going to file a plan. There's a plan reinvolved in that typically, where either the seminate where you 	
 by state based upon whether you have a state where you have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the regulatory environment today maybe you have to track certain other notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be with the bankruptcy to help you. Q. Okay. A. In the event that borrower files bank they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r involved in that typically, where either the state the state of the	
 7 have a judicial or non-judicial process, or on the 8 regulatory environment today maybe you have to track 9 certain other notices or other activity like mediation 10 or things like that and the court might have to be 7 Q. Okay. A. In the event that borrower files bank they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r 10 involved in that typically, where either the second second	sociation
 regulatory environment today maybe you have to track certain other notices or other activity like mediation or things like that and the court might have to be involved in that typically, where either the second secon	
9certain other notices or other activity like mediation9they're going to file a plan. There's a plan r10or things like that and the court might have to be10involved in that typically, where either the s	
10or things like that and the court might have to be10involved in that typically, where either the s	
11 required as part of the action. 11 or they may have a vendor reviewing the pl	
12 Q. So there's information built into the system 12 track that referral, if it were going to vendor	
13to make sure that everybody complies with the13the technology, or the servicer may use stee	•
14 particulars of the state laws for each of individual 14 technology, again, to track their review of the state laws for each of individual	-
15 state? 15 whether or not the plan should be objected	
16A. Typically they they track, like I said,16whether it's it's good to go. And, also, if	-
17the milestone steps to make sure they're all complied17were to refer to a Proof of Claim to a vendo	
18with, whether it be filing the Complaint or the service18a Proof of Claim on their behalf, I would, ag	gain, track
19or judgment, just to ensure that each thing is tracked19that activity.	
20and that the servicer knows when they were completed.20So those would all be, again, perform	-
21Q. Okay. And going back to the bankruptcy piece21bankruptcies. But there would not be a Mo	
22of LPS's involvement for a minute, I think I'm we're22Relief filed unless the borrower, for example	
23 clear on the fact that LPS is involved in the 23 reason were delinquent on their plan payment	
24bankruptcy Motions for Relief from Stay and that part24event, the servicer would trigger a Motion for	
25 of the process, correct? 25 release to us, and we would send it to count	nsel and

	Christian S. Hymer	: 3(O(b)(6), 1/13/2010 Page: 13	;
	Page 49		Page 51]
1	track it there therein.	1	beneficial for a servicer to submit a document through	
2	Q. Okay. You answered the question I was going	2	our technology system to us, because, like I said, it	
3	to ask. Okay.	3	would be far more efficient for them to sign it	
4	Do LPS employees ever fill out Proofs of	4	themselves in-house. They would be drafting it.	
5	Claims themselves, or is that solely a referral to a	5	Q. And is that true for things like Assignment	
6	vendor?	6	of Mortgages or appointment of trustees, things like	
7	A. We do not draft or file Proofs of Claim.	7	that?	
8	Q. Okay. In connection with either a	8	A. Yes. Most of the assignments of mortgage are	
9	foreclosure or a bankruptcy, what documents does LPS	9	drafted, in my experience, by an attorney firm or	
10	draft?	10	trustee shop typically upon review of, for example,	
11	A. They don't draft any documents.	11	title to determine, you know, who might have	
12	Q. Okay. Who does the drafting of documents	12	outstanding liens and what the chain of assignments	
13	that are executed by LPS employees?	13	that are out there, whether or not assignment is	
14	A. The It's kind of a question I would say I	14	necessary, and they would draft it and submit it.	
15	don't know exactly. Typically it would be the vendor	15	I know of some servicers that perform the	
16	or the attorney, whether it be an attorney or a trustee	16	activity perhaps in-house or use vendors other than,	
17	shop, for example, in a foreclosure. They are	17	for example, a the law firm that they're choosing	
18	typically asked to draft those by the servicer. In	18	for their default work.	
19	some cases the documents are court-approved documents	19	Q. So in the case like an Assignment of	
20	and there's no real drafting involved, it's just	20		
21	filling out particulars that are required by the court,	21	or, excuse me, the creation and the signing of the	
22	and the ser or the attorneys, the law firms, trustees	22	······································	
23	perform that activity and submit the documents to us.	23	loan has been assigned to?	
24	We don't have any interaction with	24	A. They would review the loan documents provided	
25	determining what content they put into a document.	25		
	Page 50		Page 52	
1	We're not legal experts in that state or jurisdiction.	1	there, like I said, in that referral there are image	
2	It's not our role to determine what they should put in	2	documents, including the note, the mortgage	
3	the document.	3	assignments, whatever loan documents the servicer	
4	Q. Okay. So the document gets sent to LPS by	4	specifies should go with that referral. They would be	
5	either the attorneys or the servicer/lenders, correct?	5	transmitted to the law firm, and the law firm would	
6	A. It's not typically the servicer in the case	6	review those, would review the data coming to them	
7	of documents that we're being asked to sign, because in	7	that's presented both from the LPS Desktop and, in some	
8	that event the servicer can sign it themselves in their	8	cases, actual images from the servicing system of the	
9	shop. They wouldn't need our the signing authority	9	servicer to review what information they need. And	
10	they've extended to us to be in play.	10	based upon the state or jurisdictional requirements,	
11	We typically would operate For some	11	they would review and determine whether or not an	
12	some servicers where we're granted signing authority,	12	additional assignment was needed that wasn't present,	
13	it's usually under a power of attorney a limited	13	for example.	
14	power of attorney or it's under a corporate resolution,	14	Q. Okay. But the law firm, the servicer or	
15	and it's, again, a limited scope corporate resolution	15		
16	naming certain individuals at LPS to be officers of the	16	A. Yeah.	
17	company for the strict purpose of executing specific	17	Q directly, correct?	

servicer?

documents related to the default action. So, again,

percent of the time. I don't know why it would be

A. Absolutely.

it's very limited as far as what our -- what our Q. So why can't the law firm just send it to the authority as officers of that company would be. 20 actual servicer or lender who actually has control of Q. And you said, though, that most of the time the documents for signing? the request comes from the law firm, not from the A. They can. Typically it's not as efficient a process. And let me explain why I say that. A. I would think it's virtually a hundred If you were to go back ten years, the typical method of submitting documents might be e-mail, or if

1 you go back 15 years, it might be fax. So e-mail is an otoriously difficult for being able to track an otoriously difficult for being able to track an otoriously difficult for being able to track 3 documents. It's very easy to lose them, to store them, as someplace where you don't know where they are, and you a. O. Aday. Would that fee be the same for a 4 someplace where you don't know where they are, and you a. O. Kay. Would that fee be the same for a 5 document pathorm, you would two there in the industry as far as privacy and data 10 sharing. So you - When you transmit things through a. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 11 e-mail, for example, you always run the risk, unless a. I believe it's \$60, but I'm not positive. 12 you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those - a. I believe it's \$60, but I'm not positive. 13 that information, that data, that - those images being a. To the attorneys. We would ensure that 14 PS doesn't do Proofs of Claim - Q. Oh. 15 having a secure system, you're able to avold that. a. 16 provicer? Yas. And doos it happon? Yas. a. 17 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does a. 18 requirad for a haring. If there's a hearing tomo		Christian 5. Hymer		(0)(0), 1/15/2010 rage.	
2 notoriously difficult for being able to track 2 in the vehicle of the same for a disk of the document disk of the same for a disk of the document disk of the document disk decoment disk of the same for a disk of the document disk decoment disk deco		Page 53		Page	55
3 documents. It's very easy to lose them, to store them 3 O. Ckay. Would that fee be the same for a 4 someplace where you don't know where they are, and you 4 bankruptcy in the state of Washington as well? 6 By using a secure platform, you avoid two 4 A. No. It would be different. Motions for 7 things, number one, privacy issues, such as a 7 6 Relief are a different fee. Everything's a – The bicor x referral 9 there in the industry as far as privacy and data 5 A. No. It would be different. 9 e-mail for example, you always run the risk, unless 9 Q. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 12 you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those – 13 Hart information, that data, that – those images being 13 that information, that data, that – those images being 14 IDS doesn't do Proofs of Claim 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 15 A. We would refer Proofs of Claim 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 14 He brocass, for somene to pick up the phone and 18 Typically it might happen with a escalated document 16 A. Hot that law firm. And then we would 19 document doing th	1	you go back 15 years, it might be fax. So e-mail is	1	get answers to them for issues they might have. So	
4 someplace where you don't know where they are, and you 4 5 don't know exactly what's going on with the document. 5 6 By using a secure platform, you avoid two 5 7 things; number one, privacy issues, such as a 7 8 Gramm-Leach-Billey or other requirements that are out 5 10 sharing. So you - When you transmit things through 0. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 10 sharing. So you - When you transmit things through 0. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 11 e-mail, for example, you always run the risk, unless 0. And you just said there was a fee for 12 you have a secure exemt, you're able to avoid that. 16 0. Cay. And you just said there was a fee for 13 basis, my ou're able to avoid that. 16 0. Okay. And you just said there was a fee for 14 Typically it might happen? Yes. 17 A. We would refer Proofs of Claim - 14 there in that's needed to be signed directly 16 0. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 15 document doing the process you just described? 14 for. 14 described earlier. 16 16 12<	2	notoriously difficult for being able to track	2		
5 don't know exactly what's going on with the document. 5 A. No. It would be different. Motions for 6 By using a secure platform, you avoid two 6 Relief are a different fiee. Proofs of Claim are a 9 there in the industry as far as privacy such as a 7 6 9 there in the industry as far as privacy such as a 7 7 10 sharing. So you – When you transmit things through 9 0. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 11 e-mail, for example, you always run the risk, unless 10 0. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 12 you have a secure e-mail dolivery system, of those - 13 14 15 13 that information, that data, that - those images being 14 15 A. I believe it's \$60, but I'm not positive. 14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by 14 14 15 A. We would refer Proofs of Claim 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 16 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 2	3	documents. It's very easy to lose them, to store them	3	Q. Okay. Would that fee be the same for a	
6 By using a secure platform, you avoid two 6 Relief are a different fee. Proofs of Claim are a 7 things; number one, privacy issues, such as a 6 8 Gramm-Leach-Billey or other requirements that are out 6 10 sharing. So you – When you transmit things through 1 11 e-mail, for example, you always run the risk, unless 1 12 you have a secure -mail delivery system, of those – 13 13 that information, that data, that – those images being 14 14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. 15 15 having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 15 16 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 16 17 tothe servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 16 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 18 17 tothe servicer and get it done that way. So it does 12 12 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 16 12 Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 17 12 Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 17 13 document doing the procesy oy just described?	4	someplace where you don't know where they are, and you	4	bankruptcy in the state of Washington as well?	
7 things, number one, privacy issues, such as a 7 different fee. Everything's a - The bicor x referral 8 Gramm-Leach-Billey or other requirements that are out 1 there in the industry as far as privacy and data 10 sharing. So you - When you transmit things through 0. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 11 e-mail, for example, you always run the risk, unless 0. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 12 you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those - 1 13 that information, that data, that - those images being 1 14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by 1 15 having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 1 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 1 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 1 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 1 19 required for a hearing tomorrow, 1 10 there servicer and get it done that way. So it does 1 14 there servicer and get it done that way. So it does 1 14 bappen from time to time, but the vast majority of 2 2	5	don't know exactly what's going on with the document.	5	A. No. It would be different. Motions for	
s Gramm-Leach-Billey or other requirements that are out i type would be different. 9 there in the industry as far as privacy and data Q. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 10 sharing. So you When you transmit things through Image: Staring. So you When you transmit things through 10 sharing. So you When you transmit things through Image: Staring. So you When you transmit things through 11 e-mail for example, you always run the risk, unless Image: Staring. So (Staring) 12 you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those Q. Okay. And you just sold me that 14 LPS doesn't do Proofs of Claim, but I thought you just told me that 15 having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. Image: Staring. So (Claim?) 16 So can the attorney send a document directly Image: Staring. So (Claim?) 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 tray be faster, rather than tracking every step of Image: Staring. The image soft document 19 recules of that data way. So it does Image: Staring. The image: Staring. T	6	By using a secure platform, you avoid two	6	Relief are a different fee. Proofs of Claim are a	
9 there in the industry as far as privacy and data 9 Q. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from 10 sharing. So you When you transmit things through 10 Stay fee? 11 email, for example, you always run the risk, unless 10 Stay fee? 11 co. Nay. And you just said there was a fee for 13 that information, that data, that those images being 14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by 11 15 barg a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 16 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 18 try pically it might happen with an escalated document 18 19 co. the attorneys. We would ensure that 16 10 they requint that all the necessary document tains 16 10 they requint that all the necessary document tains 16 10 the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 20 20 21 20 the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 21 21 20 21 21 21 document doing the	7	things; number one, privacy issues, such as a	7	different fee. Everything's a The bicor x referral	
10 sharing. So you When you transmit things through 10 Stay fee? 11 e-mail, for example, you always run the risk, unless 11 A. I believe it's \$60, but I'm not positive. 12 you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those it that information, that data, that those images being accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by 11 A. I believe it's \$60, but I'm not positive. 14 baving a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 10 Okay. And you just said there was a fee for 15 having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 10 Profs of Claim, but I thought you just told me that 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 A. We would refer Proofs of Claim 0. Oh. 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and - ee-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 21 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 13 for. 22 22 ocuments go through the the tracking process that I 25 for. 22 22 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 160r. Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a <td>8</td> <td>Gramm-Leach-Bliley or other requirements that are out</td> <td>8</td> <td>type would be different.</td> <td></td>	8	Gramm-Leach-Bliley or other requirements that are out	8	type would be different.	
11 e-mail, for example, you always run the risk, unless 11 A. I believe it's \$60, but I'm not positive. 12 you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those 12 Q. Okay. And you just said there was a fee for 13 that information, that data, that those images being 12 Q. Okay. And you just said there was a fee for 14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 13 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 A. We would refer Proofs of Claim 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 tray be faster, rather than tracking every step of A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 19 cleint system information, the images of the docu vere transmitted to that law firm. And then we we 12 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 10 13 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 13 14 described earlier. 14 for. 2 O. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 16 0. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 16 foreclosure? A. We're not paid anything on a per-documen	9	there in the industry as far as privacy and data	9	Q. And so how much is the Motion for Relief from	
12 you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those 12 Q. Okay. And you just said there was a fee for 13 that information, that data, that those images being 12 Proofs of Claim, but I thought you just told me that 14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by 14 LPS deem't do Proofs of Claim, but I thought you just sold me that 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 A. We would refer Proofs of Claim 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 16 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 19 required for a hearing. If there's a hearing tomorrow, 10 11 the again, that all the necessary documentation 10 the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 16 16 16 17 11 the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 16 17 16 17 17 12 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 16 16 17 16 17 13 document doing the process you just described? 1 16 16 16	10	sharing. So you When you transmit things through	10	Stay fee?	
13 that information, that data, that - those images being 13 Proofs of Claim, but I thought you just told me that 14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by 14 LPS doesn't do Proofs of Claim? 15 having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. A. We would refer Proofs of Claim 16 So can the attorney send a document directly A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 18 19 required for a hearing. If thre's a hearing tomorow, 18 10 it may be faster, rather than tracking every step of 10 12 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 20 13 proofs of Claim, but It stop set in the rec 17 14 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 12 15 happen from time to time, but the vast majority of 12 16 O. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 10 11 described earlier. Page 54 12 described earlier. Page 54 13 foreclosure? A. We're not paid anythi	11		11		
14 accessed by parties that shouldn't. So, again, by 14 LPS doesn't do Proofs of Claim? 15 having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 15 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 17 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 19 required for a hearing. If there's a hearing tomorrow, 20 it may be faster, rather than tracking every step of 21 rescoress, for someone to pick up the phone and 22 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 24 happen from time to time, but the vast majority of 24 happen from time to time, but the vast majority of 25 document doing the process you just described? 3 document doing the process you just described? 4 A. We're not paid anything on a per-document 5 basis. It's part of the administrative support fee 6 that we would - that I described earlier that the law 7 firm would pay. 9 Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 9 <td< td=""><td>12</td><td>you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those</td><td>12</td><td>Q. Okay. And you just said there was a fee for</td><td></td></td<>	12	you have a secure e-mail delivery system, of those	12	Q. Okay. And you just said there was a fee for	
15 having a secure system, you're able to avoid that. 15 16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 16 19 required for a hearing. If there's a hearing tomorrow, 17 20 it may be faster, rather than tracking every step of 18 21 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 19 22 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 20 23 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 21 24 happen from time to time, but the vast majority of 25 25 would interface with them and provide support se 22 20 Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 1 3 document doing the process you just described? 1 4 A. We're not paid anything on a per-document 1 5 basis. It's part of the administrative support fee 1 6 that we would that I described earlier that the lew 7 7 Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 6	13	that information, that data, that those images being	13	Proofs of Claim, but I thought you just told me that	
16 So can the attorney send a document directly 16 Q. Oh. 17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 16 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 19 required for a hearing. If there's a hearing tomorrow, 17 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 10 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 10 client system information, the images of the docu 21 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 21 reasolve the same kinds of problems that might cr 22 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 22 question about something that they see in the rec 23 documents go through the the tracking process that I 25 would interface with them and provide support se 2 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 1 for. Q. Okay. And what is the fee for a Proof of 3 document doing the process you just described? 1 A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical 3 document doing the process you just described? 2 Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 9 foreclosure?	14		14	LPS doesn't do Proofs of Claim?	
17 to the servicer? Yes. And does it happen? Yes. 17 A to the attorneys. We would ensure that 18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 18 the again, that all the necessary documentation 19 required for a hearing. If there's a hearing tomorrow, 10 client system information, the images of the docu 20 it may be faster, rather than tracking every step of 10 client system information, the images of the docu 20 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 20 were transmitted to that law firm. And then we we we 21 resolve the same kinds of problems that might cr on a foreclosure or bankruptcy, missing documer 22 documents go through the the tracking process that 1 20 23 documents go through the the tracking process that 1 21 24 they recores, for some one to pick up the patient in the recore use the kinds of things 22 24 documents go through the the tracking process that 1 25 would interface with them and provide support se 25 document doing the process you just described? 1 for. Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 2 3 document doing the procesy wou just described? 4 A	15		15	A. We would refer Proofs of Claim	
18 Typically it might happen with an escalated document 18 19 required for a hearing. If there's a hearing tomorrow, 18 20 it may be faster, rather than tracking every step of 20 21 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 20 22 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 20 24 the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 21 25 documents go through the the tracking process that I 22 26 A. We're not paid anything on a per-document 1 27 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 2 3 document doing the process you just described? 1 4 A. We're not paid anything on a per-document 1 5 basis. It's part of the administrative support fee 1 6 that we would that I described earlier that the law 7 7 Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 6 9 foreclosure? 8 10 A. The the fee, it varies by state. 11 11 the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and 14 12 placed on h	16		16		
19 required for a hearing. If there's a hearing tomorrow, 19 client system information, the images of the documer time to the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 20 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 20 21 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 21 22 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 22 23 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 23 24 happen from time to time, but the vast majority of 24 25 documents go through the the tracking process that I 25 26 Page 54 for. 2 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 10 3 document doing the process you just described? 3 4 A. We're not paid anything on a per-document 5 5 basis. It's part of the administrative support fee 6 6 that we would that I described earlier that the law 7 7 M. Me're not paid anything on a per-document 4 6 that we would that I described earlier that the law 7 7 G. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 9 9	17		17	-	
20it may be faster, rather than tracking every step of20were transmitted to that law firm. And then we were21the process, for someone to pick up the phone and21resolve the same kinds of problems that might critering23to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does23on a foreclosure or bankruptcy, missing documer24happen from time to time, but the vast majority of24that they were sent. Those are the kinds of things25documents go through the the tracking process that I25would interface with them and provide support see2Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a1for.2Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a2Q. Okay. And what is the fee for a Proof of3document doing the process you just described?3Claim in Washington?4A. We're not paid anything on a per-document4A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical5basis. It's part of the administrative support fee5it's around I believe it's \$55 for the6that we would that I described earlier that the law6A. Yes, there's a fee schedule for each state?7Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a8A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Agai9foreclosure?9you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associated11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix11what12placed on how long do we have to manage that action12Q. Right.13an a typical action in a given state. S	18		18	the again, that all the necessary documentation, the	
21 the process, for someone to pick up the phone and 21 resolve the same kinds of problems that might crown on a foreclosure or bankruptcy, missing documer 22 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 22 on a foreclosure or bankruptcy, missing documer 23 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 23 question about something that they see in the red 24 happen from time to time, but the vast majority of 24 that they were sent. Those are the kinds of things 25 document go through the the tracking process that I 25 would interface with them and provide support se 26 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 1 for. Q. Okay. And what is the fee for a Proof of 3 document doing the process you just described? 4 A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical 4 A. We're not paid anything on a per-document 5 basis. It's part of the administrative support fee 6 6 that we would that I described earlier that the law 7 A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical 7 M. The the fee, it varies by state. 8 A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Agai 9 foreclosure? 9 you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associ	19			client system information, the images of the documen	ts
22 e-mail the document that's needed to be signed directly 22 on a foreclosure or bankruptcy, missing documer 23 to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does 23 24 happen from time to time, but the vast majority of 24 25 documents go through the the tracking process that I 25 26 Page 54 P 1 described earlier. 1 2 Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a 2 3 document doing the process you just described? 3 4 A. We're not paid anything on a per-document 5 5 basis. It's part of the administrative support fee 6 6 that we would that I described earlier that the law 7 7 Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a 8 9 foreclosure? 9 10 A. The the fee, it varies by state. 10 11 the attorney might be charging? You're talking at 12 placed on how long do we have to manage that action 12 13 on a typical action in a given state. So one state in 13 14 the typical foreclosure action might	20		20	were transmitted to that law firm. And then we would	
23to the servicer and get it done that way. So it does23question about something that they see in the rec24happen from time to time, but the vast majority of24that they were sent. Those are the kinds of things25documents go through the the tracking process that I25would interface with them and provide support se2Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a213document doing the process you just described?1for.4A. We're not paid anything on a per-document5Jasis. It's part of the administrative support fee66that we would that I described earlier that the law7Q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?7for closure?9Jour's talking LPS fees, not any fees associated10A. The the fee, it varies by state.10the attorney might be charging? You're talking a11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix11A LPS is charging for the services we're12providing?1A LPS is charging for the services we're14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and1415another state it might be 35 days. So typically our1516Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	21		21	resolve the same kinds of problems that might crop up	-
24happen from time to time, but the vast majority of documents go through the the tracking process that I24that they were sent. Those are the kinds of things would interface with them and provide support se would interface with them and provide support se adcument doing the process you just described?1described earlier.12Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a document doing the process you just described?14A. We're not paid anything on a per-document basis. It's part of the administrative support fee firm would pay.16that we would that I described earlier that the law firm would pay.7A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical it's around I believe it's \$55 for the a dministrative support piece of that. It might be q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a foreclosure?89foreclosure?910A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix a placed on how long do we have to manage that action the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another state it might be 35 days. So typically our fee would vary state by state.1112Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in1214the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another st	22			on a foreclosure or bankruptcy, missing documents, a	
25documents go through the the tracking process that I25would interface with them and provide support seePage 54P1described earlier.12Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a13document doing the process you just described?34A. We're not paid anything on a per-document35basis. It's part of the administrative support fee66that we would that I described earlier that the law77G. Okay. And how much is that fee in a9foreclosure?10A. The the fee, it varies by state.11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix12placed on how long do we have to manage that action13on a typical action in a given state. So one state in14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and15another state it might be 35 days. So typically our16fee would vary state by state.17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in	23		23	question about something that they see in the records	
Page 541described earlier.2Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a3document doing the process you just described?4A. We're not paid anything on a per-document5basis. It's part of the administrative support fee6that we would that I described earlier that the law7firm would pay.8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a9foreclosure?10A. The the fee, it varies by state.11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix12placed on how long do we have to manage that action13on a typical action in a given state. So one state in14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and15another state it might be 35 days. So typically our16fee would vary state by state.17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in	24		24	that they were sent. Those are the kinds of things we	
1described earlier.1for.2Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a document doing the process you just described?1for.4A. We're not paid anything on a per-document3Claim in Washington?5basis. It's part of the administrative support fee that we would that I described earlier that the law firm would pay.4A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical it's around I believe it's \$55 for the administrative support piece of that. It might be administrative support piece of that. It might be q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a foreclosure?89foreclosure?910A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix placed on how long do we have to manage that action the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another state it might be 35 days. So typically our1214the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another state it might be 35 days. So typically our1516Ge would vary state by state.1617Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in16	25		25	would interface with them and provide support service	
2Q. Okay. And how much is LPS paid for signing a document doing the process you just described?2Q. Okay. And what is the fee for a Proof of Claim in Washington?4A. We're not paid anything on a per-document basis. It's part of the administrative support fee that we would that I described earlier that the law firm would pay.4A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical it's around I believe it's \$55 for the administrative support piece of that. It might be administrative support piece of that. It might be Q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a foreclosure?89foreclosure?910A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix placed on how long do we have to manage that action 121212placed on how long do we have to manage that action the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and 141315another state it might be 35 days. So typically our 1515Q. Yes.16fee would vary state by state.1617Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17				Page	56
3document doing the process you just described?3Claim in Washington?4A. We're not paid anything on a per-document4A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical5basis. It's part of the administrative support fee5it's around I believe it's \$55 for the6that we would that I described earlier that the law6administrative support piece of that. It might be7firm would pay.7Q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a8A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Agai9foreclosure?9you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associated10A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix1112placed on how long do we have to manage that action1213on a typical action in a given state. So one state in1314the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and1415another state it might be 35 days. So typically our1516fee would vary state by state.1617Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	1		1	for.	
4A. We're not paid anything on a per-document4A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typical5basis. It's part of the administrative support fee5it's around I believe it's \$55 for the6that we would that I described earlier that the law6administrative support piece of that. It might be7Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a8A. Yes, there's a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a969foreclosure?9you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associated10A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix1112placed on how long do we have to manage that action1213on a typical action in a given state. So one state in1314the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and1415another state it might be 35 days. So typically our1516fee would vary state by state.1617Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	2		2	•	
5basis. It's part of the administrative support fee that we would that I described earlier that the law firm would pay.5it's around I believe it's \$55 for the administrative support piece of that. It might be Q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a foreclosure?8A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Agai you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associated the attorney might be charging? You're talking a what10A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix placed on how long do we have to manage that action the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another state it might be 35 days. So typically our 151115another state it might be 35 days. So typically our (Fee would vary state by state.1216Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in16	3		3	C C	
6that we would that I described earlier that the law firm would pay.6administrative support piece of that. It might be Q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a foreclosure?8A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Agai you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associated the attorney might be charging? You're talking a what10A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix placed on how long do we have to manage that action the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another state it might be 35 days. So typically our fee would vary state by state.1213Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in1514Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in16	4		4	A. Again, I don't know the exact fee. Typically	
7firm would pay.7Q. Is there a fee schedule for each state?8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a8A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Agai9foreclosure?9you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associated10A. The the fee, it varies by state.10the attorney might be charging? You're talking a11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix11what12placed on how long do we have to manage that action12Q. Right.13on a typical action in a given state. So one state in13A LPS is charging for the services we're14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and14providing?15another state it might be 35 days. So typically our15Q. Yes.16fee would vary state by state.16A. There is a schedule by state, like we talke17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17about earlier, and it's typically a flat fee. One of	5		5		
8Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a8A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Agai9foreclosure?910A. The the fee, it varies by state.1011Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix1112placed on how long do we have to manage that action1213on a typical action in a given state. So one state in1314the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and1415another state it might be 35 days. So typically our1516fee would vary state by state.1617Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	6		6		
9foreclosure?9you're talking LPS fees, not any fees associated the attorney might be charging? You're talking a the attorney might be charging? You're talking a the attorney might be charging? You're talking a what11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix placed on how long do we have to manage that action to a typical action in a given state. So one state in the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another state it might be 35 days. So typically our12Q. Right.14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and fee would vary state by state.14Q. Yes.16fee would vary state by state.16A. There is a schedule by state, like we talke about earlier, and it's typically a flat fee. One of	7	-	7		
10A. The the fee, it varies by state.10the attorney might be charging? You're talking a11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix11what12placed on how long do we have to manage that action12Q. Right.13on a typical action in a given state. So one state in13A LPS is charging for the services we're14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and1415another state it might be 35 days. So typically our1516fee would vary state by state.1617Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	8	Q. Okay. And how much is that fee in a	8	A. Yes, there's a fee schedule by state. Again,	
11Typically, because of Again, there's been matrix11what12placed on how long do we have to manage that action12Q. Right.13on a typical action in a given state. So one state in13A LPS is charging for the services we're14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and14providing?15another state it might be 35 days. So typically our15Q. Yes.16fee would vary state by state.16A. There is a schedule by state, like we talke17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	9		9		
12placed on how long do we have to manage that action12Q. Right.13on a typical action in a given state. So one state in13A LPS is charging for the services we're14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and14providing?15another state it might be 35 days. So typically our15Q. Yes.16fee would vary state by state.16A. There is a schedule by state, like we talke17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	10		10		t
13on a typical action in a given state. So one state in13A LPS is charging for the services we're14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and141415another state it might be 35 days. So typically our151416fee would vary state by state.161617Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17A LPS is charging for the services we're					
14the typical foreclosure action might take 365 days and another state it might be 35 days. So typically our14providing?16fee would vary state by state.160.Yes.17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17about earlier, and it's typically a flat fee. One of	12			-	
15another state it might be 35 days. So typically our15Q. Yes.16fee would vary state by state.16A. There is a schedule by state, like we talke17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17	13				
16fee would vary state by state.16A. There is a schedule by state, like we talke17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in1617about earlier, and it's typically a flat fee. One of					
17Q. What's the fee to do a foreclosure in17about earlier, and it's typically a flat fee. One of					
				•	
			18	the things we're exploring, as well, is kind of a	
		-		variated fee, kind an a la carte pricing system as	
20I can tell what you a typical fee might be. We talked20well. But that's not currently in place today.					
				Q. So I was asking you about LPS's fee. Do you	
				have any "you" as I mean and I mean LPS. Does	
	23			LPS have any involvement in the fees that the attorney	
24 due to those things that we talked about for document 24 firm is charging?	24	-			
25retrieval and doc execution and trying to, you know,25A. No. That's determined by the servicer.	25	retrieval and doc execution and trying to, you know,	25	A. No. That's determined by the servicer.	

	Page 57		Page 59
1	Q. Okay. So that's a contractual relationship	1	A and then so we would have a process to
2	between the two of them?	2	track that they were sent to the law firm, the law firm
3	A. The servicer would specify that in the	3	received them. And that upon the appropriate time in
4	pricing exhibit that would be sent along with the	4	the legal action, whether hopefully that action
5	default excuse me, the network agreement when they	5	doesn't go to sale and it stops someplace in between,
6	contract a law firm to receive their work, and the law	6	or if at the completion of, for example, a sale, that
	firm would respond back and and either accept that	7	those documents are returned back to the servicer for
8	pricing that the client has specified, or I guess they	8	their purposes to go back in their files.
9	wouldn't work for that client.	9	Q. Okay. So it's just a system where you're
10	Q. Okay. And what is LPS's relationship	10	keeping track of when people say that they're sending
11	business relationship with MERS, the Mortgage	11	them or not sending them, but you're not LPS is not
12	Electronic Registration System?	12	actually involved in the sending?
13	A. We Outside of a signing authority granted	13	A. You are correct.
14	by MERS, we have no business or professional	14	Q. Okay.
15	relationship with MERS.	15	And does LPS use the MSC excuse me, MSP
16	Q. Can you explain to me the signing authority	16	software platform?
	agreement, please?	17	A. We do.
17	A. The signing authority for MERS is typical of	18	Q. And can you explain to me what that software
19	the signing authorities granted to LPS, and that is a	19	platform is?
20	limited, I believe, corporate resolution allowing	20	
	specific personnel, typically management at LPS Default		A. I can. MSP is a servicer system of record.
21		21	Like I referred to earlier, it is the primary system in
22	Solutions, to act in a signing capacity only on behalf	22	which the servicer keeps loan information, information
23	of MERS as they transfer assignments and loans	23	about the servicing activities they're conducting on
24	essentially back to the servicer for the purposes of	24	that loans, for example, payments they've received,
25	foreclosure.	25	insurance proceeds disbursed, for example, and
	Page 58		Page 60
	Q. I'm sorry, transferring servicing back to	1	information that's relevant to the loan.
2	the	2	Q. Okay. Can LPS employees enter information
3	A. It's not really servicing. MERS isn't a	3	into the MSP system?
4	servicer. MERS I believe essentially holds the note	4	A. Typically we can enter information.
5	for the servicer, and they would continue to do that	5	Typically the access that we have to MSP is limited to
6	until such time that the default action was necessary,	6	certain screens, screens in MSP upon which there's some
7	and then it would have to transfer that back to the	7	relevant service that we are providing. For example,
8	servicer for the purposes of foreclosure.	8	we talked earlier about a bankruptcy setup. Upon
9	Q. Okay. So I'm sorry, you you said that	9	notification of that bankruptcy we have to have access
10	MERS holds the note. Do you Does LPS get involved	10	to relevant bankruptcy screens to set up that
11	in getting the note?	11	workstation, and we'd have to be able to suspend
12	A. Nope. Never touch it. Don't see it. We	12	when I say "workstation," the active template in MSP
13	don't handle any original documents at all.	13	for the foreclosure, put that on a hold and activate
14			the correct one now for the bankruptcy to track those
1	Q. Okay.	14	
15	Q. Okay.A. However, we have a process to track the	15	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what
16	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received 	15 16	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track.
16 17	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. 	15 16 17	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track. Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it
16 17 18	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. Q. Who sends those original documents? 	15 16 17 18	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track. Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it you. So we have an LPS employee that, say, using your
16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. Q. Who sends those original documents? A. The servicer. 	15 16 17 18 19	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track.Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it you. So we have an LPS employee that, say, using your example, knows that a foreclosure is pending. LPS gets
16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. Q. Who sends those original documents? A. The servicer. Q. To whom do they send them? 	15 16 17 18 19 20	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track.Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it you. So we have an LPS employee that, say, using your example, knows that a foreclosure is pending. LPS gets notice that a bankruptcy has been filed. So it's an
16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. Q. Who sends those original documents? A. The servicer. Q. To whom do they send them? A. Or or or the law firm, for example. 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track. Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it you. So we have an LPS employee that, say, using your example, knows that a foreclosure is pending. LPS gets notice that a bankruptcy has been filed. So it's an LPS employee that goes into the MSP system and marks
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. Q. Who sends those original documents? A. The servicer. Q. To whom do they send them? A. Or or or the law firm, for example. They might have to send the law firm original documents 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track. Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it you. So we have an LPS employee that, say, using your example, knows that a foreclosure is pending. LPS gets notice that a bankruptcy has been filed. So it's an LPS employee that goes into the MSP system and marks whatever is needed in that system to stop the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. Q. Who sends those original documents? A. The servicer. Q. To whom do they send them? A. Or or or the law firm, for example. They might have to send the law firm original documents for the action necessary to be used in the action in 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track. Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it you. So we have an LPS employee that, say, using your example, knows that a foreclosure is pending. LPS gets notice that a bankruptcy has been filed. So it's an LPS employee that goes into the MSP system and marks whatever is needed in that system to stop the foreclosure proceeding and then to initiate the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. A. However, we have a process to track the sending in of those original docs when they're received back. That's our that's the only thing we would do. Q. Who sends those original documents? A. The servicer. Q. To whom do they send them? A. Or or or the law firm, for example. They might have to send the law firm original documents 	15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	steps that the client has designed at MSP to track what they want to track. Q. Okay. So I want to make sure I understand it you. So we have an LPS employee that, say, using your example, knows that a foreclosure is pending. LPS gets notice that a bankruptcy has been filed. So it's an LPS employee that goes into the MSP system and marks whatever is needed in that system to stop the

	Christian 5. Hymer	50	(b)(b), 1/15/2010 rage: 10
	Page 61		Page 63
1	A. That is correct. And in some cases with	1	though?
2	clients we perform that activity. In other cases	2	A. It's a I believe it's a payment screen.
3	client personnel perform the activity, and in other	3	And I it may be used for payoffs. There may be
4	cases there are models out there where clients have	4	information contained in it that's used in the
5	other vendors who perform that activity.	5	development of the payoff, but I'm not positive.
6	Q. Okay. And what kind of restrictions are	6	Q. Okay. And MSP, that servicing platform is
7	there on the information that LPS employees can access	7	owned by LPS's parent company, correct?
8	or alter in the MSP system?	8	A. It's it's owned by LPS. It is a
9	A. As I said earlier, typically we are	9	Q. Oh, okay.
10	restricted to access only certain screens as far as the	10	A. Correct. It it's not LPS is the
11	ability to change information or edit. And clients	11	parent company, so LPS Desktop is the company that owns
12	have different servicing systems, so although, your	12	the technology for
13	questions right now are specific to MSP, so I'll keep	13	Q. Okay.
14	my answers relevant to that one.	14	A that I'm referring to when I talk about
15	We would typically have access to be able to	15	the Desktop, that we typically use with Process
16	view many screens. Some we would not have access to	16	Management.
17	view, and we would not be able to change information on	17	Q. Mm-hmm.
18	every screen and every field. There are security	18	A. LPS owns MSP, and there are other competing
19	templates set up and established with that client. We	19	software solutions like FiServ and other things out
20	monitor the staff within LPS who have access to a	20	there.
21	client servicing system, and we audit those accounts on	21	Q. Okay. Now, does LPS also make referrals for
22	a regular basis to ensure that only specific personnel	22	things like appraisals or drive-by VPOs or title work,
23	who have a functional requirement to work in that	23	things like that?
24	servicing system have access.	24	A. When you say "referrals," typically a
25	Q. Okay. So are the rules and parameters the	25	servicer would require a VPO, or a valuation, at
	Page 62		Page 64
1	same for entities who use another servicing software,	1	various stages. They may require it prior to a
2	in essence?	2	foreclosure, for example, to determine whether to
3	A. They're typically very similar.	3	foreclose or not, or whether, perhaps, to write off the
4	Q. So	4	property if the value were not sufficient for it to
5	A. They all work kind of the same.	5	make sense for the cost of foreclosure. Or they may
6	Q. Right. So MSP is the most commonly used	6	require it at certain stages perhaps prior to sale or,
7	servicing platform, but some other servicer might be	7	in some cases, at a post-sale step prior to marketing
8	using another one, but the general rules are going to	8	the property. So there are times they would trigger
9	be the same	9	what we call a VPO, or valuation.
10	A. General	10	Those VPOs usually can be triggered
11	Q about what you can alter, what you can	11	
12	access, things like that?	12	triggering them, we interface with a variety of systems
13	A. The general rules would be the same. There	13	
14	are differences with respect to what you can access on	14	
15	different systems. But generally speaking, if we're	15	relevant to the VPO. We then take that information and
16	being asked to provide support service, we've got to	16	we electronically, and in some cases manually, it
17	have access to that relevant screen or be able to	17	depends on the technology that's in play, but we would
18	perform that activity.	18	update whatever client system they would need. That's
19	Q. Okay.	19	an example of another support service that we might be
20	Are you familiar with the P309 screen in a	20	providing.
21	MSP system?	21	Q. Okay. But there's separate charges for that
22	A. I'm familiar with the name of the P309. I	22	kind of work, correct?
23	don't work in MSP, so I'm not an expert on what's	23	A. LPS Default Solutions does not charge for a
24	contained in the P309 screen.	24	
25	Q. Okay. What do you know about it generally,	25	
		<u> </u>	

	Dens 65		
	Page 65		Page 67
1	Q. Right.	1	title work goes to, the servicer does. So the
2	A. And servicers have a variety of VPO	2	servicers would typically have a direct relationship or
3	providers. So they determine what they want to pay and	3	a contract for that work.
4	who they want to send those to.	4	There is a part of LPS, not LPS Default
5	Q. But doesn't LPS have a contractual	5	Solutions, the company I work for, but there's a
6	relationship with the VPO vendor, as well, because that	6	portion of LPS that also does title insurance, LPS
7	vendor has to be able to access LPS's systems in order	7	Default Title. They're a separate company to LPS
8	to upload the information?	8	Default Solutions. We don't have a contract with them,
9	A. Typically we use outside systems. They don't	9	but a servicer could typically contract them, and they
10	typically access Process Management uploaded VPO. For	10	would work directly with that title company as far as
11	example, there's a technology called Mortgage PhD,	11	requirements, SLAs, service-level agreements, things
12	which is like an interface between a VPO provider	12	like that. It wouldn't be done through us.
13	system, or valuation system and the Desktop.	13	Q. But is it done on the platform?
14	I am not aware of any VPO provider having	14	A. Again, in some cases the order could be
15	access to the Desktop to input information about a VPO.	15	automated through the platform, and the return of the
16	Typically they might be ordered, I hate to say, old	16	information would be provided through the platform.
17	school, but it might be done, in a lot of cases,	17	Q. But the title company title insurance
18	through e-mail. It might be done by accessing a VPO's	18	company, whatever it might be, would need to have
19	vendor's Web site to place the order, and then once the	19	access to the platform to do that, correct?
20	order comes back, then taking the information it's	20	A. Access to the platform, but in some cases, if
21	gathered and putting it in the system.	21	they're going to manually input the information, and in
22	Q. And is that the same for, like, title	22	some cases they get set up to do that, the servicer
23	insurance?	23	would authorize them to have access to the system on
24	A. Title title Really what you're	24	their behalf to input information and upload things.
25	checking You're validating title at the time of	25	More typically, like I mentioned earlier, we built the
	Page 66		Page 68
1	foreclosure. It's one of the first steps, typically,	1	LPS Desktop to be able to interface to a broad spectrum
2	that happens, is to ensure that the title is clear.	2	of technologies. So there's a system called DIS.
3	There are several ways that gets done.	3	Don't ask me what the acronym stands for, because I
4	It can be done automated through the	4	don't actually know. But it serves as an interface of
5	LPS Desktop. In other words, at the time the referral	5	data exchange between pretty much any technology
6	is initiated or triggered by the client through their	6	system, case management softwares for law firms, the
7	servicing system to LPS, the title order can be placed	7	tracking systems that an individual title vendor might
8	systemically with the title vendor. That title vendor	8	use, and the client servicing system. So it helps to,
9	might be a it might be an LPS title company, it	9	basically, translate that and feed the information back
10	could be another title company like First American, it	10	and forth.
11	could be a law office that has a title shop. But that	11	Q. Okay. What is Can you explain the FiServ
12	can typically happen automatically at the time of	12	F-I-S-E-R-V?
13	referral.	13	A. FiServ is also commonly referred to as
14	And then typically in an automated situation,	14	Mortgage Serve. It's another competing technology with
15	when title were to be run and completed, the status of	15	MSP. It's a servicing system like we referred to
16	that completed title order would then be updated into	16	earlier.
17	LPS Desktop to indicate that the title order was	17	Q. And it is not an LPS entity?
18	complete. And typically the vendor would upload that	18	A. It is not.
19	title to the imaging system. And then, like I said, we	19	Q. Or
20	have that imaging system is tied to the client's	20	A. It is not an LPS
21	imaging system and would would feed that information	21	Q product, I guess I should say?
22	back to the client.	22	A developed or supported product. We merely
23	Q. Okay. So is there a contractual relationship	23	have an interface to FiServ. We've developed an
24	between LPS and the title vendors as well?	24	interface to do the same thing and communicate
25	A. Not between LPS. We don't determine who the	25	information back and forth.

	Page 69		Page 71
1	Q. So it's, essentially, a competitor to MSP,	1	software system is having that, where somebody's
2	correct?	2	saying, Hi, Susan, I would
3	A. Correct.	3	A. Sure.
4	Q. But LPS has created the ability to	4	Q like you to do You know, that kind
5	communicate with it because, obviously, some other	5	of thing, and then you see somebody responding, and it
6	servers or servicing companies use that program,	6	looks, essentially, like an e-mail?
7	correct?	7	A. You're referring to what's called an
8	A. You are correct.	8	intercom. An intercom
9	Q. Okay. Can you explain to me what the Process	9	Q. Okay.
10	Process Management software is?	10	A is a secure messaging system internal to
11	A. Process Management is the workflow management	11	the Desktop
12	software that we use at LPS Default Solutions. When I	12	Q. Okay.
	first joined the company, we didn't have our own	13	A that allows communication on that loan
13			
14	software solution, so we used whatever the client had	14	only. It is loan specific. So it segregates the
15	in place.	15	communication, and it allows an individual working on
16	The vision for LPS was if we can create a	16	that loan, either at the servicer, at LPS, or at the
17	centralized system to track the workflow and then	17	law firm, or any other vendor assigned a process
18	develop it to communicate with the various client	18	relevant to that loan, to communicate with others about
19	systems, it would significantly help us from a business	19	that loan.
20	standpoint, from both scalability and efficiency,	20	Q. Okay. All right. So that's the ones where
21	because you wouldn't have to have people trained on a	21	everybody can link, you know, other people, cc other
22	variety of systems; you would be trained on your	22	people and
23	system, and that system would then communicate directly	23	A. It works a lot like e-mail
24	with the various other systems that were in place in	24	Q. Yeah.
25	the default arena.	25	A that was the intent, but it's secure. And
	Page 70		Page 72
1	Q. Okay. So is it the primary method by which	1	they didn't want to redo Outlook, so it doesn't have
2	the the attorneys and the servicers communicate with	2	all the same features, but it's, essentially you can
3	each other within the LPS system?	3	look at it as an e-mail system.
4	A. Communicate with each other? I would say	4	Q. Yeah. When you see the printouts, it looks
5	yes. It's tracking the default process.	5	like an e-mail, correct?
6	Q. Mm-hmm.	6	A. Yeah. You'll see everything that's done in
7	A. And information from the attorneys, either	7	the LPS Desktop is extremely auditable and trackable.
8	that they input in their case management system that	8	It's all time stamped. We know exactly who said and
9	they transmit electronically through DIS to	9	did and acted on what. That's the value of that
10	LPS Desktop, that's how that information would get	10	system, it has very solid audit trail.
11	there, or they may have staff that would actually key	11	MS. HUELSMAN: Josh, we're taking a
12	the information into the Desktop. It then goes from	12	second. He's getting more coffee.
13	the Desktop into the client servicing system. So in	13	MR. RATAEZYK: Okay, that's great.
14	most cases the attorneys are not working directly in	14	MR. SPOONEMORE: Should we take a break
15	the client's servicing system. And there are	15	for five minutes?
16	confidentiality reasons for that, where and	16	MS. HUELSMAN: We're going to take a break
17	attorney-client privilege reasons that they wouldn't	17	for five minutes. Rick needs it, and I think the court
18	want the attorneys working directly in their servicing	18	reporter does too.
19	system.	19	MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Sounds good.
20	Q. So, in essence, because what I what I'm	20	
			MS. HUELSMAN: All right.
21	thinking of, and I guess we can we'll turn to some	21 22	(A break was taken.)
22	of the pages, is the communications in which the		(Plaintiff Exhibit Nos. 1-3 were marked.)
23	attorney and the servicer are essentially having, for	23	BY MS. HUELSMAN:
	all intents and purposes, what I would characterize as	24	Q. Go right ahead.
24 25		25	A. Okay, I'd like to clarify one aspect, from my

612-339-0545 * Paradigm Reporting & Captioning Inc. * 800-545-9668

	Page 73		Page 75
1	recollection, about the fees.	1	or
2	Q. Okay.	2	Q. Okay.
3	A. A couple things about it. Number one, we	3	A Fannie Mae type of situation.
4		4	Q. All right. Can you tell me about the NewTrak
5	I quoted all-in 50 or \$60 is the fee for POC, that's	5	system?
6	actually the all-in fee that an attorney or a vendor	6	A. I can. NewTrak is the system that I referred
7	receiving that Proof of Claim work would pay. That's	7	to earlier today as process management.
8	made up of a \$25-dollar technology fee and either 30 or	8	Q. Okay.
9	\$35 for the administrative support fee for the services	9	A. Process management, and to clarify for you on
10	we provide to them on that.	10	that, is the workflow tracking system within the
11	Q. Okay.		
12	A. So it's not \$60 coming for the support	12	Q. Okay.
13	services we're providing, it's about 30 to 35 bucks.	13	A. There are other modules in the LPS Desktop.
14	Q. Okay.	14	That's the one used to manage workflow. Today we use
15	A. And	15	it our company uses it to track defaulted legal
16	Q. Go ahead.	16	
17	A. Sorry.	17	loans. But it's just workflow tracking. It could be
18	One other thing on the on the fees. We	18	used for anything. If you wanted to build a process to
19	talked about when a law firm is invoiced, and then we	19	track the steps of baking a case, you can track those
20	talked about that it's invoiced at time of initiation	20	steps in there.
21	of the legal action when we transmit that information	21	Q. Okay.
22	to them that they've been, essentially, referred a	22	And what about the NewImage Express?
23	case, but they're not paying us for a referral or to	23	A. NewImage Express is the former name of the
24	get a referral; they're paying us for the support	24	system I referred to earlier as document management.
25	services we're going to provide during the course of	25	It is the imaging system associated with the
	Page 74		Page 76
1	the legal action.	1	LPS Desktop.
2	If they choose not to pay us, and there are	2	Q. Is LPS still operating Fidelity National
3	some law firms out there that do that, then the client	3	Default Solutions?
4	has to provide those services and support and complete	4	A. Fidelity National Default Solutions is the
5	all the follow-up and tracking on anything that's going	5	former name of LPS Default Solutions.
6	on with that law firm in that action. And it's up to	6	Q. Okay. So the entity that the business
7	the client what they do with that.	7	name Fidelity National Default Solutions in its
8	Q. Okay. Are there any fee caps or anything	8	entirety is no longer being used?
9	like that on any of these services?	9	A. You are correct. During the spinoffs I
10	A. Maybe you could clarify "fee caps."	10	mentioned earlier
11	Q. Do Like, some of the government entities,	11	Q. Okay.
12	FHA, VA, things like that, do they have fee caps or	12	A that's the evolution of the name that we
13	parameters?	13	are today.
14	A. Some investors have fee caps, some servicers,	14	Q. Okay. So, like, for example, the Tustin
15	like I said, but you're getting into what fees the	15	office is not just LPS?
16	attorney has paid by the servicer for managing the	16	A. The?
17	legal action, not not us. But they do impose fee	17	Q. There was an office in Tustin, California.
18	caps for various actions and what they're willing to	18	That's the one I was
19	reimburse the servicer for, and the servicer, thereby,	19	A. The office in Tustin, California, that I'm
100	inputs fee caps on what they're going to pay their law	20	aware of, is the Fidelity National excuse me, today
20			it's called the LPS Default Title. It is not LPS
21	firm.	21	
21 22	Q. Okay.	22	Default Solutions. It's a sister company within the
21 22 23	Q. Okay. A. We are not be privy in all cases to the fee	22 23	Default Solutions. It's a sister company within the LPS umbrella.
21 22	 Q. Okay. A. We are not be privy in all cases to the fee caps. They're not set by LPS, they're set by, again, 	22	Default Solutions. It's a sister company within the

Page 77Page 771the title work.12Q. So would they be a vendor for performing13title work?34A. Yes. I would characterize them as a vendor35selected by the client or, in some cases, by the law66firm to provide the title work necessary for the legal77A. Today?7action.8Q. Okay. So it could be just as easily9Old Republic or First American or some other title9Old Republic or First American or some other title11A. You are -12Q in Tustin?13A. You are correct.14Q. Okay.15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized16our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not18us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content -19of that contract10Q. Okay.11A. You are or12Q. Okay.13A. You are for correct.14Q. Okay.15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized16our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not18us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content19of that contract20Q. Okay.21A just like First American or somebody else.22Q. Okay. And what about the entity Fidelity22Q. Okay. And what about the entity Fidelity23A. Wat about the entity	
2Q. So would they be a vendor for performing2with our competitors as well.3title work?3Q. All right. And how many servicers nationwide4A. Yes. I would characterize them as a vendor3Q. All right. And how many servicers nationwide5selected by the client or, in some cases, by the law5A. Today?6firm to provide the title work necessary for the legal6Q. Yes.7action.7A. Nationwide we have approximately 35 client8Q. Okay. So it could be just as easily89Old Republic or First American or some other title910company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity1011A. You are1112Q in Tustin?1213A. You are correct.1114Q. Okay.1415A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not1618us and that company, but I'm not aware of the contract1719Okay.1420Q. Okay.1421Q. Okay.1422Q. Okay.1423Q. Okay.1424Q. Okay.1425A. Hi right, I'm going to hand you what we're36going to mark as Exhibit 1.37A. Hi contract38us and that company, but I'm not aware of the contract39Ot that contract40 <td< td=""><td></td></td<>	
3title work?3Q. All right. And how many servicers nationwide are clients of LPS?5selected by the client or, in some cases, by the law firm to provide the title work necessary for the legal action.4are clients of LPS?7action.7A. Today?9Old Republic or First American or some other title company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity 107A. Nationwide we have approximately 35 clien with two more clients that are going live in the nex two weeks. So 37.10company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity 1110Q. So what percentage of the national servicing market does LPS have as clients?12Q in Tustin?12A. I don't know the exact percentage. Ballpar figure would be roughly, I would say, 35 to 40 per Q. Okay. Give me a second. I'm looking through notes.14Q. Okay.1415A. And I think earlier I may have characterized aware of a contract. There may be a contract between us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content q. Okay.1818us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content q. Okay.18A. Okay.19Q. Okay.1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.2121A just like First American or somebody else.21	
4A. Yes. I would characterize them as a vendor4are clients of LPS?5selected by the client or, in some cases, by the law5A. Today?6firm to provide the title work necessary for the legal6Q. Yes.7action.7A. Nationwide we have approximately 35 clien8Q. Okay. So it could be just as easily89Old Republic or First American or some other title910company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity1011A. You are1112Q in Tustin?1213A. You are correct.1314Q. Okay.1415A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not1618us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.2121A just like First American or somebody else.21	
5selected by the client or, in some cases, by the law5A. Today?6firm to provide the title work necessary for the legal6Q. Yes.7action.7A. Nationwide we have approximately 35 client8Q. Okay. So it could be just as easily89Old Republic or First American or some other title910company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity1011A. You are1112Q in Tustin?1213A. You are correct.1314Q. Okay.1415A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not1618us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.2121A just like First American or somebody else.21	
6firm to provide the title work necessary for the legal action.6Q. Yes.8Q. Okay. So it could be just as easily7A. Nationwide we have approximately 35 client with two more clients that are going live in the nex two weeks. So 37.9Old Republic or First American or some other title9With two more clients that are going live in the nex two weeks. So 37.10company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity10Q. So what percentage of the national servicing market does LPS have as clients?12Q in Tustin?12A. I don't know the exact percentage. Ballpar figure would be roughly, I would say, 35 to 40 percentage13A. You are correct.13Gu Okay.14Q. Okay.14Q. Okay. Give me a second. I'm looking through notes.15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized aware of a contract. There may be a contract between of that contract1719Of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	
7action.7A. Nationwide we have approximately 35 client8Q. Okay. So it could be just as easily89Old Republic or First American or some other title910company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity1011A. You are1112Q in Tustin?1213A. You are correct.1314Q. Okay.1415A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not1617aware of a contract. There may be a contract between1718us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.2121A just like First American or somebody else.21	
8Q. Okay. So it could be just as easily8with two more clients that are going live in the next9Old Republic or First American or some other title9two weeks. So 37.10company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity10Q. So what percentage of the national servicing11A. You are1112Q in Tustin?12A. I don't know the exact percentage. Ballpar13A. You are correct.1314Q. Okay.14Q. Okay.15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not1618us and that company, but I'm not aware of the contract1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	
9Old Republic or First American or some other title company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity A. You are9two weeks. So 37.11A. You are Q in Tustin?10Q. So what percentage of the national servicing market does LPS have as clients?12Q in Tustin?12A. I don't know the exact percentage. Ballpar figure would be roughly, I would say, 35 to 40 percentage14Q. Okay.1415A. And I think earlier I may have characterized aware of a contract. There may be a contract between of that contract Q. Okay.1618us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content of that contract Q. Okay.1819Of that contract Q. Okay.1921A just like First American or somebody else.21	
10company, but it could also be this Fidelity entity10Q. So what percentage of the national servicing market does LPS have as clients?11A. You are11market does LPS have as clients?12Q in Tustin?12A. I don't know the exact percentage. Ballpar figure would be roughly, I would say, 35 to 40 percentage14Q. Okay.14Q. Okay. Give me a second. I'm looking through notes.15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not aware of a contract. There may be a contract between us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract Q. Okay.1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	
11A. You are11market does LPS have as clients?12Q in Tustin?12A. I don't know the exact percentage. Ballpar13A. You are correct.13figure would be roughly, I would say, 35 to 40 percentage.14Q. Okay.14Q. Okay. Give me a second. I'm looking through15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not1617aware of a contract. There may be a contract between1718us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	
12Q in Tustin?1213A. You are correct.1314Q. Okay.1415A. And I think earlier I may have characterized1516our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not1617aware of a contract. There may be a contract between1718us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	
13A. You are correct.13figure would be roughly, I would say, 35 to 40 per14Q. Okay.14Q. Okay. Give me a second. I'm looking through15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized15notes.16our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not16All right, I'm going to hand you what we're17aware of a contract. There may be a contract between17going to mark as Exhibit 1.18us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content18A. Okay.19of that contract19MS. HUELSMAN: And for Josh And I'll20Q. Okay.20send you a copy of these when I goat back, Josh.21A just like First American or somebody else.21MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.	
14Q. Okay.14Q. Okay. Give me a second. I'm looking through15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized15notes.16our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not16All right, I'm going to hand you what we're17aware of a contract. There may be a contract between1718us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	nt
15A. And I think earlier I may have characterized our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not aware of a contract. There may be a contract between aware of a contract. There may be a contract between of that company, but I'm not aware of the content of that contract15notes.18us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content of that contract1718A. Okay.20Q. Okay.19MS. HUELSMAN: And for Josh And I'll send you a copy of these when I goat back, Josh.21A just like First American or somebody else.21MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.	
16our relationship with them as that I don't I'm not16All right, I'm going to hand you what we're17aware of a contract. There may be a contract between1718us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content1819of that contract1920Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	
 aware of a contract. There may be a contract between aware of a contract. There may be a contract between going to mark as Exhibit 1. aware of a contract f that contract G. Okay. A just like First American or somebody else. aware of a contract. There may be a contract between going to mark as Exhibit 1. B. Okay. MS. HUELSMAN: And for Josh And I'll send you a copy of these when I goat back, Josh. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. 	
18us and that company, but I'm not aware of the content18A. Okay.19of that contract19MS. HUELSMAN: And for Josh And I'll20Q. Okay.2021A just like First American or somebody else.21	
19of that contract19MS. HUELSMAN: And for Josh And I'll20Q. Okay.20send you a copy of these when I goat back, Josh.21A just like First American or somebody else.21MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.	
20Q. Okay.20send you a copy of these when I goat back, Josh.21A just like First American or somebody else.21MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.	
21 A just like First American or somebody else. 21 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.	
23 Agency Sales & Posting? 23 MS. HUELSMAN: Which you wouldn't know	
24 A. ASAP is a what we call a post and pub 24 about that case, Josh.	
25 company, which is, for certain states, typically 25 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.	
Page 78 Page 78	80
1 judicial states, where it's a requirement to post 1 Q. 005, down at the bottom, through 0013. And	
2 notice or publicize the pending foreclosure action. 2 it's an Agreement for Signing Authority between	
3 They're the ones contracted by the servicer to place 3 MR. RATAEZYK: Okay.	
4 those notices, as appropriate, in publications, in 4 Q between MERS, IndyMac Bank, and Fidelity	
5 newspapers or wherever it's necessary to place them. 5 National Foreclosure Solutions, which is LPS's	
6 It is another LPS company. It is not part of 6 predecessor; is that correct?	
7 LPS Default Solutions, my company. It is a separate, 7 A. Correct.	
8 independent company, as far as my understanding, to LPS 8 Q. Okay. Have you seen this document before?	
9 Default Title, but they are, also, I believe, located 9 A. I have.	
10 In California. 10 Q. Can you describe to me generally what it is?	
11 Q. And so it's, essentially, another vendor? 11 A. It's exactly what the title says, it's an	
12 A. Another vendor. 12 Agreement for Signing Authority. It's what we would	
13 Q. Okay. 13 consider a signing authorization granted to us by	
14 What about Land Records of America? 14 another entity.	
15 A. Land Records of America, sometimes I think it 15 Q. Okay. So to put it essentially in laypeople	
16 is also referred to as Land Records of Texas, I 16 terms, this is an agreement whereby MERS and its	
17 believe, the new name would be LPS Land Records of 17 subsidiary and IndyMac Bank are agreeing to have	
18 America, is a company similar to that of LPS Default 18 employees of an entity now known as LPS sign documents	
19Title. I believe they do title work as well. They're19for them whenever it's necessary?	
20 located in, I believe, Dallas, Texas. Again, a 20 MR. SPOONEMORE: Objection to the extent	
21 separate company, another vendor. 21 it mischaracterizes the actual terms of the contract.	
22 Q. Okay. So there's no requirement, though, 22 Q. Go ahead.	
23 that servicers use these LPS affiliated companies 23 MR. SPOONEMORE: Go ahead. Answer as bes	
24 A. No. 24 as you can.	
25 Q as a condition to using the LPS system? 25 A. It's my understanding this is an	

	Christian 5: Hynei		
	Page 81		Page 83
1	authorization by MERS for specific individuals at LPS	1	A. Yeah.
2	to act in a signing capacity on behalf of MERS for the	2	Q the Bain case?
3	purposes of legal actions involving IndyMac Bank.	3	A. Yeah.
4	Q. Okay. So going back to our scenario that we	4	Q. Right. I'm not trying to trick you.
5	were kind of talking about earlier when we were talking	5	A. Yeah. I didn't sign these, obviously,
6	about signings, you said that the law firm, or the	6	so
7	foreclosing entity, would communicate with LPS and say	7	Q. I understand.
8	that, for whatever reason, they need some document	8	A. Okay.
9	signed in order to complete their task. Okay?	9	Q. So the two ladies who signed these documents,
10	A. Yep.	10	Christina Allen and Bethany Hood, are employees of LPS,
11	Q. And so an LPS employee would then sign the	11	correct?
12	document upon the request of the attorney based upon	12	A. That's correct.
13	having authority under this agreement or a similar	13	Q. Okay. And so how did these documents, the
14	agreement; is that correct?	14	Assignment of Deed of Trust and the Appointment of
15	A. It's probably better to say that an LPS	15	Successor Trustee, how did they get to LPS?
16	employee, based upon our authorization, could sign the	16	A. To answer that requires me to make some
17	document.	17	guesses, but I would assume that they were submitted to
18	Q. Okay.		LPS through the LPS Desktop we talked about earlier,
19	A. It would depend upon the content of the	19	through a module within it called Signature Required,
20	actual document whether or not we could sign that. If	20	in which the attorneys upload the documents that they
21	it was within our ability to sign, we would sign it and		need for legal action that they drafted.
		21	
22	return it back within, again, the powers granted to us	22	They upload it They basically upload an
23	by this authorization such as this.	23	image of it into our system, and they would then be
24	Q. Okay.	24	delivered that way. They print in here in
25	A. If it were not, it would be forwarded to a	25	Minnesota, actually. They automatically print on a
	Page 82		Page 84
1			
	client or an investor for signature, and we would,	1	bank of the printers, essentially, and then that's how
2	again, track that document through its life cycle.	1 2	they would be received. It's possible they could be
	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay.		they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another
2	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm	2	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the
2 3	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we	2	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system.
2 3 4	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm	2 3 4	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your
2 3 4 5	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we	2 3 4 5	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system.
2 3 4 5 6	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective	2 3 4 5 6	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your
2 3 4 5 6 7	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running A. I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN: Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running Q bank?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN: Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, which are an Appointment of Successor Trustee document,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running A. I Q bank? A. I typically don't work in the LPS Desktop on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN: Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, which are an Appointment of Successor Trustee document, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3 is Assignment of Deed of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running Q. I typically don't work in the LPS Desktop on a day-to-day basis.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN: Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, which are an Appointment of Successor Trustee document, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3 is Assignment of Deed of Trust. Do you see those documents? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running A. I typically don't work in the LPS Desktop on a day-to-day basis. Q. Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN: Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, which are an Appointment of Successor Trustee document, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3 is Assignment of Deed of Trust. Do you see those documents. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running A. I typically don't work in the LPS Desktop on a day-to-day basis. Q. Okay. A. I might work in there to review a loan if we if you've got something going on. Again, I manage
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN: Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, which are an Appointment of Successor Trustee document, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3 is Assignment of Deed of Trust. Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, which are an Appointment of Successor Trustee document, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3 is Assignment of Deed of Trust. Q. And have you ever seen them before? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running A. I typically don't work in the LPS Desktop on a day-to-day basis. Q. Okay. A. I might work in there to review a loan if we if you've got something going on. Again, I manage the staff that typically do the day-to-day work. And
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 again, track that document through its life cycle. Q. Okay. So let's turn to Exhibits 2 and 3, and I'm going to be referencing all three documents so that we can, I think, have a more effective A. Okay. Q communication. Exhibit No. 2 is the Appointment of Successor Trustee, and Exhibit 3 is the Assignment of Deed of Trust. MS. HUELSMAN: And, again, Josh, both of these are in the Bain case, but I'll send them to you when we get back just so you have them for reference. MR. RATAEZYK: Okay. Thanks. BY MS. HUELSMAN: Q. Okay. So I have shown you Exhibits 2 and 3, which are an Appointment of Successor Trustee document, Exhibit 2, and Exhibit 3 is Assignment of Deed of Trust. Do you see those documents? A. I do see these documents. Q. And have you ever seen them before? A. I've seen them in relation to the materials 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 they would be received. It's possible they could be submitted we could have received them in another fashion, there's an e-mail box and things, but the majority would go through the system. Q. Okay. So before you came here for your deposition today, did you take a look at Ms. Bain's file on LPS's system? A. "LPS's system," meaning the LPS Desktop? Q. Yeah. A. Not LPS, but Q. Whatever information is contained in LPS's database's A. No. Q running A. I typically don't work in the LPS Desktop on a day-to-day basis. Q. Okay. A. I might work in there to review a loan if we if you've got something going on. Again, I manage

	Page 85		Page 87
1	who work underneath you?	1	Ũ
2	A. They do not work directly underneath me, but	2	Q. Okay. And looking at the MERS document right
3	they work for personnel that report to me.	3	now
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
5	A. Actually, correction, Bethany Hood reports to	5	Q. Do you know why the names of other employees
6	people that report directly to me; Christina Allen is	6	besides Ms. Allen and Ms. Hood were redacted?
7	part of our foreclosure team, and she reports up to one	7	A. I don't know. It would require a supposition
8	of my peers, actually.	8	on my part to guess why.
9	Q. Okay. And that actually reminds me that we	9	Q. I don't want you to do that.
10	started talking about LPS's function when I was trying	10	And as part of this document there's a
11	to get your job history and we kind of flowed into	11	Default Services Agreement between IndyMac and Fidelity
12	that, so before we end this, I'm going to have to go	12	National Foreclosure Solutions. Do you see that?
13	back to that really quickly	13	A. I do.
14	A. Sure.	14	Q. Do you know why portions of this document
15	Q but we'll do that at some other time.	15	were redacted?
16	Okay. So these documents, though, the	16	A. Again, it's probably a supposition on its
17	assignment and the appointment, would have come to LPS	17	relevance.
18	from the attorney that was handling the foreclosure,	18	Q. Okay. Don't
19	correct?	19	A. Right.
20	A. I would assume so.	20	Q guess. Okay.
21	Q. All right. And Ms. Hood and Ms. Allen would	21	Did you participate in the creation of these
22	have signed these documents based upon reliance upon	22	documents or obtaining signatures?
23	Exhibit 1, which is the MERS agreement; is that	23	A. No, I did not personally participate in the
24	correct?	24	creation of either document.
25	A. They would have signed these documents based	25	Q. Okay. And So I think, based on what
	Page 86		Page 88
1	upon a combination of that authority and probably the	1	you've told meI want to summarizeit's your
2	authority granted directly by IndyMac Federal Bank as	2	understanding that this document provides this,
3	well.	3	along with the other document that we were just
4	Q. Do you know if that document's been produced	4	speaking of directly between IndyMac and LPS, provided
5	to me?	5	Ms. Allen and Ms. Hood with the legal authority to
6	A. I don't know. I have seen it as, I think,	6	execute these documents, the assignment, and the
7	part of the materials, so I assume it has.	7	appointment; is that correct?
8	Q. I don't believe it has.	8	A. Correct. It is a secretary certificate or,
9	MS. HUELSMAN: Rick, will you check on	9	essentially, a corporate resolution, then at page 2 of
10	that, please?	10	Schedule D within it indicates this is the list of
11	MR. SPOONEMORE: It was filed in	11	employees that are authorized to execute those
12	conjunction with the motion for summary judgment.	12	documents for IndyMac Bank.
13	MS. HUELSMAN: Was it in the documents	13	Q. Okay.
14	produced to me in the initial disclosures or as an	14	MR. SPOONEMORE: I'm also going to
15	attachment to them as a supplement?	15	interpose an objection just to make clear that the
16	MR. SPOONEMORE: We supplemented our	16	witness has testified based on just a bunch of these
17	initial disclosures with the material, and then we	17	documents. There are additional reasons legal
18	filed it again, actually, in two different cases	18	reasons that I believe why these people are authorized
19	MS. HUELSMAN: Right.	19	to sign for IndyMac Federal Bank, specifically some
20	MR. SPOONEMORE: in support of our	20	federal statutes that he certainly would not
21	motion for summary judgment. So you have it in three	21	necessarily know. But I want to make sure for the
22	places, a supplement to initial disclosures and in two	22	record that that's not the sum and substance of
23	different filings.	23	everything you're relying upon for authority.
1		124	MS. HUELSMAN: And that's fine. I
24	MS. HUELSMAN: All right. I will double-check on that. I'm sure he's correct.	24	wouldn't ask him to draw legal conclusion. That

Page 80 Page 81 i wouldn't be appropriate. IIII would have been uploaded, Ms. Hood would have seen it, MR. SPOONEMORE: I just wanted the record I would have been uploaded, Ms. Hood would have seen it, MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. IIIII have been uploaded, Ms. Hood would have seen it, MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. i MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. IIIII have been uploaded, Ms. Hood samd Ms. Aller's deposition separately. A vision the seen it, and there is this Agreement for Signing i MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. A. Vest MR. SPOONEMORE: Great. A. Vo. MR. SPOONEMORE: Great. A. Vo. MR. SPOONEMORE: Great. i MS. HUE they been be signed this document. O. Okay. Would have or not it was - 9 the information on this appears to be i A. No, they would nave puoleded the document. O. Not, they would nave uploaded the document. is dail, they would have or not we could sign. Great the document. So we's point helw firm to is dailer. is dailer. They would have be olight if would be actoment. Signi, file. is dailer. They would have be olight if whether it be is again, line. C. Okay. And is M- Hood required to look at the underlying documents that are referenced in this assignment to make acters to the information on select consistent with those documents before seight? i A. They need someone to sign it would nave access to the imation first regarding who can sign it or will to be used in a legal action. C. Okay. So the would have access to the imat werey service has it is investo		Christian S. Hymer		(b)(b), 1/15/2010 1 uge: 1	
2 MR. SPOONEMORE: just wanted the record 2 and its her job then to sign it because it is an of 3 to be clear. and its her job then to sign it because it is an of 4 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. a Within the parameters of what is 5 And itm going to be taking MS. Hood's and a Suborthy as well as the other document, correct? 7 MR. SPOONEMORE: Great. A. Wes. 8 A. No, they would not have provided instruction a Sylighing the document, so recet? 10 form, I want to be clear, the attorney that was io 11 conducting the foreclosure, correct? a A. No, they would not have provided. 12 A. No, they would not have provided. is and earlier, would be made based upon it they uploaded and the extent of its 0 Right. But the uploading of the document, to all as asignment to make certain that the information on its appears to be is a sasymetric to make certain that the information on its appears to be is a sasymetric to make certain that the information on its as asignment to make certain that the information on its as asignment to make certain that the information on its as asignment on some they uploaded and the extent of it would have access to the maging system in a store decuments. I don't have they would have access to the imaging system in a store decument. 14 be used in a legal action. 2 0. Okay. So she would not have a deterimination in all coss in they would have access to the		Page 89		Page 91	ſ
1 b be clear. 1 the documents that is within the parameters of what is 1 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 1 the documents that is within the parameters of what is 1 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 1 the documents that is within the parameters of what is 1 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 1 the documents that is within the parameters of what is 1 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 1 the documents that is within the parameters of what is 1 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 1 the state state is this Agreement for Signing 1 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 1 A. Yes. 1 Instruction would have - to sign it would have come 10 A. Yes. 1 form, I want to be clear, the attorney that was 10 A. Yes. 1 accurate. Newsex, again, we roly upon the law firm to attracting water information on this document, she sessentially 1 accurate. Newsex, again, we roly upon the law firm to attracting able cosign 11 1 actracter. So were looking appears to be 12 2 actracter. So were looking appears to be 13 3 actracter. So were looking appears to be	1	wouldn't be appropriate.	1	would have been uploaded, Ms. Hood would have seen it,	
4 MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 4 signed and there is this Agreement to Signing 5 And I'm going to be taking Ms. Hood's and 5 Authority as well as the other document, correct? 7 MR. SPOONEMORE: Great. 7 Q. Okay. Would Ms would it have been part 9 Instruction would have to sign it would have correct? 9 No. They would not have provided instruction 10 form. I van up loaded the document it 10 A. No, they would not have provided instruction 13 to sign it, they would have uploaded and the extent of 14 accurate. However, again, we refy upon the law firm to 14 the type of document they uploaded and the extent of 15 16 Q. Okay. Mod is Ms. Hood required to look at 15 all, D.R. Hit, But the uploaded and the extent of 16 16 16 16 16 authorization no whether or not we could sign, the authorization an investor. Someone with the 15 18 <td< td=""><th>2</th><td>MR. SPOONEMORE: I just wanted the record</td><td>2</td><td>and it's her job then to sign it because it is one of</td><td></td></td<>	2	MR. SPOONEMORE: I just wanted the record	2	and it's her job then to sign it because it is one of	
5 And I'm going to be taking Ms. Hood's and Ms. Allen's deposition separately. 5 Autority as well as the other document, correct? 8 Ms. SPOONEMORE: Great. A. Yes. 9 Ms. Nod's joint outjoint was outle was correct? 7 O. Okay. Would Ms would it have been part. 10 form, I want to be clear, the attorney that was conducting the foreclosure, correct? 1 6 11 conducting the determination on whether or not we could align, its again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon. 13 draft the document. So we're looking specifically for its the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the updocuments that are referenced in this again, like I said earlier. 14 verbige that would exclude us from being able to sign implicit with that is the request that somebody sign 10 It, right? 2 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the is assignment to make certain that the information on is specification to sign needs to sign it or will 15 sasignment to make ceres to the instruction whother or not a certain is the twey servicer has it is investor. 2 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have a determination in all is correct. We would not make a determination in all is correct. We would not make a determination in all is correct. We would not make a determination in all is the twey servicer has it its investors. 1 Imaging system tin	3	to be clear.	3	the documents that is within the parameters of what is	
6 Ms. Allen's deposition separately, MR. SPOONEMORE: Great. 6 A Yes. 7 MR. SPOONEMORE: Great. 7 Q. Okay. Would Ms would it have been part 9 Instruction would have to sign it would have coment. 10 A. No, they would have to sign it would have coment. 10 from, I van to be clear, the attorney that was 10 A. No, they would not have provided instruction 11 to sign it, they would have uploaded the document. 12 accurate. However, again, we rely upon the law firm to 13 ord its type of document they uploaded and the extend of 10 A. No, they would not have provided. 14 us. The determination on whether or not we could sign. 14 Use the authorization we were provided. 15 it. 10 O. Ray. Law the uploaded and the extend of 16 the underlying documents that are referenced in this 14 17 the authorization we were provided. 15 18 asymmet to make actess to sign it, whether it to 16 19 the underlying documents. I dort have access to. 10 authorization to sign needs to sign the document or at at authorization to sign needs to sign the document or at attact the action sign needs to sign it or will	4	MS. HUELSMAN: Okay.	4	signed and there is this Agreement for Signing	
7 MR.SPOONEMORE: Great. 7 8 Q. But when Ms. Hood signed this document, the instruction would have - to sign it would have come instruction to sign it, they would have uploaded in document to to sign it; they would not have provided instruction to sign it; they would have uploaded and the actement to its agners to be again, like I said carlier, would be made based upon if the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the underlying documents between sets signs? 16 19 MR: SPOONEMORE: Great. 17 10 Instruction would have correct? 14 11 14 14 14 12 A. No, they would have uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploade and the extent of the underlying documents before she signs? 15 12 MR: MA: Mood required to look at the underlying documents before she signs? 15 13 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it to a investor. 16 26 14 to bus edit hat she information in all scales whether the servicer had the authorization in all scales whether the servicer had the authorization in all scales whether or not a certain that the information in all scales whether t	5	And I'm going to be taking Ms. Hood's and	5	Authority as well as the other document, correct?	
8 Q. But when Ms. Hood signed this document, the instruction would have – to sign it would have come from, I want to be clear, the attorney that was from J want to be clear, the attorney that was from J want to be clear, the attorney that was to sign it; they would have uploaded the document to to sign it; they would have uploaded the document to to sign it; they would have uploaded the document to to sign it; they would have uploaded and the extent of the authorization we were provided. A. By signing the document, so we're looking specifically for the authorization we were provided and the extent of the authorization we were provided. 9 A. Ry Right. But the uploading of the document, the support of coursent they uploaded and the extent of the authorization to sign needs to sign it, whether it be authorization to sign needs to sign it, whether it be authorization to sign needs to sign it, whether it be authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it to be used in a legal action. A. I don't think Ms. Hood would have access to, for example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't to be used in a legal action. 21 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the to correct. We would not make a determination in all cacess whether the servicer had the ability to sign or that every servicer has it this it sossion. A. B's he'd have access to the to correct. We would not make a determination in all cacess whether the servicer, if they could not sign that every servicer has it this it sossion. 10 G. Okay. So that we other or not a certain that texp servicer has the sility to sossion thas that texp servicer has the sility to sos in the servicer, the unchased, it's the would have to forward it to the sorrect. We would action thave servicer? 11	6	Ms. Allen's deposition separately.	6	A. Yes.	
9 instruction would have to sign it would have come 9 the information on this document was correct? 10 from, I want to be clear, the attorney that was 10 12 A. No, they would not have provided instruction 12 accurate. However, again, werely upon the law firm to 13 to sign it; they would not have provided instruction 12 accurate. However, again, werely upon the law firm to 14 us. The determination on whether or not we could sign, 14 worbiage that would exclude us from being able to sign 15 again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon 15 16 0. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 16 the type of document they uploaded and the extent of 16 0. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 17 the underlying documents but the information on 15 16 0. Okay. And is Ms. Hood would have access to, 16 Q. Right. But the uploading of the document, 18 assignment to make acterian that the information on 19 17 the underlying documents. I don't have them. So she's 20 A. I don't think Ms. Hood would have access to, 16 to sub used in a legal action. 20 A. I don't think Ms. Hood would have access to, 18		MR. SPOONEMORE: Great.	7	Q. Okay. Would Ms would it have been part	
10 form, I want to be clear, the attorney that was 10 A. By signing the document, she's essentially 11 conducting the foreclosure, correct? 11 attesting that the information on this appears to be 12 A. No, they would not have provided instruction 13 diff the document. So we're looking specifically for 14 us. The determination on whether or not we could sign, again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon 14 worbiage that would exclude us from being able to sign 16 the type of document they uploading of the document, 18 Q. Right. But the uploading of the document, 18 Q. Right. But the uploading of the document, 18 assignment to make certain the information on 19 implicit with thes the request that somebody sign 10 the underlying documents. I don't have them. So she's 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 21 6 A lon't think Ms. Hood would have access to, 22 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 22 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 23 int prive ther the servicer had the ability to sign or whether they would ave to forward that to a investor. 3 A. We would see the document first, that is correct. We would not make a determination in all 3	8	Q. But when Ms. Hood signed this document, the	8	of Ms. Hood's job to verify whether or not it was	
11 conducting the foreclosure, correct? 11 12 A. No, they would not have provided instruction 12 13 they would not have uploaded the document to 12 14 us. The determination on whether or not we could sign, 13 15 again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon 13 16 the type of document they uploaded and the extent of 15 17 the authorization we were provided. 15 18 Q. Right. But the uploaded and the extent of 16 19 implicit with that is the request that somebody sign 19 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 19 sheer consistent with these documents before she signs? 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 12 actorate. 10 or think M. Hood would have access to. 22 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 22 30 A. Id on't have them. So she's 23 action.first regarding who can sign it or will 11 attesting system through MSP? 2 sign.fir & we know thether or not a certain 11 imaging system through MSP? 2 A. We would ace the ability to sign or 4	9	instruction would have to sign it would have come	9	the information on this document was correct?	
12 A. No, they would not have provided instruction 12 accurate. However, again, we rely upon the law firm to 13 to sign it; they would have uploaded the document to 13 draft the document. So we're looking specifically for 14 us. The determination on whether or not we could sign, 14 verblage that would exclude us from being able to sign 15 again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon 16 0. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 16 the type of document they uploaded and the extent of 16 0. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 17 the underlying documents that are referenced in this assignment to make certain that the information on 18 0. Right. But the uploading of the document for the 16 0. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 17 the underlying documents that are referenced in this assignment to make certain that the information on 18 astronzation to sign needs to sign the document for the 20 Na. I don't think Ms. Hood would have access to. 21 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 23 not privy to where they might be 2 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 24 toorest. 26 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to t	10	from, I want to be clear, the attorney that was	10	A. By signing the document, she's essentially	
 to sign it; they would have uploaded the document to ta gain, if ke I said earlier, would be made based upon the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type of document they uploaded and the extent of the type and the type of document to all assignment to make cortain that the information on the type and the type of document for the document for the document for the document first, that is correct. We would not make a determination in all cases whether the servicer had that baility to sign or whether they would have to forward that to an investor. Agin, if we know whether or not a certain that every servicer has it its investors. Agin, if we know whether or not a certain the tequirements. the requirements of document and document would have the servicer for they submited an the requirements of document? All the type as to be so. O. Kay. So that means Ms. Hood made	11	conducting the foreclosure, correct?	11	attesting that the information on this appears to be	
14 us. The determination on whether or not we could sign, 14 verblage that would exclude us from being able to sign 15 again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon 15 it. 16 the type of document they uploading of the document, 20 C. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 17 the authorization we were provided. 16 C. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 18 minipidit with that is the request that somebody sign 19 sheer consistent with those documents before seigns? 20 it, right? 20 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 21 for example, the note or mottage. Again, we don't 21 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 23 not privy to where they might be or where they might be 24 to be used in a legal action. 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 23 idetermination first regarding who can sign it or will 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the imaging system in 3 A. We would see the document first, that is correct. We would not make a determination in all 5 wouldn't. She typically would not have imaging access 16 3 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations t	12	A. No, they would not have provided instruction	12	accurate. However, again, we rely upon the law firm to	
15 again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon 15 it. 16 the type of document they uploaded and the extent of 16 17 the authorization were provided. 16 18 Q. Right. But the uploading of the document, 18 19 implicit with that is the request that somebody sign 19 20 it, right? 20 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 3 sheer consistent with those documents before she signs? 23 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 20 24 to be used in a legal action. 21 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 2 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 2 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 25 3 A. We would see the document first, that is 3 4 the under/ying documents document 3 5 cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or 4 6 therefore and legal action. 2 7 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations 4 8 carses whether th	13	to sign it; they would have uploaded the document to	13	draft the document. So we're looking specifically for	
16 the type of document they uploaded and the extent of 16 Q. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at 17 the authorization we were provided. 17 the underlying documents that are referenced in this 18 Q. Right. But the uploading of the document, 18 assignment to make certain that the information on 19 implicit with that is the request that somebody sign 19 sheer consistent with those documents before she signs? 20 It, right? 20 A. I don't think Ms. Hood would have access to, 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 1 if for example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't 22 us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the 23 in ot privy to where they might be or where they might be 24 to be used in a legal action. 24 house those documents. I don't have them. So she's 23 and it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 2 sign it'? A. She'd have access to the imaging system in 3 3 A. We would have to forward that to an investor, 7 A. She'd have access to the imaging system in 3 the utery servicer has it its investors. 7 A. Si's	14	us. The determination on whether or not we could sign,	14	verbiage that would exclude us from being able to sign	
17 the authorization we were provided. 17 the authorization we were provided. 18 Q. Right. But the uploading of the document, 18 assignment to make certain that the information on 19 implicit with that is the request that somebody sign 19 sheer consistent with those documents before she signs? 20 it, right? A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 10 reasonable, the node or mortgage. Again, we don't 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 not privy to where they might be or where they might be 22 us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the 21 not privy to where they might be or where they might be 24 to be used in a legal action. 22 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 2 authorization in all correct. We would not make a determination in all 3 A. We would see the document first, that is 3 3 A. We would not make a determination in all 5 cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or 3 A. She'd have access to the imaging system in 3 that every servicer has it its investors. A. She'	15	again, like I said earlier, would be made based upon	15	it.	
18 Q. Right. But the uploading of the document, 18 assignment to make certain that the information on 19 implicit with that is the request that somebody sign 19 sheer consistent with those documents before she signs? 20 it, right? 20 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 16 For example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't 22 us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the 21 for example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't 23 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for It 22 not privy to where they might be or where they might be 24 to be used in a legal action. 24 stored. Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 26 2 sign it? A. We would see the document first, that is 26 A. She'd have access to the imaging system in 3 A. We would not make a determination in all 4 4 4 4 5 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations 6 4 4 4 5	16	the type of document they uploaded and the extent of	16	Q. Okay. And is Ms. Hood required to look at	
19 implicit with that is the request that somebody sign 19 sheer consistent with those documents before she signs? 20 it, right? A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 22 us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the 21 23 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 22 24 to be used in a legal action. 25 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 26 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 2 Sign it? A. We would see the document first, that is 4 correct. We would not make a determination in all cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or 5 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations 1 intrastrom wethet, it is investors. 9 Again, if we know whether or not a certain 10 10 10 invould sup to forward that to the support with all closs like it complies because it's put in front 12 forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or not it appears to comply with all 14 would taunch a tracking process on those investor 10 A. It's her j	17	the authorization we were provided.	17	the underlying documents that are referenced in this	
20 it, right? A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 20 22 us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the 21 23 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 22 24 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 23 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 26 A. Me would not have access to the 26 27 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 25 2 sign it? A. We would see the document first, that is 3 A. We would see the document first, that is 26 4 correct. We would have to forward that to an investor, 16 7 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations 7 8 that every servicer has it its investors. 7 9 Again, if we know whether or not a certain 10 10 investor must sign the document, it's possible that ween sign to mose investor 10 12 forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or 11 13 not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the <th>18</th> <td>Q. Right. But the uploading of the document,</td> <td>18</td> <td>assignment to make certain that the information on</td> <td></td>	18	Q. Right. But the uploading of the document,	18	assignment to make certain that the information on	
21 A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be 21 for example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't 22 us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the 22 for example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't 23 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 23 not privy to where they might be or where they might be 24 to be used in a legal action. 24 0. Okay. So she would not have access to the 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 2 sign it? A. We would see the document first, that is 1 imaging system through MSP? 3 A. We would not make a determination in all carses whether the servicer had the ability to sign or 4 document would be in the Desktop; it's possible that it 3 be used is a legal, accound that to an investor, 0. So it's really her job to sign the document 1 4 that every servicer has it its investors. 9 0. So it's really her job to sign the document 10 investor must sign the document, it's possible that we 1 1 1 12 forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or 1 1 1 12 forward	19	implicit with that is the request that somebody sign	19	sheer consistent with those documents before she signs?	
22 us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the 22 house those documents. I don't have them. So she's 23 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 22 not privy to where they might be or where they might be 24 to be used in a legal action. 22 0. Okay. So she would not have access to the 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 23 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 2 gin it? Page 90 Page 90 1 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 1 imaging system through MSP? 2 sign it? A. We would see the document first, that is 1 imaging system through MSP? 3 A. We would see the document first, that is 1 the LPS Desktop. It's possible that an image of 4 correct. We would not make a determination in all 5 the usery servicer has it its investors. 6 Whether they would have to forward that to an investor, 7 Q. So it's really wher job to sign the document 10 investor mats sign the document, it's possible that we 7 Q. So it's really what it's 11 forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or 1 A. It's her job to review the document and	20	it, right?	20	A. I don't think Ms. Hood would have access to,	
23 authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it 23 not privy to where they might be or where they might be 24 to be used in a legal action. 23 0. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 0. Okay. So she would not have access to the 25 0. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 0. Okay. So she would not have access to the 2 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 1 imaging system through MSP? 3 A. We would see the document first, that is correct. We would not make a determination in all 5 correct. We would not make a determination in all 5 6 correct has the ability to sign or 4 7 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations 5 8 that every servicer has it its investors. Q. So it's really her job to sign the document 9 Again, if we know whether or not a certain 6 10 investor must sign the document, it's possible that we 1 12 forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or 1 13 not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the 1 14 servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign 1 <t< td=""><th>21</th><td>A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be</td><td>21</td><td>for example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't</td><td></td></t<>	21	A. They need someone to sign it, whether it be	21	for example, the note or mortgage. Again, we don't	
24 to be used in a legal action. 24 stored. 25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 28 Page 90 Page 92 1 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 1 2 sign it? A. She'd have access to the imaging system in 3 A. We would see the document first, that is 3 6 correct. We would not make a determination in all 2 5 cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or 6 6 whether they would have to forward that to an investor, 6 7 because we are not privy to all of the authorizations 7 8 that every servicer has it its investors. 9 9 Again, if we know whether or not a certain 10 10 investor must sign the document, it's possible that we 10 11 would launch a tracking process on those investor 10 16 within the technology systems within the Desktop to 11 15 it, would typically launch one of those processes 12 16 within the techonology systems within the Desktop to 14<	22	us, a servicer, an investor. Someone with the	22	house those documents. I don't have them. So she's	
25 Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the 25 Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the 2 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 2 imaging system through MSP? 2 A. We would see the document first, that is 3 A. We would not make a determination in all 3 A. We would not make a determination in all 5 She'd have access to the imaging system in 4 correct. We would not make a determination in all 5 She'd have access to the imaging system in 5 correct. We would not make a determination in all 5 She'd have access to the imaging system in 6 whether the servicer had the ability to sign or 6 whether the servicer has it is investors. 7 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations 7 Q. So it's really her job to sign the document 8 that every servicer has it is investors. 9 So it's really her job to review the document and 10 investor must sign the document, it's possible that we 10 A. It's her job to review the document and 11 forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or 11 the requirements of documents that we can sign to make 12 forwards. But thet would forward it to the 13<	23	authorization to sign needs to sign the document for it	23	not privy to where they might be or where they might be	
Page 90Page 901determination first regarding who can sign it or willimaging system through MSP?2Sign it?A. We would see the document first, that is3A. We would see the document first, that isthe specific or rect. We would not make a determination in all4correct. We would not make a determination in allthe LPS Desktop. It's possible that an image of5correct. We would have to forward that to an investor,the the yoektop; it's possible that it7because we aer not privy to all of the authorizationsthat every servicer has it its investors.Q. So it's really her job to sign the document8that every servicer has it its investors.Q. So it's really her job to review the documentQ. So it's really her job to review the document10investor must sign the document, it's possible that we10A. It's her job to review the document and12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or1113int we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the1214servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign1415it, would typically launch one of those processes1516within the technology systems within the Desktop to1517A. It appears to be so.2020A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the2122A. It appears to be so.2023A. Correct. It is a document type that we2124they for example, she wouldn't know whether </td <th>24</th> <td>to be used in a legal action.</td> <td>24</td> <td>stored.</td> <td></td>	24	to be used in a legal action.	24	stored.	
1 determination first regarding who can sign it or will 1 imaging system through MSP? 2 sign it? A. We would see the document first, that is a. She'd have access to the imaging system in 3 A. We would see the document first, that is a. She'd have access to the imaging system in 4 correct. We would not make a determination in all a. She'd have access to the imaging system in 5 correct. We would have to forward that to an investor, b. She'd have access to the imaging access 7 because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations f. So it's really her job to sign the document 8 that every servicer has it its investors. Q. So it's really her job to review the document and 9 Again, if we know whether or not a certain of her on the computer system, correct? 10 investor must sign the document, it's possible that we 10 11 would launch a tracking process on those investor 11 12 forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or 11 14 servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign 12 15 it, would typically launch one of those processes 16 16 within the technology systems within the Desktop to 16	25	Q. And it's LPS, though, that makes the	25	Q. Okay. So she would not have access to the	
2sign it?2A. She'd have access to the imaging system in3A. We would see the document first, that is3the LPS Desktop. It's possible that an image of4correct. We would not make a determination in all4document would be in the Desktop; it's possible that it5cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or5wouldn't. She typically would not have imaging access6whether they would have to forward that to an investor,6to our clients.7because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations70. So it's really her job to sign the document8that every servicer has it its investors.9A. It's her job to review the document and10investor must sign the document, it's possible that we10A. It's her job to review the document and11determine whether or not i appears to comply with allthe requirements of documents that we can sign to make12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or11the requirements of document sthat we can sign to make13not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the13supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document14servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign14Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submitta an16within the technology systems within the Desktop to16Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submitta an17track it.17affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was18to review t		Page 90		Page 92	2
3A. We would see the document first, that is correct. We would not make a determination in all cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or whether they would have to forward that to an investor, because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations that every servicer has it its investors.3the LPS Desktop. It's possible that an image of document would be in the Desktop; it's possible that it wouldn't. She typically would not have imaging access to our clients.7because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations that every servicer has it its investors.7Q. So it's really her job to sign the document that looks like it complies because it's put in front9Again, if we know whether or not a certain investor must sign the document, it's possible that we to rowards. But that would, again, depend on whether or not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign it, would typically launch one of those processes within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.1017track it.1718Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was signed by LPS employees, correct?1919A. It appears to be so. Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made th	1	determination first regarding who can sign it or will	1	imaging system through MSP?	
4correct. We would not make a determination in all45cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or6whether they would have to forward that to an investor,7because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations8that every servicer has it its investors.9Again, if we know whether or not a certain10investor must sign the document, it's possible that we11would launch a tracking process on those investor12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or13not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the14servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign15it, would typically launch one of those processes16within the technology systems within the Desktop to17track it.18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was19signed by LPS employees, correct?10A. It appears to be so.11Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the12determination to sign this document, correct?13A. Correct. It is a document type that we14determination to sign this document, correct?15A. Correct. It is a document type that we16A. Correct. It is a document type that we17A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	2	2 sign it?	2	A. She'd have access to the imaging system in	
5cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or whether they would have to forward that to an investor, because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations that every servicer has it its investors.5wouldn't. She typically would not have imaging access to our clients.9Again, if we know whether or not a certain investor must sign the document, it's possible that we would launch a tracking process on those investor not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign it, would typically launch one of those processes to kith the technology systems within the Desktop to rack it.10A. It's her job to review the document and determine whether or not it appears to comply with all the requirements of documents that we can sign to make surposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an that they said they drafted? Or if they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.10Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2123A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2124A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	3	A. We would see the document first, that is	3	the LPS Desktop. It's possible that an image of	
6whether they would have to forward that to an investor, because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations that every servicer has it its investors.6to our clients.9Again, if we know whether or not a certain investor must sign the document, it's possible that we would launch a tracking process on those investor forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign it, would typically launch one of those processes within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.10A. It's her job to review the document and determine whether or not it appears to comply with all supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an 4 Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.10Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was signed by LPS employees, correct?1021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2023A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2124typically would sign.24	4	correct. We would not make a determination in all	4	document would be in the Desktop; it's possible that it	
7because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations that every servicer has it its investors.7Q. So it's really her job to sign the document that looks like it complies because it's put in front9Again, if we know whether or not a certain investor must sign the document, it's possible that we would launch a tracking process on those investor forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign it, would typically launch one of those processes within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.10Asignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.9Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was signed by LPS employees, correct?1011Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the tappers to be so.2123A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2124A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	5	cases whether the servicer had the ability to sign or	5	wouldn't. She typically would not have imaging access	
8that every servicer has it its investors.8that looks like it complies because it's put in front9Again, if we know whether or not a certain9of her on the computer system, correct?10investor must sign the document, it's possible that we10A. It's her job to review the document and11would launch a tracking process on those investor10A. It's her job to review the document and12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or12the requirements of documents that we can sign to make13not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the13sure that it for example, is it really what it's14servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign14supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document15it, would typically launch one of those processes15that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was18to review the content within it and ensure that there19signed by LPS employees, correct?19is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being20A. It appears to be so.20able to sign it.21Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the21Q. But, for example, she wouldn't know whether23A. Correct. It is a document type that we23loan to IndyMac Federal Bank, correct?24typically would sign.24A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	6	whether they would have to forward that to an investor,	6	to our clients.	
9Again, if we know whether or not a certain9of her on the computer system, correct?10investor must sign the document, it's possible that we10A. It's her job to review the document and11would launch a tracking process on those investor11determine whether or not it appears to comply with all12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or12the requirements of documents that we can sign to make13not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the13sure that it for example, is it really what it's14servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign14supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document15it, would typically launch one of those processes15that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an16within the technology systems within the Desktop to16Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an17track it.17affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was18to review the content within it and ensure that there19signed by LPS employees, correct?19is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being20A. It appears to be so.20able to sign it.21Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the21Q. But, for example, she wouldn't know whether22A. Correct. It is a document, correct?22is accurate that MERS actually wanted to assign the23A. Correct. It is a document type that we23loan to IndyMac Feder		because we aer not privy to all of the authorizations	7	Q. So it's really her job to sign the document	
10investor must sign the document, it's possible that we would launch a tracking process on those investor10A. It's her job to review the document and determine whether or not it appears to comply with all the requirements of documents that we can sign to make12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign111214servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign14supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document15it, would typically launch one of those processes15that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an16within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.16Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was signed by LPS employees, correct?19is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.20A. It appears to be so.20A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the etermination to sign this document, correct?21Q. But, for example, she wouldn't know whether it's accurate that MERS actually wanted to assign the23A. Correct. It is a document type that we eta23A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	8	that every servicer has it its investors.	8	that looks like it complies because it's put in front	
11would launch a tracking process on those investor11determine whether or not it appears to comply with all12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or12the requirements of documents that we can sign to make13not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the13sure that it for example, is it really what it's14servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign14supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document15it, would typically launch one of those processes15that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an16within the technology systems within the Desktop to16Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an17track it.17affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was18to review the content within it and ensure that there19signed by LPS employees, correct?19is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being20A. It appears to be so.20able to sign it.21Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the21Q. But, for example, she wouldn't know whether22determination to sign this document, correct?22it's accurate that MERS actually wanted to assign the23A. Correct. It is a document type that we23loan to IndyMac Federal Bank, correct?24typically would sign.24A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	9	Again, if we know whether or not a certain	9	of her on the computer system, correct?	
12forwards. But that would, again, depend on whether or not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign it, would typically launch one of those processes within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.12the requirements of documents that we can sign to make sure that it for example, is it really what it's supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.12New Yell, in this case, though, it was signed by LPS employees, correct?1920A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2123A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2124typically would sign.24	10		10	_	
13not we knew that. If not, we would forward it to the servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign it, would typically launch one of those processes within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.13sure that it for example, is it really what it's supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was signed by LPS employees, correct?1920A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2123A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2124A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	11		11		
14servicer, and then the servicer, if they could not sign it, would typically launch one of those processes within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.14supposed to be? Did the attorney upload the document15within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.16Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submitted an18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was18affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there19signed by LPS employees, correct?1920A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2123A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2124Kersen to MERS employee. She would know	12		12)
15it, would typically launch one of those processes within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.15that they said they drafted? Or if they submitted an Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.100. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was signed by LPS employees, correct?1819signed by LPS employees, correct?1920A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2123A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2324typically would sign.24	13		13		
16within the technology systems within the Desktop to track it.16Assignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.16Massignment of Deed of Trust, did they submit an affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then to review the content within it and ensure that there is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being able to sign it.20A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?2123A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.2324typically would sign.24	14		14		
17track it.17affidavit instead; it's the wrong document? And then18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was18to review the content within it and ensure that there19signed by LPS employees, correct?19is no verbiage within it that prohibits us from being20A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the21Q. But, for example, she wouldn't know whether22determination to sign this document, correct?22it's accurate that MERS actually wanted to assign the23A. Correct. It is a document type that we23loan to IndyMac Federal Bank, correct?24typically would sign.24A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	15		15		
18Q. Okay. Well, in this case, though, it was18to review the content within it and ensure that there19signed by LPS employees, correct?1920A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the2122determination to sign this document, correct?2223A. Correct. It is a document type that we2324typically would sign.2425A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	16	within the technology systems within the Desktop to	16		
19signed by LPS employees, correct?1920A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the2122determination to sign this document, correct?2223A. Correct. It is a document type that we2324typically would sign.24	17	r track it.	17		
20A. It appears to be so.2021Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the2122determination to sign this document, correct?2223A. Correct. It is a document type that we2324typically would sign.24	18		18		
21Q. Okay. So that means Ms. Hood made the determination to sign this document, correct?21Q. But, for example, she wouldn't know whether23A. Correct. It is a document type that we typically would sign.21Q. But, for example, she wouldn't know whether24A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	19		19		
22determination to sign this document, correct?22it's accurate that MERS actually wanted to assign the23A. Correct. It is a document type that we23loan to IndyMac Federal Bank, correct?24typically would sign.24A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	20		20	_	
23A. Correct. It is a document type that we23Ioan to IndyMac Federal Bank, correct?24typically would sign.24A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know	21		21	•	
24 typically would sign. 24 A. She's not a MERS employee. She would know		-	22		
	23			-	
25 Q. Okay. So it would have come up because it 25 whether or not we have a signing authority for MERS					
	25	Q. Okay. So it would have come up because it	25	whether or not we have a signing authority for MERS	

	Page 93		Page 95
1	Q. Right.	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2	A so if the law firm that drafted it	2	reviewer, who is familiar with the signing authority of
3	understood that MERS needs to transfer that from MERS	3	that client. Signing authorities vary by client, so
4	to the servicer and draft the document therein. But	4	people are trained, not specific clients.
5	she's not in the head of somebody at MERS to know	5	The review is performed. They then either
6	whether or not they should be doing that.	6	sign the document or don't sign the document and launch
7	Q. I guess that's my point. She just doesn't	7	the relevant processes to track it, if it has to go to
8	know, but she's signing it because the law firm	8	a client or investor. They follow up with those
9	uploaded it in the system, and it's the document that	9	clients and investors to make sure that they are
10	it says it's supposed to be, and it looks like it	10	completing the steps, that they've received the
11	complies with what you guys are normally supposed to be	11	document, that it's moving where it's supposed to go.
12	signing, correct?	12	And they ensure that the documents return back to the
13	A. A signing of a loan from MERS back to the	13	law firm. They manage reporting that helps us, again,
14	servicer is a common event during a legal action. It's	14	track the document, it helps us understand whether
15	not an uncommon event at all. So when you say she	15	documents are stuck or missing or need to be reprinted.
16	wouldn't know whether or not MERS needed to do that, I	16	Q. So, in essence, they sign documents all day
17	don't know that anybody other than a MERS employee	17	and make sure they get to where they're supposed to go?
18	would be able to answer that question. Even a	18	MR. SPOONEMORE: Objection to the extent
19	servicing employee would know that it's typically	19	it mischaracterizes previous testimony.
20	required on that loan, but it's just a de facto part of	20	A. I wouldn't consider them to be signing
21	the process.	21	documents all day; it's a portion of their
22	Q. Okay. And what did Ms. Hood do with this	22	responsibility.
23	document once she signed it?	23	Q. Do they perform any other function besides
24	A. She actually signs this document and,	24	signing documents and tracking them to make sure they
25	essentially, it's notarized. The notary that I	25	get to where they're supposed to go and generate
	Page 94		Page 96
1	don't know who the notary is on this.	1	reports resulting from those?
2	Q. Ms. Jackson.	2	A. Are we speaking specifically about the
3	A. Okay. Paris Jackson. So she validates the	3	manager, or are we speaking about the term in general?
4	know that, you know, Bethany signs the document. And	4	Q. The team in general, right.
5	it then gets the She would complete steps in the	5	A. Sure.
6	Desktop to indicate that she had signed it, and then	6	Q. Yeah.
7	she would complete a step that indicates it's being	7	A. Their primary role would be to track
8	sent to the attorney. That document then makes its way	8	5
9	to the mailroom, in which case it then goes in an	9	But like I said, the signing activity is a portion of
10	overnight envelope back to the law firm.	10	their activity, it's not the majority. But they're
11	Q. And Ms. Jackson is an employee of LPS as	11	primarily involved with documents.
12	well?	12	Q. Okay. And I would assume the manager of that
13	A. She is.	13	department has managerial responsibilities in addition
14	Q. And do you know what her job title is?	14	to what the rest of the team does, correct?
15	A. She's part of the document execution area,	15	A. You are correct.
16	and her primary role would be, it appears to be, a	16	Q. All right. All right.
17	notary. I don't know that she's still an employee.	17	Similarly, turning to the Appointment of
18	Q. Oh, okay.	18	Successor Trustee document.
19	And what is Ms. Hood's job title?	19	A. Yep.
20	A. Bethany Hood is the manager for document	20	Q. So Ms. Allen would have gone through the same
21	execution within LPS Default Solutions.	21	sort of process as Ms. Hood. This document would have
22	Q. And what does the document execution part of	22	been uploaded on the system, and she would have
23	the company do?	23	verified to make certain that there was signing
24	A. Documents that are uploaded through our	24	authority, made sure the document fit within the
25		25	parameters of what was allowed to be signed and then

Christian S. Hymer 30(b)(6), 1/13/2010

Page: 25

Γ	Page 97		Page 99
	1 signed it, correct?	1	Trustee is assigned as the trustee on this loan. It's
	2 A. Correct.	2	clearly differentiated, it's indicated within the
	³ Q. And, again, she would not have verified or	3	system within the client servicing system which both
	4 had the ability to verify whether or not any of the	4	employees would have access to. They could also see
	5 information contained in this document was correct?	5	that Regional Trustee was the vendor selected by
	6 A. No. Actually, she has the ability to verify	6	IndyMac Bank. So they were selected as the trustee.
	7 information the document is correct; she doesn't have	7	Q. And I'll ask Ms. Allen, but do you happen to
	⁸ the ability to verify any of the information.	8	know on the second page of this document who would have
	9 Q. What information can she verify as being	9	written in the effective date of this document?
1	o correct?	10	A. (Reviewing document). No, I wouldn't. I
1	A. She can verify, for example, that the loan in	11	don't know what that pertains to. I would have to
1	² question belongs to the debtor that's named in the	12	review notes in the system, probably.
1	³ document. She can verify basic status information	13	Q. Okay. And just to be clear, though, this
1	about the document itself. She can Like you	14	copy did come from LPS's system, correct?
1	⁵ characterized earlier, she can and this is an	15	A. It sounds like this copy was provided to you
1	6 appointment successor trustee.	16	as part of this Complaint.
1	7 Q. Um-hmm.	17	Q. It was, yeah.
1	8 A. She can review whether Regional Trustee	18	A. So, therefore I don't know Actually, I
1	9 Services is a network firm belonging to IndyMac Federal	19	don't know that it came out of our system.
2	0 Bank and would be appropriate as being successor	20	Q. Okay.
2	1 trustee. And I believe that's all within this document	21	A. The imaged copy of a signed doc isn't always
2	² that she would have to review.	22	typically retained in our system. It's filed and
2	Q. But from my understanding of what you told me	23	recorded with the courts. So it may have directly come
2	⁴ earlier, the request to sign this document would have	24	from the court.
2	5 come from Regional Trustee, the law firm, or	25	Q. And so this document actually was recorded
	Page 98		Page 100
	1 A. Correct.	1	with the recorder's office. So is there a process
	2 Q an entity handling the foreclosure sale,	2	A. No. You're telling me that.
	3 correct?	3	Q. Well, you can see it.
	4 A. Correct.	4	A. That was the question. I don't know that
	5 Q. So Regional Trustee is telling LPS, in	5	that means it's been recorded by the
	6 essence, by uploading the document, to a point, it is	6	Q. Actually, it does. I'll represent to you it
	7 the trustee, correct?	7	does. I'm sure Mr. Spoonemore will agree with me.
	8 A. Regional Trustee is, actually, not asking LPS	8	A. Okay.
	9 to appoint as a trustee; they are asking for an	9	Q. So my question is, would both of these
1	o representative of IndyMac of IndyMac Federal Bank	10	documents, once they've been recorded, would they have
	FSB to appoint them as successor trustee. LPS is act	11	been then uploaded again into the LPS system after
	2 being in the capacity based upon our signing authority	12	recording?
	3 to acknowledge that.	13	A. It would depend on the client. I don't know
	4 Q. What information does LPS get from IndyMac	14	that every client requires its law firms to upload
	5 Federal Bank, FSB to confirm that that is, in fact, who	15	copies of recorded Some documents they would, but
	6 it wants to appoint as the trustee?	16	others they would not. Typically, like, for example
	7 A. Our authorities to sign typically, I would	17	for example, assignments, I would expect those to see
	8 assume, contains some language and verbiage that grants	18	uploaded back into the imaging system once recorded
	, ,	19	because they become a part of the record.
	Q. I understand. I'm asking specifically about	20	Q. Like this one?
2		21	A. There you go. Other documents they would,
	2 information would LPS have had that delineated that on	22	probably from a cost standpoint, not worry about, they
	this particular loan IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB wanted	23	don't necessarily have to have in their imaging systems
	4 Regional Trustee to be the trustee?	24	and they can always access them in the court records.
\lfloor^2	5 A. By looking at LPS Desktop, we know Regional	25	Q. And on both of thieves documents there's a

	Page 101		Page 103
1	notation kind of right below the Trustee's Sale No.,	1	
2	starting with "FMB." I'm not going to bother reading	2	what this is?
3	that.	3	A. I'm sorry, what are we referring to as 604?
4	A. Mm-hmm.	4	Q. I'm looking at the bottom number.
5	Q. Do you know how that part of the document is	5	A. Oh.
6	generated or why?	6	Q. That's just so we can all keep track of it.
7	A. I do not, no.	7	A. Your your
8	Q. Okay. And you said, to be clear, on both of	8	Q. Yeah.
9	these with both these documents, the originals would	9	A. Okay. "MAS1" screen?
10	have been sent by mail to back to Regional Trustee	10	Q. Yes.
11	in this case, who's the trustee, for recording; is that	11	A. I'm familiar with the name; I'm not familiar
12	correct?	12	with the information contained within it.
13	A. Correct. They would be sent back to Regional	13	Q. Is it an MSP document, or is it an LPS
14	Trustee, to then be sent for recording to the court.	14	
15	Q. Okay. And you just don't know if these	15	A. It is an MSP document.
16	documents were actually then uploaded after recording?	16	Q. Okay.
17	A. I don't know without looking at the	17	A. It is another screen image of a screen at
18	LPS Desktop and the document matrix system, no.	18	
19	Q. Okay. Just one second.	19	Q. Okay.
20	Okay, I am going to have you look at some of	20	A of the system of record, the servicing
21	these printouts I got, and this is for another case	21	
22	that we're taking testimony on today, the Wood Ed	22	Q. That's fine. You're doing exactly what I
	Wood, the Deutsche Bank case.		c ,
23		23	
24	A. Would you like these back?	24	All right. So this is so 600 document
25	Q. No. You can leave those with the court	25	
	Page 102		Page 104
1	reporter.	1	
2	A. Okay.	2	A. It appears to be an MSP document as well.
3	Q. I'm not sure necessarily that I'm going to	3	
4	mark all of these as an exhibit because it's	4	screen, excuse me, as "PCH2." Do you know what this
5	voluminous, but I wanted to look at some of the screens	5	
6	that were sources of information that appear to be on	6	A. No. Again, I'm familiar with the the PCH2
7	these documents, not necessarily too much specific	7	name for it. It appears to say "Payment Change
8	about them, but to discuss what the screens are. Okay?	8	Maintenance." I'm not familiar about the information
9	A. That's fine. I'll warn you that I'm not an	9	contained within it.
10	expert on MSP. I know	10	Q. So, again, is this an MSP document?
11	Q. So are these MSP documents?	11	A. It is an MSP document.
12	A. This first one here I am	12	Q. Okay. So document 607 entitled "FEE1," F-E-E
13	Q. It is	13	
14	A familiar with it.	14	A. It is an MSP document.
15	Q okay.	15	Q. Okay. And, by the way, maybe I can make this
16	A. PAKP is MSP. So there's some general	16	quicker. Can you tell by the formatting if this is all
17	information I can help you with, but	17	MSP documentation?
18	Q. Okay. So let's do that.	18	A. Without looking at every single one
19	A. Sure.	19	individually, they all appear to be in a format that's
20	Q. Okay. So on the pages marked LPS WOOD 0602	20	represented of a screen in MSP.
21	and 0603, that's one, and so you said this is an MSP	21	Q. Okay. Then I'll try and move quickly through
22	printout?	22	it
		23	A. Okay.
23	A. It appears to be what we call a screen print	120	
	or screen image of an MSP screen for this loan here	24	Q because I don't want to bog us down.
23			-

	Page 105		Page 107
1	A. That appears to be.	1	your previous answer that this is an MSP document,
2	Q. And that's Wood document 609 through 618. So	2	correct?
3	we're on Wood Document No. 619, and the form is "DLQ2."	3	A. Yes.
4	Is this also an MSP?	4	Q. All right. Let me see how much of this is
5	A. It's a delinquency status screen in MSP.	5	duplicative that we can skip through.
6	Q. Okay. And so that takes us to the same for	6	MR. GLOUDEMAN: Can we take a break for
7	document 620. We're on document 621, a screen entitled	7	just one second.
8	"PAY4," is this also an MSP document?	8	(A break was taken.)
9	A. Yes.	9	MR. GLOUDEMAN: Thank you.
10	Q. Document 622, it's entitled "PAY1." Is this	10	MS. HUELSMAN: All right.
11	also an MSP document?	11	C C
12	A. Yes.	12	Q. So I'm going to skip ahead to WOOD 699, and
13	Q. Document 623 entitled BNKC or noted as	13	based upon your earlier testimony to me, I have a
14	"BNKC," is this an MSP document?	14	
15	A. It is an MSP document.	15	"P309," and that is, in fact, an MSP document, correct?
16	Q. Okay.	16	A. It is a typical MSP screen.
17	A. And I'm attesting to fact that these are	17	Q. Correct?
18	appear to be MSP documents. I have no idea of the	18	A. Yes.
	information within them, if it's accurate, if they	19	Q. So we will skip to Wood 704. And that's a
19	or where you even got these, but		"P190." It's also a MSP document?
20		20	
21	Q. At this point that's all I'm asking you to	21	A. Yes.
22	do	22	Q. Wood 705, a document "DLQ2." That's an MSP
23	A. Okay.	23	document, correct?
24	Q because it is an MSP document, so I can't	24	A. Yes.
25	ask you to verify information in it.	25	Q. Wood 706, screen "DLQ1," that's an MSP
	Page 106		Page 108
1	A. Okay.	1	document?
2	Q. So the BNKC goes through Wood 624. At Wood	2	A. Yes.
3	2625 we have a "BNKA" document. Is that also an MSP		
		3	Q. And so Wood 707 says "PAY4," it's an MSP
4	document?	3 4	Q. And so Wood 707 says "PAY4," it's an MSP document?
4 5			-
	document?	4	document?
5	document? A. Yes.	4 5	document? A. Yes.
5 6	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is	4 5 6	document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document?
5 6 7	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document?	4 5 6 7	document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes.
5 6 7 8	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again
5 6 7 8 9	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1."	4 5 6 7 8 9	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show
5 6 7 8 9 10	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 	4 5 7 8 9 10	document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes.	4 5 7 8 9 10 11	document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is	4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.)
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? A. Yes. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) Q. Can you tell me in general what this document
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 641, screen "BNKP," is this an MSP 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) Q. Can you tell me in general what this document is? A. It appears to be a valuation, like a VPO, a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 641, screen "BNKP," is this an MSP document? 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) Q. Can you tell me in general what this document is? A. It appears to be a valuation, like a VPO, a valuation of a property, and it appears to have been
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 641, screen "BNKP," is this an MSP document? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) Q. Can you tell me in general what this document is? A. It appears to be a valuation, like a VPO, a
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 641, screen "BNKP," is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 642, or screen "MAS1," is this an MSP 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) Q. Can you tell me in general what this document is? A. It appears to be a valuation, like a VPO, a valuation of a property, and it appears to have been performed by an FIS company called Hansen Quality. Q. And what is FIS?
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 641, screen "BNKP," is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 642, or screen "MAS1," is this an MSP document? A. Yes.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) Q. Can you tell me in general what this document is? A. It appears to be a valuation, like a VPO, a valuation of a property, and it appears to have been performed by an FIS company called Hansen Quality. Q. And what is FIS? A. Fidelity Information Services. It is the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 626, we have a "BNK1" document. Is that an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. At Wood 627 we have a document marked "SER1." Is this also MSP? A. Yes. Q. Going through Wood 638, now we're on Wood 639, a document called DDCH or screen "DDCH." Is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 640, "HAZ1," MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 641, screen "BNKP," is this an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 642, or screen "MAS1," is this an MSP document?	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 document? A. Yes. Q. Wood 708, "PAY1," it's an MSP document? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I don't want to go through these again because we have more that are we've already answered. Okay, again, the documents I'm going to show you are still about this other file Wood it's the Ed Wood case, and the borrowers are the Bateman on these. MS. HUELSMAN: Mark this as Exhibit 4. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 4 was marked.) Q. Can you tell me in general what this document is? A. It appears to be a valuation, like a VPO, a valuation of a property, and it appears to have been performed by an FIS company called Hansen Quality. Q. And what is FIS? A. Fidelity Information Services. It is the previous iteration of what is now LPS. It was one of

	Christian 5. Hymer		
	Page 109		Page 111
1	Q. So it's, I guess, part of the LPS corporate	1	been, if it actually was in the possession of LPS,
2	umbrella?	2	would have been on the Desktop, correct?
3	A. It I believe it was. It may still exist	3	A. That would seem logical.
4	today as part of the Fidelity side of the spin-off; I'm	4	Q. In other words, there's no other filing
5	not aware.	5	system or anything else at LPS where it would be
6	Q. Okay. All right. And it's a Desktop	6	stored?
7	Valuation, as it says at the top?	7	A. No.
8	A. That's what it says.	8	Q. Okay.
9	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with Desktop	9	A. We don't have any paper files of this sort.
10	Valuations and their use by LPS in performing its	10	Q. I didn't think so, but I wanted to make sure.
11	services?	11	Okay.
12	A. I'm familiar with respect to the fact that we	12	MS. HUELSMAN: We'll turn to Exhibit 5.
13	would take information or data from this and feed	13	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 5 was marked.)
14	and input that to a client typically for a client to	14	Q. Have you seen this document before, I guess?
15	make a determination of some manner. Outside of that,	15	A. I have not seen this document before. I am
16	our employees typically wouldn't be would have no	16	familiar with what this is. It is appears to be a
17	reason to actually work on this other than providing it	17	cover sheet for associated bidding instructions for a
18	to somebody else.	18	foreclosure bid.
19	Q. Okay. So either the attorney or the servicer	19	Q. Is this a typical document that you see in a
20	would ask FIS, or whoever, to create this, and then it	20	a Desktop file at LPS?
21	would be simply uploaded onto the system?	21	A. That's kind of hard to answer. There are a
22	A. Yes. FIS Hansen Quality would be a vendor	22	lot of documents in a Desktop file. It Bidding
23	providing valuations to, it looks appears to be	23	instructions and the necessity to provide a bid prior
24	here, Washington Mutual. So Washington Mutual	24	to sale is a common activity.
25	essentially is placing the order as the client to	25	Q. Okay. And who would have generated this
-	Page 110		Page 112
1		1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Hansen Quality, Hansen Quality is delivering the		
2	product back. This is the product we're looking at.	2	A. I'm not familiar with the bidding procedure for client Washington Mutual SHLS, so I don't know
3	Q. And can you tell by looking at this whether	3	_
4	or not it was uploaded into the system by FIS? In	4	whether or not I know that the bidding logic, to determine how much to bid, is a client-specified logic
5	other words, did it have the ability to upload?		element. But I'm not aware whether or not LPS used our
6	A. (Reviewing document). By looking at this	6	
	document, I cannot ascertain whether or not this was		technology to calculate this bid or whether it came
8	uploaded into document management.	8	directly from the client or how we received the bid. I
9	Q. Okay.	9	don't know.
10	A. It appears to be consistent with the way	10	Q. Okay. I'm going to point you to the bottom
	other documents look when but I there's no	11	of the document
12	identifying mark that says it's a document management	12	A. Yep.
13	document.	13	Q where it says: "PLEASE NOTE: Sales
14	Q. Okay. But, either way, it would have been in	14	Results Must Be Provided Via LPS Desktop WITHIN 24
15	LPS's system, it's just a question of how it got there?	15	HOURS OF THE SALE SO AS TO VOID ANY POTENTIAL LATE
16	A. I can't guarantee that it's in LPS Desktop.	16	NOTIFICATION PENALTIES." Do you see that?
17	That would be an assumption.	17	A. I do.
18	Q. Okay. Since LPS produced it to us in	18	Q. Okay. So is this is this document being
19	discovery, do you know how it acquired it?	19	provided to the attorney, and then the attorney is then
20	A. I don't know. I don't know where they got	20	being instructed that they have to notify LPS of this
21	this from to produce it to you. They could have gotten	21	information?
22	it from Desktop document management, they could have	22	A. As I interpret the instructions at the bottom
23	gotten it from Hansen Quality, they could have gotten	23	of this bidding form, they appear to me to be
24	it from Washington Mutual; I'm not aware.	24	instructions that the law firm needs to update the
25	Q. Okay. But the other place it would have	25	technology, LPS Desktop, within 24 hours of the sale,

	Christian S. Hymer	r 30	0(b)(6), 1/13/2010 Page: 29)
	Page 113		Page 115	1
1	with the results of the sale. And the reason for that,	1	provided, it's our responsibility to contact the	
2	when they mention "potential late notification	2	servicer and try to escalate the requirement that that	
3		3	bid is needed and get that into the hands of the law	
4		4	_	
5		5	Q. Okay.	
6		6	One more thing, over on the right it said	
7		7		
8		8	that refers to?	
9		9	A. I'm sorry oh, here (indicating)?	
10		10	Q. "INVESTOR CODE." Yes.	
11		11	A. (Reviewing document). I don't. It would be	
12			a guess. But I would assume it it is related to	
13		13		
14		14		
15		15		
16		16	Q. Okay.	
17		17	All right, Exhibit	
18		18	MS. HUELSMAN: What are we on?	
19		19	COURT REPORTER: 6.	
20		20	MS. HUELSMAN: 6.	
21		21	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 6 was marked.)	
22		22	Q. Okay. So do you know if this document came	
23		23		
24		24	A. By what this document appears to be, it	
25		25		
-	Page 114		Page 116	-
1		1		
1		2		
3		3	a Washington Mutual employee.	
4		4	Q. Right.	
5		5	A. So she is sending this communication this	
6		6		
7		7	Q. Okay. So I guess we should clarify, then,	
8		8	does LPS also have actual e-mail systems in addition to	
9		9	the Desktop and all the other platforms?	
10		10	A. We use Outlook. Typically all communications	
11		11	performed internal to the Desktop.	
12		12	Q. Okay.	
13		13	A. There are some clients where we have to have	
14		14		
15		15		
16		16		
17		17	have some way to communicate information to us, but	
18		18	it's pretty far and few between. The vast majority of	
19		19	communication is managed internal to that system, to	
20		20	the Desktop.	
20		21	Q. So any communications that took place in	
22		22		
		22		
23	nothing to do with the sale, we re not at the sale, we	23	A. They would not be automatically reflected in	

 25
 in order to go to sale, and if that bid is not
 25
 -- in these e-mail boxes, our procedure would be that

 612
 230
 0545
 * Paradiam Paranting & Cantioning Inc. * 200
 545
 0662

24 the Desktop. In many cases, communications received by

612-339-0545 * Paradigm Reporting & Captioning Inc. * 800-545-9668

don't schedule the sale, but the attorney needs a bid

24

	Page 117		Page 119
1	an employee at LPS would document an applicable	1	Q. Yeah, just to make sure.
2	portion, as per procedure, to indicate what's going on.	2	A. Sure.
3	Again, we try too keep the communication in the system.	3	MS. HUELSMAN: Pull 116 out, it's the last
4	Q. Okay. And so this looks like it isn't one of	4	page, Rick, and we'll do that as a separate I'm
5	the messages within Desktop, that it looks like it's	5	sorry, I flipped wrong.
6	outside of that and	6	Q. Okay. So the documents LPS WOOD 153 through
7	A. It appears to be	7	160 are all blank forms, an Assignment of Deed of
8	Q probably an Outlook	8	Trust, blank, as in no signatures. Assignment of Deed
9	A an Outlook e-mail that contains a screen	9	of Trust, Lost Assignment Affidavit by Beneficiary,
10	snap of a screen, and we wouldn't be able to there's	10	Lost Assignment Affidavit, and an Affidavit in Support
11	no way for an e-mail containing a screen to be able to	11	of Motion for Relief From Stay.
12	be input into the Desktop unless you scanned it, imaged	12	A. They appear to be templates for necessary
13	it, and loaded it into document management, which would	13	documents.
14	be highly unusual.	14	Q. So would these have been the documents that
15	Q. Okay. And are you familiar with this e-mail	15	then were sent to LPS by the attorney or trustee for
16	address at LPS, the BK WAMU Escrow file or folder?	16	signature by LPS employees?
17	A. We have approximately 30 e-mail addresses, I	17	A. I don't know. They appear to be consistent
18	think, within our contact matrix that are provided to	18	with documents that are typically uploaded to LPS and
19	firms and to clients. I am not familiar with this	19	to the servicer for signature, but I have no knowledge
20	specific e-mail address or what it's used for.	20	of where these were how they were constructed by
21	Q. Okay.	21	Northwest Trustee, where they're stored, and what they
22	A. But the e-mail domain, fnfs.net, is now an	22	used to determine what information should go in them.
23	fnfs, or now LPS, e-mail account.	23	Q. Okay. And if Northwest Trustee had sent
24	Q. Okay. And the information, the screen print,	24	these documents wanted to send these documents
25	like you said, that was inserted here, can you tell if	25	directly to the servicer, would they still have
	Page 118		Page 120
1	that's an LPS Desktop screen print or if it's an MSP	1	utilized the LPS Desktop to do so?
2	screen print or where it came from?	2	A. Yes, typically. They would typically, when
3	A. It's an ANA1 "ESCROW ANALYSIS RESPA" is the	3	they upload the document, they would and there's a
4	title of the screen. It appears to me to be consistent	4	comment box within the upload step, and they would say,
5	with an MSP screen.	5	"Please forward to servicer. Servicer signature only,"
6	Q. Okay. All right.	6	or they could potentially send it outside the Desktop
7	MS. HUELSMAN: Exhibit 7.	7	via e-mail. That would be unusual. But, yes, the
8	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 7 was marked.)	8	vehicle exists for them to get it directly to the
9	Q. Have you ever seen this document or a	9	servicer.
10	document like this before?	10	Q. Okay. And if they sent it to the servicer
11	A. (Reviewing document). No. It appears to	11	directly outside of the LPS system, would LPS have
12	have something to do with loan origination. I'm not	12	copies of it?
13	familiar with this document.	13	A. Typically, no, unless it was a document that
14	Q. And would this be normally the type of	14	was later recorded and that the attorney uploaded then
15	document that would be in LPS's Desktop system?	15	at that point into the system.
16	A. I have never been presented with a when	16	Q. Okay.
17	I've reviewed documents in our system with a document	17	MS. HUELSMAN: Exhibit 9, marked Wood 161.
18	such as a Correspondent Purchase Statement. It's not	18	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 9 was marked.)
19	something I've ever come across.	19	Q. Can you take a look I want you to take a
20	Q. Okay.	20	look at this document.
21	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 8 was marked.)	21	A. (Reviewing document). Okay. Okay.
22	Q. Exhibit 8 is a series of documents starting	22	Q. Are you familiar with this letter, or have
23	at Wood 153 and going through 161. Actually, pull 161	23	A. No, I've never seen this letter before.
24	out. That shouldn't have been in there.	24	Q. Have you ever seen a letter like this before?
25	A. Do you want that back?	25	A. It's not something I would typically

	Page 121		Page 123
1		1	
2		2	Q. Is a part of the agreements entered into
3		3	between LPS and the attorneys for them to take actions
4		4	like this to seek indemnification for LPS as a
5		5	requirement of performing their function?
6		6	A. No. I There are certain hold harmless and
7		7	identification pieces within it, but I don't believe
8		8	they're characterized the way that you said. If they
9		9	make an error, that kind of thing, then it's on them;
	appears to be a letter between First American excuse	10	if we make an error, it's on us.
11		11	Q. Okay. All right.
12		12	MS. HUELSMAN: 10.
13		13	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 10 was marked.)
	existing in a position senior to that other client.	14	Q. Is Amy Weis an employee at LPS?
1	And they appear to have some sort of a problem with the	15	A. Yes, Amy it's actually wees. She is a
1	title. Outside of that, that's all I can tell you.	16	Q. I'm sorry.
17		17	A. That's okay. I think you're going to be
	to guess, but if you can answer this question: There's	18	talking to her later, so
19		19	Q. Yes.
20	should move work to remove the deed of trust from	20	A. She is an employee of LPS.
21		21	Q. So when she signs her name here as an AVP, is
22		22	that her title at LPS? She's an AVP?
23		23	A. Actually, she is an assistant vice president
24		24	at LPS; however, for the purposes of signing this
25	document, and I would have no knowledge as to why they	25	document, I believe she'll be signing under whatever
	Page 122		Page 124
1		1	authority she was granted under the, quote, "resolution
2	_	2	of power of attorney" in place for the entities
3		3	involved. So she would be classified as an AVP, I
4		4	would assume, without looking at the signing authority.
5	A. I'm not sure I'm able to answer what a normal	5	That's the way she's characterized or she has the
6	attorney's process should be. I don't know.	6	ability to sign, as that.
7		7	Q. As an AVP of Washington Mutual Bank?
8	asking for indemnification?	8	A. Correct.
9		9	Q. Okay. And she would only be an AVP of
10		10	Washington Mutual Bank for purposes of signing a
11	letters that go. It's not something that I typically	11	document?
12	would deal with.	12	A. Correct. She is not an employee of
13	Q. And there's some information that's not	13	Washington Mutual Bank.
14	filled in here, in other words, there's the trustee	14	Q. Thank you.
15		15	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 11 was marked.)
16	sale date isn't filled in, and there's in the third		
17		16	Q. This document, it's a Lost Assignment
1 - 1		16 17	Q. This document, it's a Lost Assignment Affidavit By Beneficiary, was signed by Elizabeth
18	paragraph it says: "Please provide the indemnity letter prior to" and there's clearly a blank to fill		-
	paragraph it says: "Please provide the indemnity letter prior to" and there's clearly a blank to fill in. Do you know if this kind of if this letter	17	Affidavit By Beneficiary, was signed by Elizabeth Boulton. A. Mm-hmm. Yes.
18	paragraph it says: "Please provide the indemnity letter prior to" and there's clearly a blank to fill in. Do you know if this kind of if this letter would have come to LPS for completion or insertion of	17 18	Affidavit By Beneficiary, was signed by Elizabeth Boulton.
18 19	 paragraph it says: "Please provide the indemnity letter prior to" and there's clearly a blank to fill in. Do you know if this kind of if this letter would have come to LPS for completion or insertion of these dates or information? A. This is not a document that would be 	17 18 19	 Affidavit By Beneficiary, was signed by Elizabeth Boulton. A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Is she an employee of LPS? A. Beth Boulton is not an employee of LPS and
18 19 20	 paragraph it says: "Please provide the indemnity letter prior to" and there's clearly a blank to fill in. Do you know if this kind of if this letter would have come to LPS for completion or insertion of these dates or information? A. This is not a document that would be submitted to LPS for signature. It's not something we 	17 18 19 20	 Affidavit By Beneficiary, was signed by Elizabeth Boulton. A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Is she an employee of LPS? A. Beth Boulton is not an employee of LPS and has never been's been an employee of LPS. Beth
18 19 20 21	 paragraph it says: "Please provide the indemnity letter prior to" and there's clearly a blank to fill in. Do you know if this kind of if this letter would have come to LPS for completion or insertion of these dates or information? A. This is not a document that would be 	17 18 19 20 21	Affidavit By Beneficiary, was signed by Elizabeth Boulton. A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Is she an employee of LPS? A. Beth Boulton is not an employee of LPS and has never been's been an employee of LPS. Beth Boulton, at the time of this document, was an employee
18 19 20 21 22	 paragraph it says: "Please provide the indemnity letter prior to" and there's clearly a blank to fill in. Do you know if this kind of if this letter would have come to LPS for completion or insertion of these dates or information? A. This is not a document that would be submitted to LPS for signature. It's not something we would ever be involved in as far as reviewing. Q. Okay. 	17 18 19 20 21 22	 Affidavit By Beneficiary, was signed by Elizabeth Boulton. A. Mm-hmm. Yes. Q. Is she an employee of LPS? A. Beth Boulton is not an employee of LPS and has never been's been an employee of LPS. Beth

	Page 125		Page 127
1	Q. Okay. So you know her?	1	A. Yes.
2	A. Yes. She's an executive management member of	2	Q. Okay. And they also conduct foreclosures and
3	another servicer.	3	do motions for relief from stay, correct?
4	Q. Okay.	4	A. I don't believe they conduct foreclosures. I
5	MS. HUELSMAN: And Exhibit 12.	5	believe Moss Codilis only does work on the bankruptcy
6	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 12 was marked.)	6	side, typically POC and plan review. In my
7	Q. Now, this last name I'm not sure I'm going to	7	relationship with the law firm, they also have, I
8	be able to pronounce, Yolanda Sbaffoni, sa-bof-a-nee.	8	think, a claims business and some other activities.
9	A. Mm-hmm.	9	Q. Okay. And so on this document, Maria
10	Q. S-B-A-F-F-O-N-I. Is she an employee of LPS?	10	Borresen, B-O-R-R-E-S-E-N, is not an employee of LPS,
11	A. I'm not familiar with the name, and by her	11	correct?
12	title as doc execution specialist for JPMorgan Chase	12	A. No. She appears to be an employee of
13	Bank, our employees would not sign in that capacity.	13	Moss Codilis's authorization for service or WAUMU, so
14	That doesn't adhere to our signing authority. So my	14	they appear to have signing authority, as well, for
15	assumption would be that she is an employee of JPMorgan	15	Washington Mutual, which is typical of vendors that
16	Chase or Washington Mutual.	16	perform POC or plan review activity, they would have
17	Q. Okay.	17	signing authority.
18	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 13 was marked.)	18	Q. Okay.
19	Q. Exhibit 13.	19	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 14 was marked.)
20	You don't have to look at this document for a	20	Q. So on this document also we have Shirley Kay
21	second, but what is LPS's relationship with Moss	21	Downing signing for Moss Codilis. She is also not an
22	Codilis, C-O-D-I-L-I-S?	22	LPS employee?
23	A. (Reviewing document). Moss Codilis is a	23	A. No. I am familiar with her name. She is an
24	vendor a national vendor for POC and plan review	24	employee or at least was a an employee of Moss
25	support services for servicers for clients.	25	Codilis. I'm not aware whether she has current
-			
	Page 126		Page 128
1		1	-
	Page 126 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context?	1	employee there.
1	Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this		employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that
1 2	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. 	2	employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of
1 2 3	Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context?	2 3	employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that
1 2 3 4	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? 	2 3 4	employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records
1 2 3 4 5	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that 	2 3 4 5	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access
1 2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not 	2 3 4 5 6	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they
1 2 3 4 5 6	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.)
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire thing, I'll just represent to you this is a title
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. Q. I really just meant "vendor" for purposes of 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire thing, I'll just represent to you this is a title insurance policy that was produced to me by LPS in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. Q. I really just meant "vendor" for purposes of LPS's terminology. I didn't mean 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire thing, I'll just represent to you this is a title insurance policy that was produced to me by LPS in discovery. Would it be typical for a policy like this
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. Q. I really just meant "vendor" for purposes of LPS's terminology. I didn't mean A. They would be part of the attorney network 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire thing, I'll just represent to you this is a title insurance policy that was produced to me by LPS in discovery. Would it be typical for a policy like this to be uploaded into the Desktop system?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. Q. I really just meant "vendor" for purposes of LPS's terminology. I didn't mean Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire thing, I'll just represent to you this is a title insurance policy that was produced to me by LPS in discovery. Would it be typical for a policy like this to be uploaded into the Desktop system? A. I would say yes. It's typically part of the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. Q. I really just meant "vendor" for purposes of LPS's terminology. I didn't mean A. They would be part of the attorney network Q. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire thing, I'll just represent to you this is a title insurance policy that was produced to me by LPS in discovery. Would it be typical for a policy like this to be uploaded into the Desktop system? A. I would say yes. It's typically part of the foreclosure process. I don't know about this specific
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. And can you clarify what POC means in this context? A. Sure. POC means Proof of Claim. Q. So, in other words, they're a law firm that's one of LPS's vendors? A. They are a law firm; although, I believe that the construction filing of proofs of claim does not necessarily have to be done by a law firm, so I don't know that they're acting in the capacity of a law firm when they actually prepare or file a POC. MR. SPOONEMORE: I object to the question to the extent it represents that they're a vendor of LPS. His testimony is pretty clear that these are vendors of the servicers. MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. THE WITNESS: That's a good point. Q. I really just meant "vendor" for purposes of LPS's terminology. I didn't mean A. They would be part of the attorney network agreement with them. But they're not affiliated with 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 employee there. Q. Okay. And when these these attorneys that are part of the attorney network and they do proof of claim work, do they also have access to the MSP records of the servicers on whose behalf they're acting? A. I believe in some cases they do have access to the client servicing system and in other cases they don't. They rely upon the client to deliver them information typically through the LPS Desktop. System information such as the screens you showed me earlier from MSP, those would be typical of what they would receive. Q. Okay. (Plaintiff Exhibit No. 15 was marked.) Q. So so you don't have to look at the entire thing, I'll just represent to you this is a title insurance policy that was produced to me by LPS in discovery. Would it be typical for a policy like this to be uploaded into the Desktop system? A. I would say yes. It's typically part of the foreclosure process. I don't know about this specific one, if it was uploaded, but a actually, I don't

given the scintillating nature of this deposition.

²⁵ and mark this as Exhibit 16.

MR. SPOONEMORE: And he got up early too.

MS. HUELSMAN: All right, we can go ahead

	Christian S. Hymer 30(b)(6), 1/13/2010 P			
	Page 129		Page 131	٦
1	to title the title search and ensuring that there	1	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 16 was marked.)	
2	are no other lienholders or title problems with the	2	Q. Would you take a quick second to look at this	
3	file. Those are uploaded.	3	document.	
4	Q. Are LPS employees required to do anything to	4	A. (Reviewing document). Am I looking through	
5	look at them or verify any of that information	5	all pages of it or just the first	
6	A. No.	6	Q. At least glance through and make sure you are	
7	Q or is that left up to the servicers and	7	going to be able to	
8	the attorneys?	8	A. Yeah.	
9	A. Typically it's left up to the servicer and	9	Q. When I ask you, What is this? you're going to	
10	their vendor who is performing the title work to do	10	have some idea.	
11	that activity. The only activity we would have would	11	A. Like I say, it looks like they're unrelated.	
12	be that if the attorney and I should have mentioned	12	MR. SPOONEMORE: But are not related, just	
13	the attorney needs to review this title to make sure	13	to be clear.	
14	everything's fine. If they found a problem, they would	14	MS. HUELSMAN: Oh.	
15	raise an issue or a question through the LPS Desktop.	15	THE WITNESS: Some Yeah. The first	
16	Some of those might be managed by LPS. And when I say	16	one	
17	"managed," I mean that we're going to be trying to jump	17	MS. HUELSMAN: So those e-mails are not?	
18	in and assist them based upon our access to the	18	MR. SPOONEMORE: Well, the first two pages	
19	client's system or our communication with the client.	19	is Washington Mutual related.	
20	Others maybe client managed. It depends upon the	20	THE WITNESS: And then this one is OneWest	
21	nature of the problem and our specific procedures with	21	Bank.	
22	that client.	22	MS. HUELSMAN: Yeah.	
23	Q. Okay. And I'm not sure if I asked this	23	MR. SPOONEMORE: And then the next page is	
24	specifically, again, Regional Trustee service	24	one that refers to a First Addendum relating to	
25	corporation, they are a member of the attorney the	25	IndyMac, and then there's an attachment to IndyMac and	
	Page 130		Page 132	
1	LPS attorney network, correct?	1	there's an e-mail, then I have the First Addendum	
2	A. Yes.	2	again.	
3	Q. As are as is Northwest Trustee Services,	3	MS. HUELSMAN: well, I just had her print	
4	correct?	4	the additional ones you sent to me, so that's where	
5	A. Yes. I believe Northwest Trustee is also a	5	they went.	
6	member of the attorney network.	6	MR. SPOONEMORE: Then the e-mail again.	
7		7	MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. So let's do this	
8		8	MR. SPOONEMORE: See, we	
9	break for five minutes and just review my notes and	9	MS. HUELSMAN: I see there's some	
10	make sure there isn't anything else that I want to	10	duplication. Okay.	
11	follow up on. All right?	11	MR. SPOONEMORE: Yeah. We supplemented	
12	MR. RATAEZYK: All right.	12	For example, the first twos pages are supplements in	
13	(A break was taken.)	13	Bell.	
14	MS. HUELSMAN: Josh?	14	MS. HUELSMAN: Yes.	
15	MR. RATAEZYK: Yes.	15	MR. SPOONEMORE: Then there's a supplement	
16	MS. HUELSMAN: All right. I'm just making	16	in Wood.	
17	sure you're alive.	17	MS. HUELSMAN: You know what it is, I	
18	MR. SPOONEMORE: And not snoozing. No	18	forgot, I sent them all to her. She printed them and	
19	naps allowed.	19	stuck them all together.	
20	MR. RATAEZYK: Not yet.	20	MR. SPOONEMORE: Okay.	
21	MS. HUELSMAN: I don't know how he could	21	MS. HUELSMAN: So I apologize.	

23 we're --

MR. SPOONEMORE: Okay. Great. Just so

MR. SPOONEMORE: Because the witness was

MS. HUELSMAN: Okay.

			-
	Page 133		Page 135
1	going to have a hard time identifying them	1	the document this would have been the document that
2	MS. HUELSMAN: Yeah. No. I know.	2	she relied on to give her that signing authority as the
3	MR. SPOONEMORE: you know.	3	assistant vice president?
4	MS. HUELSMAN: That's why. I'm sorry. My	4	A. She would have been aware of this document.
5	brain was not all right.	5	She has a copy of this document because she's granted
6	THE WITNESS: It's Bell, Wood, and Bing x.	6	authority, and she would have reviewed this document
7	MS. HUELSMAN: Did you already take stuff	7	before.
8	out?	8	Q. Okay. And it's from this document that she
9	THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, it's that one.	9	gets the title assistant vice president for purposes of
10	MS. HUELSMAN: Put them in that order.	10	signing?
11	BY MS. HUELSMAN:	11	A. It appears to be that's what she's called on
12	Q. Okay, so Exhibit 16 that you have right	12	this, yes.
13	there, it should be just a two-page document,	13	Q. Okay.
14	Washington Mutual?	14	Now let's look at LPS WOOD 823 through 827.
15	A. (Thumbs up).	15	MS. HUELSMAN: Got it, Rick?
16	Q. Yeah. All right, take a look at that.	16	MR. SPOONEMORE: Got it.
17	A. Okay. I've (Reviewing document). I've	17	MS. HUELSMAN: Okay. Exhibit 17.
18	reviewed it.	18	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 17 was marked.)
19	MR. SPOONEMORE: Just so we're clear,	19	A. (Reviewing document). Okay.
20	Exhibit 16 is LPS-BELL 0013 and 00014; is that correct?	20	Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the documents
21	MS. HUELSMAN: Yes.	21	that are contained in Exhibit 17?
22	Q. Okay, what is Exhibit 16?	22	A. I am. I have read these now or skimmed
23	A. Exhibit 16, as you call it, this is a	23	through them, and I have seen these before.
24	appears to be a copy of our signing authority for	24	Q. Yeah, I note that your name is on the e-mail
25	Washington Mutual.	25	thread on LPS 826 right in the middle of the page. Do
	Page 134		Page 136
	0		l dge 100
1	Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and	1	you see that?
		1 2	
2	Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and		you see that?
2	Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would	2	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that.
2 3	Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this	2 3	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this
2 3 4	Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would	2 3 4	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is?
2 3 4 5	Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of	2 3 4 5	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct?	2 3 4 5 6	 you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac
2 3 4 5 6 7	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give 	2 3 4 5 6 7	 you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual as an officer 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but there are some documents that LPS won't sign, and I'm 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was not repudiated by the FDIC during that change.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but there are some document types we won't sign. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was not repudiated by the FDIC during that change. And then I see that the first two documents,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual as an officer of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but there are some documents that LPS won't sign, and I'm not familiar with all of document types we won't sign. Q. Okay. So we looked earlier at the document 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was not repudiated by the FDIC during that change. And then I see that the first two documents, which relate to an addendum to the Default Services
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but there are some documents that LPS won't sign, and I'm not familiar with all of document types we won't sign. Q. Okay. So we looked earlier at the document signed by Ms Is it wisse or wees? 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was not repudiated by the FDIC during that change. And then I see that the first two documents, which relate to an addendum to the Default Services Agreement and a Service Transfer Agreement, appear to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but there are some documents that LPS won't sign. Q. Okay. So we looked earlier at the document signed by Ms Is it wisse or wees? A. Wees. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was not repudiated by the FDIC during that change. And then I see that the first two documents, which relate to an addendum to the Default Services Agreement and a Service Transfer Agreement, appear to be part of the reaffirmation of our existing contract
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual as an officer of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but there are some documents that LPS won't sign, and I'm not familiar with all of document types we won't sign. Q. Okay. So we looked earlier at the document signed by Ms Is it wisse or wees? A. Wees. MR. SPOONEMORE: Wees. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was not repudiated by the FDIC during that change. And then I see that the first two documents, which relate to an addendum to the Default Services Agreement and a Service Transfer Agreement, appear to be part of the reaffirmation of our existing contract and authority.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. Okay. And I know we've been going back and forth between a few cases here, which is probably why it's getting a little confusing for you, but this document is a document upon which an LPS employee would have would rely in signing documents on behalf of Washington Mutual, correct? A. This document would be one which would give them authorization upon which they could sign a document on behalf of Washington Mutual as an officer of Washington Mutual. It is not the only thing they would probably rely on, but yeah. Q. What else would you would LPS rely on? A. It would You would also rely upon what document was uploaded if you had authorizations to sign it. The signing authority may or may not contain a description of what we were authorized to sign, but there are some documents that LPS won't sign, and I'm not familiar with all of document types we won't sign. Q. Okay. So we looked earlier at the document signed by Ms Is it wisse or wees? A. Wees. MR. SPOONEMORE: Wees. MS. HUELSMAN: You told me Weis. Okay. 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	you see that? A. Yes. I am actually copied on that. Q. Yep. Okay. Can you explain to me what this document is? A. There's several documents. It appears to be related to our existing signing authority with IndyMac Bank, FSB and then the subsequent FDIC takeover of IndyMac Bank, FSB and transferred to it became IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB, and then subsequently it became OneWest Bank. And it appears to be the I don't see the the original signing authority for IndyMac Bank, FSB in these documents, but I do see the the affirmation on the last two pages, which are the e-mails string between Steve Gerths and Tim Otto. That's an affirmation that our that our signing authority was not repudiated by the FDIC during that change. And then I see that the first two documents, which relate to an addendum to the Default Services Agreement and a Service Transfer Agreement, appear be part of the reaffirmation of our existing contract and authority. Q. So this appears to just, basically, go from

	Page 137		Page 139
1	Q to through IndyMac Federal Bank, but	1	
2	then on to OneWest Bank, FSB; is that correct?	2	A. I was provided with these by our legal
3	A. It appears to be a part of establishing that	3	department. This is essentially a reaffirmation of our
4	chain between the original entity prior to the FDIC	4	existing Default Services Agreement and signing
5	stepping in with office of thrift supervision back in,	5	well, it's really the signing authority, but it's our
6	l believe it was 200	6	Default Services Agreement. So this is, I think,
7	Q. '8.	7	probably to memorialize again our existing arrangement
8	A8 and then the subsequent transfer	8	or existing authority or agreement with OneWest Bank
9	ultimately to OneWest.	9	now.
10	Q. And should there be an interim document	10	Q. Okay. And what are the identified services
11	signed by actually by IndyMac Federal Bank, FSB?	11	mentioned back on the document we were looking at
12	A. Not to my knowledge. It's my understanding	12	"Identified Service." I'm sorry.
13	that and I think it's affirmed by the executive	13	A. Could you point out the paragraph where
14	representative, Tim Otto, of IndyMac Bank, that the	14	you
15	FDIC does not require that you have to risk all	15	Q. It was paragraph 4.
16	contracts, that unless otherwise repudiated, you are	16	A. Oh.
17	clear to continue with existing contracts.	17	Q. So it's "Identified Service." And since we
18	And so the contracts and agreements we had in	18	don't have the actual agreement, I don't know what the
19	place at that time extended throughout the time period	19	identified service is.
20	under the FDIC piece.	20	A. It
21	Q. So I want you to turn to the signature page,	21	MR. SPOONEMORE: That was produced, I
22	LPS 825.	22	should say, for the record. So we could pull it out.
23	A. Okay.	23	MS. HUELSMAN: Do you want to
24	Q. Got it?	24	MR. SPOONEMORE: I mean, you don't have
25	A. I have it.	25	to, but I just want to
	Page 138		Page 140
1	Q. And at paragraph 4it's misnumbered, there's	1	MS. HUELSMAN: No, no. That's fine.
2	no paragraph 3, but it's paragraph 4the document	2	You're correct. I'm just trying to find it in
3	indicates that OneWest replaced Indymac Federal as	3	A. I would assume that document actually has a
4	LPS's customer of record for the Identified Service.	4	definition for "identified service."
5	Do you see that?	5	Q. So, in other words, any LPS just continues
6	A. I do see paragraph 4. This is a document I	6	to perform the same services for OneWest that it was
7	signed.	7	previously performing for IndyMac Federal, which was
8	Q. Oh, that's your signature?	8	derived from the services it was previously performing
9	A. It is.	9	for IndyMac Bank?
10	Q. Okay. And that's also Is that then your	10	A. I would believe that would be a safe
11	signature on the first page of Exhibit 17?	11	assumption. It's a continuation, or an extension of
12	A. It is my signature on the first page.	12	the existing Default Services Agreement that we
13	Q. Did you just notice that?	13	operated under the original entity throughout the life,
14	A. No. I just noticed that I mean earlier	14	and this is just a reaffirmation of those arrangements.
15	you didn't ask me the question if I signed these. You	15	From, like, what I said, I don't see anything
16	asked if I was familiar with them.	16	that indicates that the original Default Service
17	Q. Yeah, I understand that. But you said you've	17	Agreement had to be affirmed again, it's just, I think,
18	seen it before of the. You actually took action on	18	something we did just recently just to memorialize it.
19	behalf of LPS, correct, by signing this document?	19	Q. Okay. And so this would be performing the
20	A. Yes. I'm sorry, you didn't ask that specific	20	work on behalf of OneWest Bank and its predecessors
21	question. I thought you asked if I had seen these	21	whether they own the loan or whether they're just
22	documents before, and the answer is yes, I've seen	22	servicing?
23	these before, and I am the person who signed both these two documents.	23	A. It's to continue performing the support services we were contracted and asked to do.
24 25	Q. Okay. And who instructed you to sign these	24 25	
		140	MS. HUELSMAN: Okay, this is going to be

	Page 141		Page 143
1	to be where are at? Exhibit 18?	1	employees
2	COURT REPORTER: Yes.	2	Q. Right.
3	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 18 was marked.)	3	A they're LPS employees.
4	Q. Would you take a look at this document.	4	Q. So Peter Read could have just as easily been
5	A. (Reviewing document).	5	given the title assistant attesting secretary, correct?
6	Q. Have you ever seen these documents before?	6	A. Yes. Typically, though, we would we would
7	A. They may have been part of the materials I	7	typically for officer designations, we would
8	was given yesterday as part of the case, but I don't	8	typically use more senior members of our company than
9	recognize them offhand. But they appear consistent	9	we would for an attesting secretary.
10	with signing authorities.	10	Q. So what are the
11	Q. For Washington Mutual Bank?	11	A. But that's just protocol procedure.
12	A. Yes, it appears the first one is Washington	12	Q. What is Ms. Weis's actual job title at LPS?
13	Mutual Bank.	13	A. She's an assistant vice president in our
14	The second one is a Limited Power of Attorney	14	special assets area. She does title problems and
15	for JPMorgan Chase Bank.	15	contested.
16	Q. As it's successor in interest to	16	Q. Okay. And so this Limited Power of Attorney,
17	Washington	17	then, was executed by JPMorgan as Washington Mutual's
18	A. Washington Mutual	18	successor?
19	Q Bank?	19	A. Um-hmm. Yes.
20	A Bank.	20	Q. And they created their own list of people who
21	And the third one appears to be assistant	21	could sign; is that
22	secretary, again, for Washington Mutual Bank.	22	A. Yes. Typically the way it would work, they
23	Q. Okay. So on these documents we have some	23	would be they would ask us for a list of signers and
24	people identifiedgoing back to the first two	24	we would send them a list, and they would select from
25	pagesas assistant vice presidents, and other people	25	that list personnel that they wanted on their signing
	Page 142		Page 144
1	are authorized to sign as assistant attesting	1	authority.
2	secretary. Do you know why different people are given	2	We we did not have any interaction in
3	different titles for purposes of executing documents?	3	constructing an authorization for signing. This is a
4	A. Yes. There are some documents in the legal	4	client document, a servicer document, if that clarifies
5	process that require dual signatures, and the two	5	it for you.
6	signatures required require personnel with different	6	Q. Okay. And then we'll turn to the last two
7	capacities. Some maybe require an assistant vice	7	pages in the document. LPS WOOD 149 and 150. Can you
8	president's signature, others require as secretaries.	8	just tell me what this is?
9	This is for those types of documents.	9	A. It's an assistant secretary certificate. So
10			-
11	Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on	10	it appears to be another type of signing authority for
	Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington	10 11	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be
12	Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they?	10 11 12	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at
13	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. 	10 11 12 13	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007,
13 14	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? 	10 11 12 13 14	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may
13 14 15	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted 	10 11 12 13 14 15	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same
13 14 15 16	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's
13 14 15 16 17	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority.
13 14 15 16 17 18	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. Q. Okay. So when these people are given titles, 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority. Q. Now, this document says that the person
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. Q. Okay. So when these people are given titles, they're just titles that somebody gave them, so it's 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority. Q. Now, this document says that the person the vice president assistant vice president has the
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. Q. Okay. So when these people are given titles, they're just titles that somebody gave them, so it's not that they're actually perform any different 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority. Q. Now, this document says that the person the vice president assistant vice president has the authority to execute routine procedural documents
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. Q. Okay. So when these people are given titles, they're just titles that somebody gave them, so it's not that they're actually perform any different function, correct? At Washington Mutual. 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority. Q. Now, this document says that the person the vice president assistant vice president has the authority to execute routine procedural documents directly related to the prosecution of matters of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. Q. Okay. So when these people are given titles, they're just titles that somebody gave them, so it's not that they're actually perform any different function, correct? At Washington Mutual. A. No. They performed no function at 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority. Q. Now, this document says that the person the vice president assistant vice president has the authority to execute routine procedural documents directly related to the prosecution of matters of foreclosure, bankruptcy, claims, and eviction of
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. Q. Okay. So when these people are given titles, they're just titles that somebody gave them, so it's not that they're actually perform any different function, correct? At Washington Mutual. A. No. They performed no function at Washington 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority. Q. Now, this document says that the person the vice president assistant vice president has the authority to execute routine procedural documents directly related to the prosecution of matters of foreclosure, bankruptcy, claims, and eviction of mortgages and deed of trust. Do you see that language?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 Q. But to be clear, all those people listed on those documents are not actual employees of Washington Mutual, are they? A. No, they're not. Q. They're LPS employees? A. They're LPS employees who are granted authority under this limited officer designation to act in that capacity. Q. Okay. So when these people are given titles, they're just titles that somebody gave them, so it's not that they're actually perform any different function, correct? At Washington Mutual. A. No. They performed no function at Washington 	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	it appears to be another type of signing authority for Washington Mutual. And I believe it appears to be it looks like, to me, the first document we looked at had an expiration, it was effective from June 1, 2007, to May 31, 2008. It appears that this document may have been its successor no. It still says the same thing, it is from June 1 through May 31, 2008. So it's another signing authority. Q. Now, this document says that the person the vice president assistant vice president has the authority to execute routine procedural documents directly related to the prosecution of matters of foreclosure, bankruptcy, claims, and eviction of

	Christian 5. Hymer $50(0)(6)$, $1/15/2010$ Page: 57					
	Page 145		Page 147			
1	frequently throughout our deposition today about people	1	you retrieve notes out of document out of Process			
2	being able LPS employees being able to sign certain	2	Management, you have the ability to filter notes to see			
3	documents and other documents not, you know, being	3	all loan or to see only notes affiliated with a certain			
4	outside their authority to sign. Is there a list of	4	process.			
5	what documents they can or cannot sign that identifies	5	Q. Okay.			
6	it with any more specificity than the document than	6	A. Without reviewing the loan, I can't tell you			
7	this document that we're looking at?	7	whether or not this is all the notes.			
8	A. There is a list of document types that we	8	Q. Well, like I said, there I mean, I'm not			
9	consider outside the bounds of our signing authority.	9	trying to trick you.			
10	Q. Okay.	10	A. Sure.			
11	A. But, again, like we discussed earlier, there	11	Q. There's, like, 60 pages of this, I just			
12	could be verbiage or content within that based upon the	12	A. They are notes from Process Management.			
13	way it's the document's constructed, perhaps the way	13	Q. Okay. And so some of thee notes			
14	that the drafter the law firm were to specify the	14	A. All notes.			
15		15	Q are written by LPS people, some of them			
16	sign in that capacity under our authorization, so those	16	are written by people at Routh Crabtree, which is the			
17	also would not be signed.	17	law firm that owns Northwest Trustee. So And it			
18	Q. So there's a list of what they can't sign,	18	seems that there's some of them that are sending			
19	not a list of what they can sign?	19	messages, like, to Washington Mutual Default			
20	A. That's probably a good way to look at it.	20	Litigation?			
21	Like we talked about, like this actual sentence	21	A. Yes.			
22	mentioned, "routine procedural documents," you	22	Q. So this is what we are I think we were			
23	typically see it's the same documents over and over	23	talking about earlier where I said some of it looks			
24	again that say with a foreclosure action. So if a	24	like it's quasi e-mail in nature, but others of it can			
25		25	be just notes that somebody is entering into the			
	Page 146		Page 148			
1	substitution of trustee, were it to be submitted, it	1	system; is that correct?			
2	would cause us to have red flags. We'd typically	2	A. The notes in Process Management and I will			
3	forward that if it wasn't something we were familiar	3	clarify, because the top of the page does say all			
4	with or comfortable executing.	4	notes "View: All Notes," so that tells me that			
5	Q. Okay.	5	we're looking at everything.			
6	MS. HUELSMAN: Exhibit 19.	6	Q. Okay.			
7	(Plaintiff Exhibit No. 19 was marked.)	7	A. The notes in Process Management document			
8	Q. Why don't you take a look at the few pages	8	every action, whether they be intercoms or			
9	here.	9	communications or or completions of steps or events			
10	A. (Reviewing document).	10	or data gathering elements. So it's a complete audit			
11	Q. I'm just going to ask you a few general	11	trail of everything that was performed in the Desktop			
12	questions, and I just wanted to use this these few	12	on this loan.			
13	pages, because there's this entire	13	Q. Okay. So even when it's messages to and from			
14	A. A lot of information within it.	14	in the Intercom system that we were talking about?			
15	Q. Well, there was 60 or so pages of this.	15	A. It would be documented in notes, correct.			
16	A. Okay.	16	Q. Okay. All right.			
17	Q. And really I just wanted to ask, it appears	17	Is Judith Steele an employee of LPS?			
18	to me that this dialogue, I guess we'll call it, was	18	A. Not to my knowledge. I'm not familiar with			
19	all generated through the Process Management Notes Word	19	the name.			
20	Doc Generator system; is that correct?	20	Q. Rosa Montel?			
21	A. These are notes within Process Management,	21	A. We have 1200 employees, so I apologize, I'm			
22	documenting every action taken in the system, it	22	not familiar with that name, either.			
23	appears to be.	23	Q. Okay. All right. And I indicated that I			
24	Q. Okay.	24	forgot to ask you when we started whether or not oh,			
25	A. One thing I should clarify for you is, when	25	excuse me, to give me a description of your job and			
			, o			

	Page 149		Page 151
1	your duties, and you started off telling me you were an	1	A. So the servicer would start the foreclosure
2	assistant vice president when you were hired in 2003.	2	process and utilize our technology to deliver that, the
3	Can you describe to me your job responsibilities in	3	data and images to the law firm.
4	that role and then continue with your promotions, I	4	Q. Right. So LPS is going to charge the
5	guess, through LPS.	5	servicer a fee for initiating that process; is that
6	A. Sure. In 2003 when I was hired, I was an	6	correct?
7	assistant vice president for customer relations. I	7	A. No, not for a foreclosure process. The
8	think we talked about my duties under customer	8	the fee model is based on a foreclosure. Once the law
9	relations before. Do you want me to reiterate that	9	firm accepts that legal action from the servicer to
10	again, or	10	support the administrative pieces of that legal action
11	Q. I think we're okay. Go ahead and move on to	11	for the law firm, the law firm pays us a fee for that.
12	the next.	12	Q. So the servicer doesn't pay anything?
13	A. I was promoted to vice president of	13	A. No.
14	operations in two thousand and, I believe, five, and	14	Q. How does the servicer pay LPS for performing
15	subsequently, later to first vice president of	15	like services?
16	operations. All of that had to do with I was asked to	16	A. Like said, they would be invoiced for certain
17	come to Minnesota as we kind of expanded and grew.	17	services that we might be providing them, but it's not
18	And I I am currently the first vice	18	for the we don't invoice a servicer for the
19	president of operations, the site manager for	19	foreclosure action, it doesn't work that way. For our
20	Minnesota for our Minnesota site, and my primary	20	support provided to the law firm for foreclosure
21	responsibilities are over the bankruptcy and customer	21	action.
22	support functional teams within our company.	22	Q. Okay. And in a foreclosure case, would
23	Q. Okay. And that's your role now, right?	23	you would LPS charge any amount to a servicer?
24	A. That is my role today.	24	A. LPS Default Solutions in a foreclosure case
25	Q. It's vice president of operations?	25	would not typically invoice the servicer. I can't
	Page 150		Page 152
1	A. First vice president of operations, correct.	1	think of an invoicable item within a foreclosure.
2	Q. And one other question I wanted to ask you,	2	Q. What about in a bankruptcy case?
3	we talked earlier about the fees that were charged for	3	A. There are some bankruptcy support services
4	LPS's services, and I believe when you were providing	4	that are invoiced to the servicer. For example, we
5	me with that information, you were telling me how much	5	discussed Acer monitoring bankruptcy setups. The fees
6	the fee how much LPS charges the attorneys, was that	6	and et cetera that we have to pay for that monitoring
7			
	correct? The attorneys in the attorney network.	7	activity to Acer are essentially passed through and
8	A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we	7 8	invoiced to the servicer.
9	A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're	7 8 9	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it.
9 10	A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the	7 8 9 10	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you.
9 10 11	A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are	7 8 9 10 11	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful.
9 10 11 12	A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney.	7 8 9 10 11 12	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any
9 10 11 12 13 14	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, though, that we were talking about attorney network 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, though, that we were talking about attorney network fees. So how does LPS charge the servicers? 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, though, that we were talking about attorney network fees. So how does LPS charge the servicers? A. The same thing, we would invoice the servicer 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, though, that we were talking about attorney network fees. So how does LPS charge the servicers? A. The same thing, we would invoice the servicer for certain support functions that we perform. 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, though, that we were talking about attorney network fees. So how does LPS charge the servicers? A. The same thing, we would invoice the servicer for certain support functions that we perform. Q. So, in other words, if a servicer uses LPS to 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, though, that we were talking about attorney network fees. So how does LPS charge the servicers? A. The same thing, we would invoice the servicer for certain support functions that we perform. Q. So, in other words, if a servicer uses LPS to start a foreclosure process, they're going to upload 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 A. I think we covered a lot of topics when we talked about fees, so I'm not positive which one we're talking about. We did discuss what I believe to be the fees associated with our support services that are charged to the attorney. Q. Okay. A. However, like I said, I don't have the fee structure right in front me, and it varies by state by Q. And that's fine. I just wanted to be clear, though, that we were talking about attorney network fees. So how does LPS charge the servicers? A. The same thing, we would invoice the servicer for certain support functions that we perform. Q. So, in other words, if a servicer uses LPS to start a foreclosure process, they're going to upload 	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	invoiced to the servicer. Q. All right. I think I think that's it. Thank you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I hope I was helpful. MS. HUELSMAN: Thank you. MR. SPOONEMORE: I don't have any questions. He will read and sign, please.

1	STATE OF MINNESOTA)
2	CERTIFICATE : ss.
3	COUNTY OF HENNEPIN)
4	
5	I, Cindy L. Schultz, RMR, CRR, CLR, a notary public in and for the County of Hennepin, certify that I reported
б	the deposition of CHRISTIAN S. HYMER, who was first duly sworn by me, having been taken on January 13, 2010 at 1400 Band Towar, 527 Marguette Awanua, South
7	2010, at 1400 Rand Tower, 527 Marquette Avenue, South, Minneapolis, Minnesota;
8	I further certify that I am not a relative or employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties or a
9	relative or employee of such attorney or counsel;
10	That I am not financially interested in the action and have no contract with the parties, attorneys, or
11	persons with an interest in the action that affects or has a substantial tendency to affect my impartiality;
12	that all parties who ordered copies have been charged at the same rate for such copies;
13	That the right to read and sign the deposition by the
14	witness was not waived.
15	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my seal of office at Minneapolis, Minnesota,
16	this 20th day of January 2010.
17	
18	
19	Cindy L. Schultz, RMR, CRR, CLR
20	My commission expires 1/31/2010
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	